Debate judging form for teams of six (3 vs. 3) − Parts I & II Debate team <u>Yellow</u>
Judge (your name): Anton
Resolution:
Part I
General Comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches: structure, content and style &delivery
Part I General comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches (1st + 2nd round).
Gov team, 1st speaker (PM) (1st, 7th) 1st The terms were clearly stated. A bit unclear reasoning
2nd Clear resupercement of presented earlier points, well-structured argument
Opp team, 1st speaker (2nd, 8th) 1st Interesting Subry with strong connection to the team's position.
2nd Good delivery, the argument was made less convincing during POI, but overall speech flow was preserved.
Gov team, 2 nd speaker (3 rd , 9 th) 1 st The structure was not straightforward, but the argument was reasonable and delivery seemed enthusiastic.
2nd Nice emotional argument and speech coherence

Opp team, 2nd speaker (4th, 10th)

1st Both arguments and counterarguments were clear and reasonable, although the speech lacked a comprehensive summary.

2nd Clear points despite a couple stutters.

Gov team 1 st	n, 3 rd speaker (5 th , 11 th)
2nd Interes	ting analogy in the end. Good reasoning
Opp team	n, 3rd speaker (6th, 12th) to follow Good connection to previous speakes of the Leam
2 nd Not b	acounted for anought time for conclusion
Part II	Decision: In my judgement the winner of this debate is:
I believe the	ey won this debate because
y	

Debate judging form for teams of six (3 vs. 3) – Parts I & II
Debate team
Judge (your name): Le Hang Phus
Resolution:
Part I
General Comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches: structure, content and style &delivery
Part I General comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches (1st + 2nd round).
Gov team, 1 st speaker (PM) (1 st , 7 th)
1st Styrustured well, convincing, good delivery
2nd Britiant innit
Opp team, 1 st speaker (2 nd , 8 th)
1st the anecdote can be shorter, convincing, but it can be structured better
2 nd Convincing
Gov team, 2 nd speaker (3 rd , 9 th)
1st Nice counterarquement
2nd Strong evidence to defend the counterarguement
Opp team, 2 nd speaker (4 th , 10 th)
1st (an be delivered better
2 nd Better than the 1 st speech

Sov tear	m, 3 rd speaker (5 th , 11 th)
Ist Oan	the speaker (5) 11)
• Decent	, the arguement got interrupted because of a PDI
2 nd (100	d conclusion
	ord (ath 4 oth)
	m, 3 rd speaker (6 th , 12 th)
50001	delivery, strong arguement, well organized
2 nd (104	ed conclusion Too many pauses, conclusion you cut
- Constant 24 or	paules, when you can
Part II	Decision: In my judgement the winner of this debate is:
artn	
	☐ The Government Team ☐ The Opposition Team
	*
believe th	ney won this debate because
	i

Debate judging form for teams of six (3 vs. 3) – Parts I & II Debate team
Judge (your name):
Resolution:
Part I
General Comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches: structure, content and style &delivery
Part I General comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches (1st + 2nd round).
Gov team, 1st speaker (PM) (1st, 7th) 1st Cylene Stark. Stating MISONA INERCESE in Delecte, (and Structure and believely AN))
2nd Driving through points previously opened further justifications were great.
Opp team, 1st speaker (2nd, 8th) 1st Anecho te appealing to enotions, linda evertine but the speach was great.
great though. Good response to question from gov.
Gov team, 2nd speaker (3rd, 9th) 1st Great Idivery, Lontent was good and Lontributed to the algument
2nd fulling opponents incompletent ld. Althout Stats
Opp team, 2nd speaker (4th, 10th) 1st Structure was good, rescricting moments arguments
2nd Bringing no point 28 personal choise. Contene was great

Gov team, 3rd speaker (5th, 11th) 1st CONTENT was thought out but stanttale could'be been or lest lietter- Other wise grant speech
2nd More hypotheticals (which is good). Good Summary.
Opp team, 3rd speaker (6th, 12th) 1st Expanded AME violegy open topics in a constantive manner. Structure and content well good. 2nd
Part II Decision: In my judgement the winner of this debate is:
The Government Team
had villy arguments but I'm not those some convinced by eacher (wehome repearsh mysols). May be 50 the since some significant some some significant some some significant some some some some some some some some

Debate judging form for teams of six (3 vs. 3) – Parts I & II Debate team
Judge (your name):
Resolution: Muna enhage gare edity should be illeged
Part I
General Comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches: structure, content and style &delivery
Part I General comments: Give feedback on each of the speeches $(1^{st} + 2^{nd} \text{ round})$.
Gov team, 1 st speaker (PM) (1 st , 7 th)
1st Beginning about abrheims and support editis? Obtunis sond
2nd Cozied agunt
Opp team, 1 st speaker (2 nd , 8 th)
1st Solid anecdoted amount
2 nd Cood
Gov team, 2 nd speaker (3 rd , 9 th)
1st Decent atthough a list convoluted
2nd Cood arzunent
Opp team, 2 nd speaker (4 th , 10 th)
1st Should look of austran
2nd Cozias

Gov t	eam, 3 rd speaker (5 th , 11 th)
1 st	Placent Decent
Ч	1) elen
2 nd	
_	(len conduin
	44 45.
	eam, 3 rd speaker (6 th , 12 th)
1st (oad arguments but flow could be better
2 nd	
	Decent argument and conclusi
	yuman and conclusi
Dowt II	Designation in my judgement the winner of this debate is
Part II	Decision: In my judgement the winner of this debate is:
	☐ The Government Team
	The Government reality of the opposition reality
I believ	re they won this debate because
,	