

# Appendix A

## Extended half line

The [extended half-line](#) is a set  $[0, \infty] = \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denotes the nonnegative real numbers and  $\infty$  is an element not in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The extended half-line has a natural algebraic, order-theoretic, and topological structures. The topology then induced a natural Borel sigma-algebra to this space.

### A.1 Algebraic structure

The sum and product operations on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  are extended to  $[0, \infty]$  by defining

$$x + \infty = \infty + x = \infty \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

and

$$x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x = 0, \\ \infty & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

The set  $[0, \infty]$  equipped with these operations is a semi-ring<sup>1</sup> with additive identity 0 and multiplicative identity 1.

### A.2 Order

We define a relation  $\leq$  on  $[0, \infty]$  by saying that  $x \leq y$  if either  $y = \infty$ , or  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $x \leq y$  in the usual ordering on the real line. We denote  $x < y$  whenever  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then set  $[0, \infty]$  then becomes totally ordered<sup>2</sup>, and a complete lattice in the sense that  $\inf(A), \sup(A) \in [0, \infty]$  for every

---

<sup>1</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semiring>

<sup>2</sup>A partial order is a relation  $\leq$  that is reflexive ( $x \leq x$ ), antisymmetric ( $x \leq y, y \leq x \implies x = y$ ), and (transitive  $x \leq y, y \leq z \implies x \leq z$ ). A total order is a partial order such for every  $x, y$ , either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ .

nonempty  $A \subset [0, \infty]$ . We denote intervals with endpoints  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$  by  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ , and  $[a, b]$  as usual.

### A.3 Topology

Sets of the form  $(a, b) = \{x : a < x < b\}$  are called **open intervals** in  $[0, \infty]$ . Sets of the form  $[0, a) = \{x : x < a\}$  and  $(a, \infty) = \{x : x > a\}$  are called **open rays** in  $[0, \infty]$ . A set  $A \subset [0, \infty]$  is called **open** if it can be expressed as a union<sup>3</sup> of open intervals and open rays in  $[0, \infty]$ . The collection of all open sets is denoted  $\mathcal{T}([0, \infty])$  and called the **topology**<sup>4</sup> of  $[0, \infty]$ . Examples of open sets are  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $[0, \infty]$ . Examples of closed sets include the singleton sets  $\{a\}$  with  $a \in [0, \infty]$  and the sets  $[0, a]$  and  $[0, \infty]$ . This type of topology can be defined for any totally ordered space — in general such topologies are called **order topologies**.

One may verify that  $F: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-x}, & 0 \leq x < \infty, \\ 1, & x = \infty \end{cases}$$

is an increasing and continuous bijection with an increasing and continuous inverse

$$F^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{1}{1-x}, & 0 \leq x < 1, \\ \infty, & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $F$  serves as an order isomorphism and a topology isomorphism (homeomorphism) between  $[0, \infty]$  and  $[0, 1]$ . Hence these sets share the same order-theoretic and topological properties. Especially, we find that  $[0, \infty]$  is a compact and connected topological space. We can express the topology of the extended half line as  $\mathcal{T}([0, \infty]) = F^{-1}(\mathcal{T}([0, 1]))$ .

**Proposition A.3.1.** *Every open set in  $[0, \infty]$  can be expressed as a countable union of open intervals and open rays.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  the set of nonnegative rational numbers. Denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the set family consisting of open intervals  $(a, b)$ , lower open rays  $[0, a)$ , and upper open rays  $(a, \infty]$  with *rational* endpoints  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ . We will show that every open set in  $[0, \infty]$  can be expressed as a union of sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

<sup>3</sup>Also, the empty set is defined to be an open set.

<sup>4</sup>In general, a topology is a set family on  $\Omega$  that contains  $\emptyset, \Omega$  and is closed under arbitrary unions and finite intersections.

Let  $U \subset [0, \infty]$  be open. Pick a point  $x \in U$ . Then  $x$  is either contained in an open interval  $(a, b)$ , in a lower open ray  $[0, a)$ , or in an upper open ray  $(a, \infty]$ , for some  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ .

- (i) If  $x \in (a, b)$ , then  $x \in (a', b')$  for some  $a', b' \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in [0, a)$ , then  $x \in [0, a')$  for some  $a' \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ .
- (iii) If  $x \in (a, \infty]$ , then  $x \in (a', \infty]$  for some  $a' \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ .

To every point  $x \in U$  we may hence associate a set  $R_x \in \mathcal{R}$  that contains  $x$ . As a consequence,  $U = \cup_{x \in U} R_x$  can be expressed as a union of sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Finally, we note that the lower and upper open rays in  $\mathcal{R}$  may be indexed using the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ , and the open intervals in  $\mathcal{R}$  may be indexed using pairs in  $(\mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\})^2$ . Because the sets  $\mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\})^2$  are countable, it follows that every open set in  $[0, \infty]$  can be expressed as a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{R}$  forms a countable basis for the topology of  $[0, \infty]$ .  $\square$

## A.4 Borel sigma-algebra

The Borel sigma-algebra on  $[0, \infty]$  is defined as  $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty]) = \sigma(\mathcal{T}([0, \infty]))$ , the smallest sigma-algebra containing the open sets of  $[0, \infty]$ .

**Proposition A.4.1.** *The family of closed lower rays  $\mathcal{I} = \{[0, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $[0, \infty]$  that generates the Borel sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty])$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\pi$ -system follows immediately by noting that  $[0, x] \cap [0, y] = [0, x \wedge y]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . To finish the proof, it suffices to verify that

$$\mathcal{I} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{T}) \tag{A.4.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{I}), \tag{A.4.2}$$

where  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}([0, \infty])$  is the set family of open sets in  $[0, \infty]$ .

Verifying (A.4.1) is easy because each closed ray  $[0, x]$  is the complement of an open ray  $(x, \infty]$ , and therefore  $[0, x]$  belongs to  $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ . To verify (A.4.2), we proceed in three steps.

- (i) First we observe that  $(a, b] \in \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ , because  $(a, b] = [0, b] \cap [0, a]^c$ : when  $b < \infty$ , both  $[0, a]$  and  $[0, b]$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ ; when  $b = \infty$ ,  $(a, b] = [0, a]^c$  is the complement of a set in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

(ii) By applying (i), we see that  $(a, b) \in \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ , because

$$(a, b) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b - \frac{1}{n}], & b < +\infty, \\ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a, n], & b = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

(iii) By applying (ii), we see that  $[a, b) \in \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b) &= [0, b) \cap [0, a)^c \\ &= \left( [0, 0] \cup (0, b) \right) \cap \left( [0, 0] \cup (0, a) \right)^c. \end{aligned}$$

The claim  $\mathcal{T} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  follows from the above observations, because every open set in  $\mathcal{T}$  can be expressed as a countable union (see Proposition A.3.1) of intervals of form  $(a, b)$  and  $[0, a)$  and  $(a, \infty)$  with  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$ .  $\square$

## A.5 Measurable functions

Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space. A function  $f: S \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called measurable if it is  $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{B}([0, \infty])$ -measurable.

**Proposition A.5.1.**  *$f$  is measurable iff  $\{s : f(s) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition A.4.1, the set family  $\{[0, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ , is a pi-system that generates  $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty])$ . The claim follows by Proposition 2.4.1.  $\square$