

## Today

- Path Tracing
- Intro: nested vs. multidimensional integrals and pixel filtering
-Recursive sampling of rendering equation using Monte Carlo
-Direct light sampling
- Bells and whistles


## What can it do ~today? (pre-RTX, though)

- Path Tracing + Deep Learning for noise removal
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(b) Edge-avoiding wavelets

(c) SURE-based filter


## SIGGRAPH 2017

(e) Reference


Fig. 1. Left to right: (a) noisy image generated using path-traced global illumination with one indirect inter-reflection and 1 sample/pixel; (b) edge-avoiding wavelet filter [Dammertz et al. 2010] (10.3ms at 720p, SSIM: 0.7737); (c) SURE-based filter [Li et al. 2012] (74.2ms, SSIM: 0.5960); (d) our recurrent denoising autoencoder ( 54.9 ms , SSIM: 0.8438 ); (e) reference path-traced image with 4096 samples/pixel.

## Monte Carlo Integration

$$
\int_{S} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}_{p}
$$

- Distribute samples in integration domain S according to probability density function $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$
- Then integral equals the expected value of $f(x) / p(x)$


## Let's Go Back to Pixel Filtering

- Remember antialiasing theory from C3100?
- To reduce aliasing, we should ideally...?


## Let's Go Back to Pixel Filtering

- Remember antialiasing theory from C3100?
- To reduce aliasing, we should ideally

1. Low-pass filter the radiance on the image plane before sampling (convolve continuous radiance function + prefilter)
2. Then sample the low-pass filtered radiance at pixel centers

- But we found this was impossible so we turned to supersampling (average many samples in pixel)
- There is a "proper" way to look at that as well, and here it is..
- (And separate tricks for textures)
- MIP-maps


Filter $f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)$ centered at pixel $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$

Filter $f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)$ centered at pixel $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ times the underlying signal

Low-pass filtered continuous image (convolution of $f$ and input image; we can actually never compute this exactly)
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## Samples at pixel centers

## Samples evaluate convolution result at pixel centers



## $\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y$

i.e., value for pixel at $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ is the integral of the filter times the underlying signal

## Pixel Filtering

- Prefilter convolution and sampling can be combined:

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right) L(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

- $I_{j}$ is the (discrete) intensity/radiance value of $j$ th pixel
- Here $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j},}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are the center of pixel $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{f}$ is the pixel filter
- Yes, it's just a weighted average


## Filter Normalization

- In practice, we don't care about normalizing the filters analytically, but do it numerically instead

$$
I_{j}=\frac{\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right) L(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}
$$

- Intuitive: when we evaluate the above using MC, we sum the "filter weights" from each sample and divide by the sum in the end
-Note that $1 / \mathrm{N}$ cancels out as it's both above and below
-IMPORTANT do it this way, don't rely on $\int f(x, y)=1$


## Common Pixel Filters, 1D profiles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\text {box }}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & -0.5 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& f_{\text {tent }}(x)= \begin{cases}x+1, & -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\
1-x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& f_{\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}}(x)=\frac{1}{6} \begin{cases}7|x|^{3}-12|x|^{2}+\frac{16}{3} & |x|<1 \\
-\frac{7}{3}|x|^{3}+12|x|^{2}-20|x|+\frac{32}{3} & 1 \leq|x| \leq 2 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \text { Mitchell-Netravali filter with } A=1 / 3, B=1 / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension to 2D

- "Tensor product" or "separable" filters are constructed from the 1D filters by multiplication

$$
f(x, y)=f(x) f(y)
$$

- You can also use a non-separable pyramid as a 2D filter, but there seems to be little point
- OK, one more: Gaussian

$$
f_{\text {Gaussian }}^{\sigma}(x)=\exp \left\{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\}
$$

-Notes: sigma controls width; not normalized to unit integral!
-Never drops to zero. We usually cut the filter at $3 *$ sigma or so.

