

ELEC-E8126: Robotic Manipulation Motion control

Ville Kyrki 30.1.2023

Learning goals

- Understand basic approaches of robot motion control.
- Understand structure of dynamics of serial kinematic chains such as robot arms.



Control – general structure





Control – typical real structure





Joint velocity control





Joint velocity control

Assume internal controller tracks velocity accurately.





Give an example controller!

Practical controller: PI with feedforward





What kind of dynamics does this system have?

Task/operational space control

Cartesian space control

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_{b}(t) \\ \dot{p}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R^{\mathrm{T}}(t)R_{d}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{d}(t) \\ \dot{p}_{d}(t) \end{bmatrix} + K_{p}X_{e}(t) + K_{i}\int_{0}^{t}X_{e}(t) dt,$$
pseudoinverse
$$\dot{\theta} = J_{ee}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{b}(t) \\ \dot{p}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
end-effector Jacobian
$$X_{e}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \log(R^{\mathrm{T}}|t)R_{d}(t)) \\ p_{d}(t) - p(t) \end{bmatrix}$$



How does the Cartesian trajectory look like?

Toward torque control

Can this model control force interactions?





Toward torque control

Can this model control force interactions?





How does the system below behave?





Dynamics

- Represent response to motor/joint torques
- Equation of motion





 $F = m a + m g = m \ddot{x} + m g$

Example: 2R robot under gravity





Example: 2R robot



$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$M(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 L_1^2 + m_2 (L_1^2 + 2L_1 L_2 \cos \theta_2 + L_2^2) & m_2 (L_1 L_2 \cos \theta_2 + L_2^2) \\ m_2 (L_1 L_2 \cos \theta_2 + L_2^2) & m_2 L_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} -m_2 L_1 L_2 \sin \theta_2 (\dot{\theta}_2^2 + 2 \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2) \\ m_2 L_1 L_2 \dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} (m_1 + m_2)gL_1\cos\theta_1 + m_2gL_2\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \\ m_2gL_2\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Aalto University School of Electrical Engineering

What do the terms mean?

Forward dynamics with contact force



Solve:

$$\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{J}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{F}_{tip}$$

linear system of equations



Torque control

Can this model control force interactions?





Single joint torque control

• Dynamics with (simple) friction

 $\tau = M \ddot{\theta} + m g r \cos \theta + b \dot{\theta}$



Assume you have a trajectory to follow. Propose a controller. Or several.



Single joint torque control

• Dynamics with (simple) friction

 $\tau = M \ddot{\theta} + m g r \cos \theta + b \dot{\theta}$



Assume you have a trajectory to follow. Propose a controller.

Does your controller converge to zero error if desired state is constant?



PID convergence





Would PID eliminate steady state error if desired rotational velocity is constant?

Inverse dynamics / computed torque control

dynamics compensation

 $\tau = \hat{M}(\theta)(\ddot{\theta}_{d} + K_{p}\theta_{e} + K_{i}\int\theta_{e} + K_{d}\dot{\theta}_{e}) + \hat{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$ feedforward **PID** feedback

 $\begin{array}{c} \ddot{\theta}_{d} \\ \theta_{d}, \dot{\theta}_{d} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \theta_{e} \\ \hline \\ & \theta_{e} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{PID}} \\ \hline \\ & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \ddot{\theta}_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \ddot{\theta}_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \dot{\theta}_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \\ \hline \\ & & & & \theta_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c} & \sigma_{fb} \end{array} \xrightarrow{+} \begin{array}{c}$



Inverse dynamics

• Problem: Calculate right hand side of

 $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$

- Informally: If I want to follow a certain trajectory, how high torques do I need to apply at joints.
- Solution: Calculate M and h by Newton-Euler algorithm.



Cartesian space dynamics

 If Jacobian is invertible, dynamics can be expressed in Cartesian space as

$$\mathbf{F} = M_{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{h}_{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Cartesian force

Cartesian acceleration

Cartesian dynamics parameters can be calculated using joint space dynamics + Jacobian. E.g.

$$M_{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = J^{-T} M(\boldsymbol{\theta}) J^{-1}$$

 Furthermore, if inverse kinematics is unique, dynamics can be expressed in Cartesian space as

$$F = M_C(x) \ddot{x} + h_C(x, \dot{x})$$

Aalto University School of Electrical Engineering

Compare to joint space!

Cartesian control

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{M}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{h}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}})$$

• Inverse dynamics controller can then be written also in Cartesian space (for a robot with unique inverse dyn.).

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = J^{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \left(M_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}_{d}} + K_{p} \boldsymbol{x_{e}} + K_{i} \int \boldsymbol{x_{e}} + K_{d} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}_{e}} \right) + \boldsymbol{h}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right)$$

Cartesian force

Compare to

$$\tau = \hat{M}(\theta)(\ddot{\theta}_d + K_p \theta_e + K_i \int \theta_e + K_d \dot{\theta}_e) + \hat{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$$



Represents forces in Cartesian space. May be useful when looking at force control.



- Accurate motion control requires knowledge (model) of robot dynamics.
- Good recipe: inverse dynamics + PID + feedforward (computed torque control).



Next time: Control in contact

