

Lecture Outline

1. Introduction
2. Monotonic Rules: Example
3. Monotonic Rules: Syntax & Semantics
4. Description Logic Programs (DLP)
5. Semantic Web Rules Language (SWRL)
6. Nonmonotonic Rules: Syntax
7. Nonmonotonic Rules: Example
8. Rule Markup Language (RuleML)

Motivation – Negation in Rule Head

- In nonmonotonic rule systems, a rule may not be applied even if all premises are known because we have to consider **contrary reasoning chains**
- Now we consider **defeasible** rules that can be defeated by other rules
- Negated atoms may occur in the head and the body of rules, to allow for conflicts
 - $p(X) \rightarrow q(X)$
 - $r(X) \rightarrow \neg q(X)$

Defeasible Rules

$$p(X) \Rightarrow q(X)$$

$$r(X) \Rightarrow \neg q(X)$$

- Given also the facts $p(a)$ and $r(a)$ we conclude neither $q(a)$ nor $\neg q(a)$
 - This is a typical example of 2 rules blocking each other
- Conflict may be resolved using priorities among rules
- Suppose we knew somehow that the 1st rule is stronger than the 2nd
 - Then we could derive $q(a)$

Origin of Rule Priorities

- Higher authority
 - E.g. in law, federal law preempts state law
 - E.g., in business administration, higher management has more authority than middle management
- Recency
- Specificity
 - A typical example is a general rule with some exceptions
- We abstract from the specific prioritization principle
 - We assume the existence of an external priority relation on the set of rules

Rule Priorities

$r1: p(X) \Rightarrow q(X)$

$r2: r(X) \Rightarrow \neg q(X)$

$r1 > r2$

- Rules have a unique label
- The priority relation to be acyclic

Competing Rules

- In simple cases two rules are competing only if one head is the negation of the other
- But in many cases once a predicate p is derived, some other predicates are excluded from holding
 - E.g., an investment consultant may base his recommendations on three levels of risk investors are willing to take: low, moderate, and high
 - Only one risk level per investor is allowed to hold

Competing Rules (2)

- These situations are modelled by maintaining a conflict set $\mathbf{C(L)}$ for each literal \mathbf{L}
- $\mathbf{C(L)}$ always contains the negation of \mathbf{L} but may contain more literals

Defeasible Rules: Syntax

$r : L_1, \dots, L_n \Rightarrow L$

- r is the label
- $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ the body (or premises)
- L the head of the rule
- L, L_1, \dots, L_n are positive or negative literals
- A literal is an atomic formula $p(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ or its negation $\neg p(t_1, \dots, t_m)$
- No function symbols may occur in the rule

Defeasible Logic Programs

- A defeasible logic program is a triple $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{R}, \succ)$ consisting of
 - a set \mathbf{F} of facts
 - a finite set \mathbf{R} of defeasible rules
 - an acyclic binary relation \succ on \mathbf{R}
 - A set of pairs $r \succ r'$ where r and r' are labels of rules in \mathbf{R}

Lecture Outline

1. Introduction
2. Monotonic Rules: Example
3. Monotonic Rules: Syntax & Semantics
4. Description Logic Programs (DLP)
5. Semantic Web Rules Language (SWRL)
6. Nonmonotonic Rules: Syntax
7. Nonmonotonic Rules: Example
8. Rule Markup Language (RuleML)

Brokered Trade

- Brokered trades take place via an independent third party, the broker
- The broker matches the buyer's requirements and the sellers' capabilities, and proposes a transaction when both parties can be satisfied by the trade
- The application is apartment renting an activity that is common and often tedious and time-consuming

The Potential Buyer's Requirements

- At least 45 sq m with at least 2 bedrooms
- Elevator if on 3rd floor or higher
- Pet animals must be allowed
- Carlos is willing to pay:
 - \$ 300 for a centrally located 45 sq m apartment
 - \$ 250 for a similar flat in the suburbs
 - An extra \$ 5 per square meter for a larger apartment
 - An extra \$ 2 per square meter for a garden
 - He is unable to pay more than \$ 400 in total
- If given the choice, he would go for the cheapest option
- His second priority is the presence of a garden
- His lowest priority is additional space

