Inertial Confinement Fusion

Dr. Timo Kiviniemi and Prof. Dr. & Mathias Groth Aalto University School of Science, Department of Applied Physics



Outline

- Principles of Inertial confinement fusion
 - Implosion/compressions physics
 - Direct and indirect drive
 - Hydrodynamic instabilities
- Results and status of National Ignition Facility (NIF) \rightarrow recent experiments including ignition
- Plans for future inertial power plants



Principles of inertial confinement fusion



Process of ignition

Laser produced X-rays rapidly heat the surface of a capsule containing deuterium-tritium (DT) fuel



The blowoff plasma accelerates the DT fuel inwards in a rocket-like reaction



The fuel stagnates creating a hot central core, surrounded by a dense confining shell



The core ignites and fusion burn propagates into the dense shell, yielding many times the input energy



Lasers.llnl.gov



In inertial confinement fusion, the confinement parameter is given by the product of ρR









• Surface heated plasma expands in time:

$$\mathsf{R}(\mathsf{t}) = R_f - c_s t$$

with
$$c_s = \sqrt{2k_BT/m_f}$$

- Confinement time:
- $\tau_{conf} = R_f / c_s$

 $\tau_{fus} = 1/n_0 \langle \sigma v \rangle$

- Reaction time:
 - Ignition conditions: $\frac{R_f}{c_s} \ge \frac{1}{n_0 \langle \sigma v \rangle}$



Ignition in inertial confinement fusion

- A shell of cryogenic D-T fuel accelerated inward by direct laser irradiation or by the x-rays produced by heating a high-Z enclosure
- At stagnation, the compressed fuel is ignited by a central hot spot surrounded by a cold, dense shell.
- Central ignition when the alpha-particle heating of the hot spot exceeds all the energy losses. (in exercises: "ideal ignition where alpha-particle heating overcomes Bremsstrahlung losses")
- A metric is needed to assess how an implosion experiment performs with respect to the ignition condition.
- In a stationary plasma, the ignition condition is given by the Lawson criterion → what is ignition condition in ICF in terms of measurable parameters?

P.Y.Chang PRL 2010

Implode: Explode inward. The NIF fuel capsule implodes at speeds up to 400 kilometers a second.



Comparing ignition in inertial vs. magnetic confinement fusion (present research)

Magnetic confinement fusion:

- Experiments typically D-D: relevant physics without complication of operation with tritium→ no neutrons (for energy production D-T needed)
- In MCF, T measured from decay time of plasma energy

Inertial confinement fusion:

- D-T: Tritium inventory small → tritium commonly used in cryogenic target experiments
- Neutron yield important measure
- T cannot be directly measured (related to width of neutron-rate history)
- In addition to neutron yield, measurable parameters of the ICF fuel assembly are the total areal density, and the ion temperature.

Areal Density: The <u>combined thickness and density</u> of the imploding frozen fusion fuel shell. The areal density of the fuel and the temperature and shape of the implosion at peak compression are two critical experimental factors for achieving ignition. See more definitions: https://lasers.llnl.gov/education/glossary



Inertial confinement time scales significantly lower than those in magnetic confinement

Lawson criterion: $n\tau \approx 10^{20}m^{-3}s$



- Magnetic confinement fusion
 - Density $\approx 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$
 - Confinement time ≈
 1 (to 10) s ⇒ quasi steady state
- Inertial confinement fusion
 - Density $\approx 10^{31} \text{m}^{-3}$
 - Confinement time
 ≈ 10 ps (10⁻¹¹s) ⇒
 pulsed



Substituting ρ and R for n and τ yields the modified Lawson criterion for inertial fusion

Lawson criterion: $n\tau \approx 10^{20}m^{-3}s$



- $n\tau \ge 10^{20}m^{-3}s \rightarrow \rho R \ge 1 g/cm^2$
- Fuel fraction burned:

$$\phi = \rho R / (H_B + \rho R)$$

- Burn parameter $H_B\approx 7g/cm^2$
- ⇒ 30% burn-up, one needs ρR ≥ 3g/cm²
- ⇒ 1 mg fuel ⇔ fuel density 300 g/cm³, or 1500 x compression