## Down to Business: AO

- What if each value of the original image is an integral?
- In assignment 1 you compute, for each primary hit P

$$
\int_{\Omega} V(P, \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega
$$

using Monte Carlo integration
-V is a function that is 1 if the ray of a certain length is unblocked, 0 if it is blocked

## Let's Combine Pixel Filter with AO

- Each pixel value given by
$I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y$
- (Normalization not shown)
- Two nested 2D integrals
-Outer one over the screen (2D)
- Inner one over the hemisphere at the point $P$ hit by ray through image coordinages $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$
- Again, 2D (hemisphere)


## Outer Integral

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image
plane


## Inner Integral, for each eye ray

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image
plane


## Inner Integral, for each eye ray

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image
plane


## Inner Integral, for each eye ray

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image plane


## Inner Integral, for each eye ray

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image plane

Naive MC implementation:
(PDFs, accumulation not shown)
for $i=1$ to \#eyerays pick (x,y)
$\mathrm{P}=$ castray ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) for $\mathrm{j}=1$ to \#aorays
// shoot rays from $P$ // etc end
end
scene
geometry

## Inner Integral, for each eye ray

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen }} f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \omega\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

image plane

Naive MC implementation:
(PDFs, accumulation not shown)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1 \text { to \#eyerays } \\
& \text { pick (x,y) } \\
& \text { P=castray }(x, y) \\
& \text { for j=1 to \#aorays } \\
& \quad / / \text { shoot rays from P } \\
& \quad / / \text { etc } \\
& \text { end } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Although you do this in assn1, it makes little sense

## Problems

- Difficult to control number of rays cast in the pixel
- You have two knobs to tweak
- What if we had even further integrals...?


## Recap: Rendering Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})=\int_{\Omega} L(x \leftarrow \mathbf{l}) f_{r}(x, \mathbf{l} & \rightarrow \mathbf{v}) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \mathbf{l} \\
& +E(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

to know incoming radiance, must know outgoing radiance elsewhere => recursion!


## "Monte-Carlo Ray Tracing"

- Cast a ray from the eye through each pixel
- Cast N random rays from the hit point to evaluate hemispherical integral using random sampling



## "Monte-Carlo Ray Tracing"

- Cast a ray from the eye through each pixel
- Cast N random rays from the visible point
- Recurse



## "Monte-Carlo Ray Tracing"

- Cast a ray from the eye through each pixel
- Cast N random rays from the visible point
- Recurse



## "Monte-Carlo Ray Tracing"

- Cast a ray from the eye through each pixel
- Cast N random rays from the visible point
- Recurse

Combinatorial explosion!


## Combinatorial Explosion

- Sample indirect illumination with 100 rays
- Each ray results in N more rays.. grows exponentially
- For $\mathrm{N}=100$
- 1 eye ray
-100 indirect rays at primary hit
-10000 indirect rays at the secondary hits
-1000000 at the tertiary hits
- You get the picture


## Back to AO: Better Way

- Rather than 2D x 2D, one integral over 4D domain:

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen } \times \Omega} g(x, y, \omega) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

with integrand

$$
g(x, y, \omega)=f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right) V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta
$$

## Back to AO: Better Way

- Rather than 2D x 2D, one integral over 4D domain:

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen } \times \Omega} g(x, y, \omega) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

with integrand

$$
g(x, y, \omega)=f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right) V(P(x, y), \omega) \cos \theta
$$

- This is strictly equivalent; just another point of view - Think of $1 D$ vs. 2D integrals


## Nested 1D + 1D, naive

$$
\int\left(\int f(x, y) \mathrm{d} y\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$



First pick x, then pick a bunch of ys

Repeat

## Nested 1D + 1D, treat as 2D

$$
\int\left(\int f(x, y) \mathrm{d} y\right) \mathrm{d} x=\iint f(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$



Draw 2D samples ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) from 2D pdf

## Visually: One sample is Two Rays

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen } \times \Omega} g(x, y, \omega) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

Better MC implementation:


## Visually: One sample is Two Rays

$$
I_{j}=\int_{\text {screen } \times \Omega} g(x, y, \omega) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

Better MC implementation:


## Implementation Details

- Naturally, if your pixel filters overlap, you use the same samples for updating all the pixels with nonzero filter responses

```
res[k] = weight[k] = 0 for all pixels k
for each pixel k
    for i=1 to #samplesperpixel
        pick sample (x,y,omega) // e.g. 4D Sobol'
        pdf=p(x,y)*p(omega) // usually p(x,y) == 1
        P=castray(x,y) // find primary hit
        V=castray(P,omega).length()>D // evaluate AO shadow term
        for each pixel j where f_j(x,y) is nonzero
        res[j] += f_j(x,y)*cos(theta)*V/pdf
        weight[j] += f_j(x,y)/p(x,y)
        end
    end
end
res[k] = res[k]/weight[k]
```

Filter of $j$ th pixel

$$
f_{j}(x, y)=f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)
$$

## Monte Carlo Path Tracing

- Trace only one secondary ray per recursion -Otherwise number of rays explodes!
- But send many primary rays per pixel (antialiasing)



## Monte Carlo Path Tracing

- Trace only one secondary ray per recursion -Otherwise number of rays explodes!
- But send many primary rays per pixel (antialiasing)


Will treat next time!

## Monte Carlo Path Tracing

- The idea is just the same as before with $\mathrm{AO}+$ filter
- Instead of thinking about nested integrals over hemispheres at each bounce, let's think of one integral over the Cartesian product of all the hemispheres
-For n bounces, the domain is screen $\times \underbrace{\Omega \times \ldots \times \Omega}$
-Each sample is a path $=$ sequence of rays $n$ times



## Example: 1 Indirect Bounce

- Nested version $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right.$ are ray hit points)



## Example: 1 Indirect Bounce

- Nested version ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ are ray hit points)

$$
L_{2}(x, y)=\quad L\left(P_{1} \leftarrow \omega_{1}\right)
$$

$\int_{\Omega\left(P_{1}\right)} \overbrace{\left[\int_{\Omega\left(P_{2}\right)} E\left(r\left(P_{2}, \omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow P_{2}\right) f_{r}\left(P_{2}, \omega_{2} \rightarrow-\omega_{1}\right) \cos \theta_{2} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{2}\right]}^{L}$


## Example: 1 Indirect Bounce

- Flat version, 4D integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}(x, y)=\int_{\Omega\left(P_{1}\right) \times \Omega\left(P_{2}\right)} E\left(r\left(P_{2}, \omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow P_{2}\right) \times \\
& f_{r}\left(P_{2}, \omega_{2} \rightarrow-\omega_{1}\right) f_{r}\left(P_{1}, \omega_{1} \rightarrow \text { eye }\right) \times
\end{aligned}
$$



This really is just as simple as going from two nested 1D integrals to a 2 D area integral!

## Full Solution

- The full lighting solution is a sum over paths of all lengths

$$
L(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} L_{i}(x, y), \quad \text { with } L_{0}(x, y)=E\left(P_{1} \leftarrow \text { eye }\right)
$$

- Notice how we've "unwrapped" the recursive rendering equation into a sum of terms
$-n$ bounce lighting is an integral over screen $\times \underbrace{\Omega \times \ldots \times \Omega}_{n \text { times }}$
-This is really the same as directly evaluating the terms of the Neumann series E + TE + TTE + ...


## Sampling Paths

- "Local path sampling" proceeds bounce to bounce, always importance sampling according to local BRDF
- That is, for each sample (path):
-First sample screen $(x, y)$, then trace ray
- At primary hit, choose outgoing direction $\omega_{1}$, trace ray
- At secondary hit, choose outgoing direction $\omega_{2}$
- Apply local PDFs at each step.. justification below
- Denote the full path $\bar{x}=\left(x, y, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right)$
- Then $p(\bar{x})=p(x, y) p\left(\omega_{1}\right) p\left(\omega_{2}\right) \ldots$
-(This assumes independent choices at each bounce)
- Easy to implement Aalto cs-E5520 Spring 2019 - Lehtinen