Formalization of Carlos' s Requirements – Predicates Used

- **size(x,y)**, y is the size of apartment x (in sq m)
- **bedrooms(x,y)**, x has y bedrooms
- **price(x,y)**, y is the price for x
- **floor(x,y)**, x is on the y-th floor
- **gardenSize(x,y)**, x has a garden of size y
- **lift(x)**, there is an elevator in the house of x
- **pets(x)**, pets are allowed in x
- **central(x)**, x is centrally located
- **acceptable(x)**, flat x satisfies Carlos' s requirements
- **offer(x,y)**, Carlos is willing to pay \$ y for flat x

Formalization of Carlos' s Requirements – Rules

r1: \Rightarrow acceptable(X)

r2: bedrooms(X,Y), $Y < 2 \Rightarrow \neg$ acceptable(X)

r3: size(X,Y), $Y < 45 \Rightarrow \neg$ acceptable(X)

r4: \neg pets(X) $\Rightarrow \neg$ acceptable(X)

r5: floor(X,Y), $Y > 2, \neg$ lift(X) $\Rightarrow \neg$ acceptable(X)

r6: price(X,Y), $Y > 400 \Rightarrow \neg$ acceptable(X)

r2 > r1, r3 > r1, r4 > r1, r5 > r1, r6 > r1

Formalization of Carlos' s Requirements – Rules (2)

r7: $\text{size}(X,Y), Y \geq 45, \text{garden}(X,Z), \text{central}(X) \Rightarrow \text{offer}(X, 300 + 2*Z + 5*(Y - 45))$

r8: $\text{size}(X,Y), Y \geq 45, \text{garden}(X,Z), \neg\text{central}(X) \Rightarrow \text{offer}(X, 250 + 2*Z + 5(Y - 45))$

r9: $\text{offer}(X,Y), \text{price}(X,Z), Y < Z \Rightarrow \neg\text{acceptable}(X)$

r9 > r1

Representation of Available Apartments

bedrooms(a1,1)

size(a1,50)

central(a1)

floor(a1,1)

\neg lift(a1)

pets(a1)

garden(a1,0)

price(a1,300)

Representation of Available Apartments (2)

Flat	Bedrooms	Size	Central	Floor	Lift	Pets	Garden	Price
a1	1	50	yes	1	no	yes	0	300
a2	2	45	yes	0	no	yes	0	335
a3	2	65	no	2	no	yes	0	350
a4	2	55	no	1	yes	no	15	330
a5	3	55	yes	0	no	yes	15	350
a6	2	60	yes	3	no	no	0	370
a7	3	65	yes	1	no	yes	12	375

Determining Acceptable Apartments

- If we match Carlos's requirements and the available apartments, we see that
- flat **a1** is not acceptable because it has one bedroom only (rule **r2**)
- flats **a4** and **a6** are unacceptable because pets are not allowed (rule **r4**)
- for **a2**, Carlos is willing to pay \$ 300, but the price is higher (rules **r7** and **r9**)
- flats **a3**, **a5**, and **a7** are acceptable (rule **r1**)

Selecting an Apartment

r10: $\text{acceptable}(X) \Rightarrow \text{cheapest}(X)$

**r11: $\text{acceptable}(X), \text{price}(X,Z), \text{acceptable}(Y),$
 $\text{price}(Y,W), W < Z \Rightarrow \neg \text{cheapest}(X)$**

r12: $\text{cheapest}(X) \Rightarrow \text{largestGarden}(X)$

**r13: $\text{cheapest}(X), \text{gardenSize}(X,Z),$
 $\text{cheapest}(Y), \text{gardenSize}(Y,W),$
 $W > Z \Rightarrow \neg \text{largestGarden}(X)$**

Selecting an Apartment (2)

r14: largestGarden(X) \Rightarrow rent(X)

**r15: largestGarden(X), size(X,Z),
largestGarden(Y), size(Y,W),
W > Z \Rightarrow \neg rent(X)**

r11 > r10, r13 > r12, r15 > r14