Compressing 1 mg of DT isentropically to 1500x solid density requires about 10 kJ

$$pV^{\gamma} = constant$$
$$W_{1 \to 2} \int_{1}^{2} pdV = constant \int_{1}^{2} V^{-\gamma} dV$$
$$W_{1 \to 2} = \frac{nk_{B}}{1 - \gamma} T_{1} \left[\left(\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}} \right)^{\gamma - 1} - 1 \right]$$

• Early estimates by Nuckolls et al. (*Nature* 1972) estimated only 1 kJ for laser ignition



Nuckolls' initial calculations over-optimistically dismissed laser-plasma and hydrodynamic instabilities

- Laser-plasma instabilities:
 - Long-scale length plasma are susceptible to electromagnetic instabilities
 - Laser light may be reflected or channeled into a very few, very high-energy electrons ⇒ fuel preheat
- Implosion process is inherently unstable:
 - Raleigh-Taylor, Richtmyer-Meshkov, and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities
 - Initial perturbations must be extremely small
 - Turbulence and material mix (DT with high-Z ablator)



Nuckolls' initial calculations overoptimistically dismissed laser-plasma and hydrodynamic instabilities

- Hydrodynamic instability growth was overly optimistic:
 - Assumed ablative "polishing" would remove high-mode perturbations
 - ⇒ In reality, capsule suffers high Rayleigh-Taylor growth rates from these modes
- Laser intensities of 10¹⁷ W/cm² were assumed ⇒ laserplasma instabilities limit intensities to 10¹⁵ W/cm²
 - Produces high ablation velocities, thus high efficiency and beneficial aspect ratio implosions
 - Some improvements at shorter laser wavelength (~ λ^2)
- Beam imprint and capsule manufacture limitations



Fluid instabilities lead to non-spherical implosion of the capsule and unwanted material mixed into fuel

- Rayleigh–Taylor instability is an instability of an interface between two fluids of different densities which occurs when the lighter fluid is pushing the heavier fluid. In ICF uneven compression on the target surface can cause RT-instability
- Richtmyer–Meshkov instability → impulsive-acceleration limit of the Rayleigh–Taylor instability.
- → RT and RM instabilities can cause capsule surface and interface imperfections to grow and if severe enough, the instabilities can cause ablator material to mix into the core and radiatively cool the hot spot, decreasing the hot spot temperature and nuclear yield.



Fluid instabilities lead to non-spherical implosion of the capsule and unwanted material mixed into fuel





A more realistic estimate requires a driver energy of 100 MJ

- 1mg of DT of a velocity of 3x10¹⁷ cm/s has a kinetic energy of 50 kJ
- ⇒ At 10% implosion efficiency, required driver energy of 500 kJ
- Estimate is still too low! ⇒ for compression ratios of 100, the driver energy is more likely to be 1 MJ, or above



Inertial confinement fusion ignition is driven by the ablation-driven rocket force



 C.f., convergence ratio (R_i/R_f) ~ 30 (basketball to pea), implosion velocity ~ 300 km/s (1/2 of galactic escape)



Shock wave compression saturates at certain pressure ratios

 Strong (planar) shock give an upper limit for compression:

$$\gamma = \frac{5}{3} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)_{max} = 4$$

$$\gamma = \frac{7}{5} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)_{max} = 6$$

 Shock waves lead to strong heating instead compression ⇒ not suitable for ICF





The ablation pressure results in a shock wave within 5 ns



- While the outer capsule layer expands, the absorber implodes into the fuel
- Simple model estimate burn after just 20 ns
- Compression factors in excess of 1000 are necessary



Measured and simulated flows in ICF hot spot



(a) Time-resolved x-ray emission is used to track the bright "tracer" particle during an implosion. (b) Horizontal and (c) vertical flow velocity for three asymmetry drives: Upward (\blacktriangle) and downward (∇) driven implosions show strong large vertical flows. (d) Streamline data of internal flows from downward (∇) drive, overlaid on flow field from 2D HYDRA simulation at tbang + 65 ps.