## Brute Force Path Tracing, Eye Part

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})=\int_{\Omega} L(x \leftarrow \mathbf{l}) f_{r}(x, \mathbf{l} & \rightarrow \mathbf{v}) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \mathbf{l} \\
& +E(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

for each pixel
Lout $=0, \mathrm{w}=0$
for $i=1$ to \#samples generate xi,yi inside pixel with $p(x, y)$ ray_i = generatecameraray(xi,yi) Lout += f(xi,yi) * trace(ray_i)/p(x,y) w += f(xi,yi)/p(x,y)
endfor
L(pixel) = Lout/w
endfor
(Assuming, for simplicity, that only one pixel filter is nonzero. Look back a few slides for full treatment.)

## Brute Force Path Tracing

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})=\int_{\Omega} L(x \leftarrow \mathbf{l}) f_{r}(x, \mathbf{l} & \rightarrow \mathbf{v}) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \mathbf{l} \\
& +E(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

```
trace (ray)
    hit \(=\) intersect(scene, ray)
    result \(=\) emission(hit,-dir(ray)) // 0 if no light
    // sample outgoing direction
    [w,pdf] = sampleReflection(hit, dir(ray))
    // recursively estimate incoming radiance, apply BRDF
    result += BRDF(hit,-dir(ray),w)*
        cos(theta)*
        trace(ray(hit,w))/pdf
    return result
    // when we apply the PDF like this, we are implicitly
    // multiplying them for all bounces like shown before
```


## Notes

- sampleReflection() chooses a direction with which to estimate reflectance integral for indirect part - I.e. importance sample according to BRDF




## Why "Brute Force"?

- We're waiting for the sampler to hit the light on its own
-Often not a good idea
-But sometimes we can't do too much else
-Think of an architectural model where all the light comes through several specular bounces through windows
- In simple cases we can help by adding an explicit direct light sampling step to each bounce


## This Doesn't Work!



## Brute Force Path Tracing

- Trace only one secondary ray per recursion -Otherwise number of rays explodes!
- But send many primary rays per pixel (antialiasing)



## Path Tracing w/ Light Sampling

- At each hit, also sample a light and shoot a shadow ray
- The standard way of doing path tracing
- Also called "next event estimation"



## Importance of Sampling the Light

1 path
per pixel
Without explicit light sampling


With explicit light sampling


## Path Tracing w/ Light Sampling



## Interpretation of Shadow Rays

- Recall: the full lighting solution is a sum over paths of all lengths

$$
L(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} L_{i}(x, y), \quad \text { with } L_{0}(x, y)=E\left(P_{1} \leftarrow \text { eye }\right)
$$

- Notice how we've "unwrapped" the recursive rendering equation into a sum of terms
$-n$ bounce lighting is an integral over screen $\times \underbrace{\Omega \times \ldots \times \Omega}_{n \text { times }}$
(brute force PT)
-But now we've replaced the final hemisphere with lights by solid-angle-to-area conversion: screen $\times \omega \times \omega \ldots \times$ lights


## Path Tracing Pseudocode

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})=\int_{\Omega} L(x \leftarrow \mathbf{l}) f_{r}(x, \mathbf{l} & \rightarrow \mathbf{v}) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \mathbf{l} \\
& +E(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

## trace (ray)

hit = intersect(scene, ray)
if ray is from camera // only add "very direct" light here result = emission(hit,-dir(ray))
[y,pdf1] = sampleLightsource() // pick shadow ray dest.
// G(hit,y) contains the usual cosine/r^2 of the
// hemisphere-to-area variable change
result += V(hit,y)*E(y,y->hit)*BRDF*cos*G(hit,y)/pdf1
[w,pdf] = sampleReflection(hit,dir(ray)) // like before
result += BRDF(hit,-dir(ray),w)*
cos(theta)* trace(ray(hit,w))/pdf
return result

## Notes 2

- sampleLightsource() picks a point on the light source and evaluates its PDF
- You're doing this in the first part of your radiosity assignment
- ..and we saw this already on the first MC lecture
- We're (again) applying the solid angle-to-area variable change (i.e. we're integrating over the surface of the light source)
- When you have multiple light sources, you pick one at random, and build this into the PDF
- Simple: just multiply the light source $p(y)$ with the probability of picking that particular light source


## Picking Lights

- It makes sense to importance sample the light you pick
- E.g. doesn't make sense to sample dim, far-away lights as often as bright, nearby ones!


