

Conditional independence

Kaie Kubjas, 23.9.2020

Agenda

- Conditional independence: definition and axioms
- Conditional independence ideals
- Mostly traditional lecture, one or two short worksheet tasks in Breakout rooms
- At the beginning of the next lecture, we will continue with the same topic: primary decompositions of conditional independence ideals

Setup

- Random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$
- X takes values in a Cartesian product space $\mathcal{X} = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{X}_i$
- We assume that either:
 - X has density $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ that is continuous on $\mathcal{X}$, or
 - $\mathcal{X}$ is a finite set and then $f(x)$ is the joint distribution $P(X = x)$

Setup

- Given $A \subseteq [m]$, let
 - $X_A = (X_a)_{a \in A}$
 - $\mathcal{X}_A = \prod_{a \in A} \mathcal{X}_a$
- Given a partition $A_1 \mid \cdots \mid A_k$ of $[m]$, let $f(x_{A_1}, \dots, x_{A_k})$ denote f with some variables grouped together

Marginalization

Def: Let $A \subseteq [m]$. The marginal density $f_A(x_A)$ of X_A is obtained by integrating out $x_{[m]\setminus A}$

$$f_A(x_A) := \int_{x_{[m]\setminus A}} f(x_A, x_{[m]\setminus A}) dx_{[m]\setminus A}$$

for all x_A .

Conditioning

Def: Let $A, B \subseteq [m]$ be pairwise disjoint subsets and let $x_B \in \mathcal{X}_B$. The **conditional density** of X_A **given** $X_B = x_B$ is defined as

$$f_{A|B}(x_A | x_B) := \begin{cases} \frac{f_{A \cup B}(x_A, x_B)}{f_B(x_B)} & \text{if } f_B(x_B) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Independence

Def: We say that $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are mutually independent or completely independent if

$$P(X_1 \in B_1, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in B_i)$$

for all measurable sets $B_1, \dots, B_n$ in appropriate spaces.

- Similar characterizations for cumulative distribution function and density function.

Conditional independence

Def: Let $A, B, C \subseteq [m]$ be pairwise disjoint subsets. We say that X_A is **conditionally independent** of X_B given X_C if and only if

$$f_{A \cup B | C}(x_A, x_B | x_C) = f_{A | C}(x_A | x_C) f_{B | C}(x_B | x_C)$$

for all x_A, x_B, x_C .

- The notation $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ (or $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B | C$) denotes that the random vector X satisfies the conditional independence (CI) statement that X_A is conditionally independent of X_B given X_C .

Conditional independence

- Let x_B and x_C be such that $f_{B|C}(x_B | x_C)$ is defined and positive.
- Assume that $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ holds.
- Then

$$f_{A|B \cup C}(x_A | x_B, x_C) = \frac{f_{A \cup B|C}(x_A, x_B | x_C)}{f_{B|C}(x_B | x_C)} = f_{A|C}(x_A | x_C).$$

- Given X_C , knowing X_B does not give any information about X_A .

Marginal independence

- A statement of the form $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B := X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_\emptyset$ is called a **marginal independence statement**.
- It corresponds to the factorization of densities

$$f_{A \cup B}(x_A, x_B) = f_A(x_A)f_B(x_B).$$

- This is the same as the **independence of random variables**.

Conditional independence axioms

- Suppose a random vector X satisfies a set of conditional independence statements. Which other conditional independence relations must the same random vector satisfy?
- There is no finite set of axioms from which all conditional independence relations can be deduced.
- There are some easy conditional independence implications, which are called the conditional independence axioms or conditional independence rules.

Conditional independence axioms

Prop: Let $A, B, C, D \subseteq [m]$ be pairwise disjoint subsets. Then

- (symmetry) $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C \implies X_B \perp\!\!\!\perp X_A | X_C$
- (decomposition) $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$
- (weak union) $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D}$
- (contraction) $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D}$ and $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_D | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C$

Intersection axiom

Prop (Intersection axiom): Suppose that $f(x) > 0$ for all x . Then

$$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_{C \cup D} \text{ and } X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_C \mid X_{B \cup D} \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup C} \mid X_D.$$

- The condition $f(x) > 0$ for all x is stronger than necessary.
- For discrete random variables, precise conditions can be given which guarantee that the intersection axiom holds. This is done using algebra!

Discrete conditional independence models

- A vector of discrete random variables $X_1, \dots, X_m$
- X_j takes values in $[r_j]$
- X takes values in $\mathcal{R} = \prod_{j=1}^m [r_j]$
- For $A \subseteq [m]$, $\mathcal{R}_A = \prod_{a \in A} [r_a]$

Discrete conditional independence models

Prop: If X is a **discrete random vector**, then the conditional independence statement $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_C$ holds if and only if

$$p_{i_A, i_B, i_C, +} \cdot p_{j_A, j_B, i_C, +} - p_{i_A, j_B, i_C, +} \cdot p_{j_A, i_B, i_C, +} = 0$$

for all $i_A, j_A \in \mathcal{R}_A, i_B, j_B \in \mathcal{R}_B$ and $i_C \in \mathcal{R}_C$.

- The notation $p_{i_A, i_B, i_C, +}$ denotes the probability $P(X_A = i_A, X_B = i_B, X_C = i_C)$ which can be written as

$$p_{i_A, i_B, i_C, +} = \sum_{j_{[m] \setminus A \cup B \cup C} \in \mathcal{R}_{[m] \setminus A \cup B \cup C}} p_{i_A, i_B, i_C, j_{[m] \setminus A \cup B \cup C}}.$$

Conditional independence ideal

Def: The conditional independence ideal $I_{A \perp\!\!\!\perp B|C}$ is generated by the polynomials $p_{i_A, i_B, i_C, +} \cdot p_{j_A, j_B, i_C, +} - p_{i_A, j_B, i_C, +} \cdot p_{j_A, i_B, i_C, +}$ for all $i_A, j_A \in \mathcal{R}_A, i_B, j_B \in \mathcal{R}_B$ and $i_C \in \mathcal{R}_C$.

Def: The probability simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}} = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{R}} : \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} p_i = 1, p_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

Def: The discrete conditional independence model is $\mathcal{M}_{A \perp\!\!\!\perp B|C} := V_{\Delta}(I_{A \perp\!\!\!\perp B|C}) \subseteq \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Example: Let $m = 2$ and consider the ordinary independence statement $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$. Then

$$I_{1 \perp\!\!\!\perp 2} = \langle p_{i_1, j_1} p_{i_2, j_2} - p_{i_1, j_2} p_{i_2, j_1} : i_1, i_2 \in [r_1], j_1, j_2 \in [r_2] \rangle.$$

Conditional independence ideal

- If $\mathcal{C} = \{X_{A_1} \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B_1} \mid X_{C_1}, X_{A_2} \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B_2} \mid X_{C_2}, \dots\}$ is a set of conditional independence statements, then the conditional independence ideal is defined as

$$I_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \mid C \in \mathcal{C}} I_{A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \mid C}.$$

- The model $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} := V_{\Delta}(I_{\mathcal{C}}) \subseteq \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}$ consists of all probability distributions that satisfy all the conditional independence statements in $\mathcal{C}$.

Next time

- Statistics primer
- Pretask:
 - Read Chapters 5.1-5.2 (pages 99-104)
 - Answer the following questions:
 1. What is the difference between probability and statistics?
 2. Can a model be parametric and implicit?
 3. The book uses $X_1, \dots, X_m$ and $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}$. What is the difference between the two notations?