The two primary methods to compress fuel are direct and indirect drive

direct drive

indirect drive



- Driver = laser, converted into x-ray source
- Direct drive is more efficient, but symmetric implosion is harder to achieve



Fast ignition separates compression and ignition of the fuel (spark plug in gas engine)





 Main advantages: less compression required (= more fuel) and symmetry relaxed



Inertial confinement fusion ignition is driven by the ablation-driven rocket force



 C.f., convergence ratio (R_i/R_f) ~ 30 (basketball to pea), implosion velocity ~ 300 km/s (1/2 of galactic escape)



In indirect drive, DT fuel is compressed by xrays produced by laser heating of a high-Z hohlraum





Indirect drive (e.g., laser irradiation of an gold hohlraum) is the most promising concept





Advanced ignition schemes

- Separate fuel compression from ignition. First step with slow implosion which is less prone to instabilities. Second step: Ignition with
- High-intensity pulse of electrons or ions (Fast Ignition)
- Short and intense laser pulse (Shock Ignition)
- Magneto-inertial fusion: use targets embedded in magnetic fields to reduce heat losses





https://presemo.aalto.fi/fet/



The National Ignition Facility (NIF)



Inertial fusion is tightly coupled to development of high-power lasers



 Laser / inertial fusion development also in the EU (France) and Japan





LIFE

The National Ignition Facility (NIF) at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California

NIF is currently the worlds's largest laser facility (total cost approx. 4bn USD)

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



San Francisco (45 mi.)



Mathias Groth, Fusion Energy Technology, PHYS-E0463 "Inertial Confinement Fusion", Aalto University.

The roof top of the facility would fit three American football and 2 soccer stadiums





NIF concentrates all the energy in a football stadium-size facility into a mm³ of DT fuel





The main pieces of NIF are the laser beam production, the beam-path infrastructure and the target hall



http://lasers.llnl.gov



View of the laser banks filling a high-bay area the size of a football stadium (192 beams)



http://lasers.llnl.gov



A series of mirrors direct the beams to the target chamber





A series of mirrors direct the beams to the target chamber





The NIF (spherical) target chamber is 10 m in diameter and 130 tons heavy





In NIF, laser energy from a 192-beam system is bundled onto a sub-centimeter capsule





The ignition target is an about 10 mm long cylinder for indirect drive





Mathias Groth, Fusion Energy Technology, PHYS-E0463 "Inertial Confinement Fusion", Aalto University.

Fabricated and fully instrumented prototype





Example of capsule design: graded-doped, beryllium capsule in a Au or B lined hohlraum



A proof-of-principle fast-ignition experiment is planned at NIF



- Coupling efficiency at full hydro scale
- Determine short-pulse laser energy for high gain and high yield

One quad of NIF beams is being converged for highenergy, short-pulse operation at 7.7 kJ and 5 ps



 Advanced Radiographic Capability (ARC) compressors are installed and operational in 2011



The focus of present research is on improving the fuel capsule





The focus of present research is on improving the fuel capsule





To achieve better performance, the dopant levels and ablator thicknesses need to be improved





Video: <u>How NIF works (5.21)</u>

Motivation: to get idea how NIF works from technological point of view

Record experiment in NIF (Aug 2021, preceding the ignition experiments)



Previous record in fusion yield achieved Aug 2021

- Aug. 8 2021, NIF made a significant step toward ignition, achieving a yield of more than 1.3 megajoules (MJ)
- This is a 25X increase over NIF's 2018 record yield
- The experiment built on several advances including:
 - new diagnostics
 - target fabrication improvements in the hohlraum, capsule shell, and fill tube
 - improved laser precision
 - design changes to increase the energy coupled to the implosion and the compression of the implosion. Lasers.llnl.gov



New record required improved energy coupling efficiency

 Of the ~1.9 MJ of laser energy delivered to the hohlraum, only ~10%–15% is absorbed by the capsule (Zylstra PRL 2021)



• The majority of the energy absorbed by the capsule is invested in ablation, with only a few percent coupled into the imploded fuel's kinetic energy (~10kJ in the record experiment) Lasers.llnl.gov



New record in neutron yield is 8x higher than in spring 2021



HDC capsule contributed to the new record



• Quality of the high-density carbon, or diamond, target capsule used contributed to the record



Recent experiments are close to ignition

- Ignition boundary (Y_{amp} ~ 30x) is quite well defined in hotspot pressureenergy space
- 1.35MJ record is 70% of the energy of the laser pulse that triggered it





Self-heating amplifies fusion-yield by factor 5



 In Aug. 8 2021, a yield of more than 1.3 megajoules (MJ) which 8x more than in spring 2021 (in figure)



Larger capsules were used in the record for increases energy efficiency

- Capsules about 15 % bigger than in previous high-yield experiments were used
- Decreasing ratio of the hohlraum size to capsule size enhances energy efficiency but...