## One Small Problem

## One Small Problem

- Yes, it doesn't terminate if you just keep going
-Fortunately, there's still something we can do!


## Russian Roulette

- The usual MC estimate is $E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}_{p}$
$-f / p$ is a random variable because $x$ is a random variable


## Russian Roulette

- The usual MC estimate is $E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}_{p}$
$-f / p$ is a random variable because $x$ is a random variable
- Let's multiply this by another specially constructed random variable R
$-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x})=0$ with probability $\alpha(x)$, and $R=1 /(1-\alpha)$ otherwise
- Also assume $\alpha$ and $x$ are uncorrelated (independent). Then:

$$
E\left\{\frac{R \cdot f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}=E\{R\} E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}=E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}
$$

## Russian Roulette: What is Going On?

- $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x})=0$ with probability $\alpha(x)$, and $R=1 / \alpha$ otherwise

$$
E\left\{\frac{R \cdot f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}=E\{R\} E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}=E\left\{\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right\}
$$

- We've given ourselves permission to sometimes replace the value of the integrand with zero without introducing bias to the result
- When we don't set it to zero, we multiply the result by $1 / \alpha$
- This means, for instance, that we can probabilistically terminate light paths without tracing them to infinity


## Path Tracing w/ RR

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})=\int_{\Omega} L(x \leftarrow \mathbf{l}) f_{r}(x, \mathbf{l} & \rightarrow \mathbf{v}) \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \mathbf{l} \\
& +E(x \rightarrow \mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

## trace (ray)

hit = intersect(scene, ray)
if ray is from camera // only add "very direct" light here result = emission(hit,-dir(ray))
[y,pdf1] = sampleLightsource() // pick shadow ray dest.
result $+=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}->$ hit)*BRDF*cos*G(hit,y)/pdf1
[w,pdf] = sampleReflection(hit,dir(ray))
// russian roulette with alpha=0.5
terminate $=$ uniformrandom() $<0.5$
if !terminate

```
        result += BRDF(hit,-dir(ray),w)*
                        cos(theta)*
                        trace(ray(hit,w))/pdf/0.5 // 1/0.5 =mult. by 2!
```

return result

## "Path Space"

- Earlier we wrote n-bounce lighting as a simultaneous integral over $n$ hemispheres
- We can just as well integrate over surfaces instead
- We just need to add in the geometry terms like before
- $1 / \mathrm{r}^{2}$, visibility, the other cosine
- The space of paths of length n is then simply

with $S$ being the set of 2D surfaces of the scene
- See Eric Veach's PhD


## What Does It Look Like?

- Jacco Bikker's Brigade Real Time GPU Path Tracer (video)
-Multiple GPUs + post processing for removing noise
-A few years old already, but gets the point across
- See http://raytracey.blogspot.co.nz/2012/08/real-time-path-traced-brigade-demo-at.html


## Bigger Picture

- We are shooting rays from the camera, propagating them along, and kind of hoping we will find light - Actively try to hit it by the light source samples
- What about more difficult cases?
- In a caustic, the light propagates through a series of specular refractions and reflections before hitting a diffuse surface


## Problem With Caustics

- Think of an almost pointlike light shining through a sequence of curved mirrors onto a receiver

small, bright light


diffuse

## Problem With Caustics

- The point hit by the eye ray effectively sees a pointlight in the direction of the last mirror
small, bright light

- 


## Problem With Caustics

- The point hit by the eye ray effectively sees a pointlight in the direction of the last mirror
-How does the cosine importance sampler know that? small, bright light



## Problem With Caustics

- All we can do is shoot shadow rays towards the light -Not very helpful here!

eye

To be continued...