 ...control of other aspects such as symmetry of the x-ray drive on the capsule then more difficult lasers.llnl.gov



HybridE (HyE) -design was used in record

- Cylindrical 11.24mm x
 6.4mm hohlraum
- Made of Au-lined depleted uranium and filled with helium gas.
- Four laser entrance holes for beams (as shown in figure)



- Three-shock laser pulse shape with adiabat $\alpha \sim$ 2.5.
- Ratio of the inner power to total power 33%

(A.B.Zylstra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 025001 (2021))



Progress in Hohlraum design



 Different hohlraum designs are still tested for improving efficiency

Lasers.llnl.gov



Ignition experiment in NIF (Dec 2022)



Timo Kiviniemi, Fusion Energy Technology, PHYS-E0463 "Inertial Confinement Fusion", Aalto University.

Breakeven in NIF (press release 13.12.2022)

- For the first time more energy from a fusion reaction than was delivered to the capsule.
- The input of 2.05 megajoules (MJ) to the target heated the diamond-shelled, spherical capsule to over 3 million degrees Celsius and yielded 3.15 MJ of fusion energy output.
- This is scientific break-even which has never been achieved before in fusion devices (not even in tokamaks)



Breakeven in NIF

- Ignition shot was part of a new NIF campaign that began in September 2022
- a new laser capability and a thicker capsule for the fusion fuel were introduced and changes made to improve implosion symmetry
- the net energy yield confirmed using multiple independent diagnostics to measure the number of neutrons that escaped the reaction, including radioactive decay and a magnetic spectrometer



Energy gain Q>1 gives scientific breakeven but...

"Engineering" breakeven: takes into account that

- Only a fraction (1-f_{ch}) of fusion energy goes to blanket
- Cooling fluid of blanket drives steam turbines with efficiency $\eta_{elec} = 35 40$ %.
- Fraction f_{recirc} of P_{elec} recirculated back into the heaters
- η_{heat} is the efficiency that power supplied to the heating systems is turned into heat in the fuel

$$P_{heat} = (1 - f_{ch})\eta_{elec}f_{recirc}\eta_{heat}P_{fus}$$



Fusion energy gain Q>1 gives scientific breakeven but...

"Engineering" breakeven:

- $Q_E = P_{fus}/P_{heat} = 1/[(1 f_{ch})\eta_{elec}f_{recirc}\eta_{heat}]$
- For $f_{ch} = 0.2$ (D-T), $\eta_{heat} = 0.7$ and $\eta_{elec} = 0.4$ $\rightarrow Q = 5$ for engineering breakeven ($f_{recirc} = 1$) but at least Q > 20 ($f_{recirc} = 0.2$) for significant energy production ("economic breakeven")
- Note on ICF: for lasers η_{heat} ≈ 0.015 (1.5%)
 ⇒ Real gain very low even close to "scientific breakeven". Trick: redefine Q with energy

put into the driver \longrightarrow delivered by the driver

• In exercises: efficiency of laser absorption and hydrodynamic processes: 10 %, driver efficiency: 10 % (and $\eta_{elec} = 0.4$)



Future plants



Several national and European projects are planned to succeed NIF and LMJ











LIFE builds on NIF, configure into an integrated power plate for 400 – 1500 MWe





A hazards assessment has been completed to identify potential release pathways







https://presemo.aalto.fi/fet/



Summary

- Fusion of D-T fuel has been achieved in inertial confinement system ⇒ concept was first to achieve scientific break-even (NIF, Dec 2022)
- Powerful lasers with energy (MJ) and power (TW) were developed as drivers to reach required power densities
- Direct (including fast ignition) and indirect drive (via xrays, irradiating an Au hohlraum) are used
- Performance is limited by hydrodynamic instabilities ⇒ current focus on capsule design and laser pulse timing
- NIF is currently the largest inertial confinement fusion facility: 1.8 MJ and 500 TW
- Future plants are being considered/designed along NIF

