

**Questions based on lecture 10 (Multi-class classification)**

- (1) (1.0 pt.) Select all correct statements:
- (a) One-vs-all multi-class classification scheme always produces the optimal error rate for a classifier
  - (b) One-vs-one is more robust to uneven classes than one-vs-all
  - (c) Comparing classifier scores in one-vs-all scheme is a meaningful way to break the possible ties
  - (d) One-vs-one model is more prone to overfitting than one-vs-all
  - (e) If one-vs-one model misclassifies an example  $\mathbf{x}$ , at least half of the binary classification models concerning its true class must have made an error
- (2) (2.0 pt.) [*Programming exercise*] Implement the ECOC scheme for digits data given by the example code below (also given in materials as a python script). Use the perceptron from sklearn as the binary base classifier with the default parameters (i.e. instantiate it as `classifier = Perceptron()`). Investigate the effect the minimum hamming distance of the random codewords have on the accuracy of the ECOC multi-class classification. In what interval does the difference in accuracy for minimum 10 and 1 Hamming distances (i.e.  $mean(acc_{\min(hamming\ dists)=10}) - mean(acc_{\min(hamming\ dists)=1})$ ) fall? If none, then choose the closest one.
- (a) [0.25, 0.4]
  - (b) [0.1, 0.25[
  - (c) [0, 0.1[
  - (d) [-0.1, 0[

**Hint:** you can calculate Hamming distances between all pairs of elements in X and Y (on rows) with

```
from sklearn.metrics import pairwise_distances
pdists = pairwise_distances(X, Y, metric="hamming")*X.shape[1]
```

(sklearn's implementation divides with the length of the elements)

**Hint:** Create a random array of size (number of classes  $\times$  length of codeword) by

```
np.round(np.random.rand(nc, rcode_len))
```

**Hint:** When creating the random codewords of various lengths remember to check if the codeword is suitable; i.e. remove columns where there is only one label, and duplicate columns. Note also that the codewords themselves need to be unique.

**Questions based on lecture 11 (Preference learning, ranking)**

- (1) (1.0 pt.) Select all correct statements:
- (a) When in rankSVM  $y_{ij} \mathbf{w}^\top \Delta x_{ij} < 0$ , then the pair  $(x_i, x_j)$  is correctly classified
  - (b) RankSVM corresponds to traditional SVM problem with  $\phi((x_i, x_j)) = x_i - x_j$
  - (c) Given a set  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of objects for rankSVM, there can be  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  dual variables in the dual formulation
- (2) (1.0 pt.) Alice and Bob wish to have a literature circle during the Covid, and consider
- Alice's adventures in Wonderland (AAW)
  - Diskworld series (DW)
  - Dune

- Foundation series
- Pride and Prejudice (PP).

Alice prefers the order  $AAW \succ DW \succ PP \succ Dune \succ Foundation$  while Bob prefers the order  $PP \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Foundation$ . To resolve the conflict they decide that they should read the books in order  $\sigma$  that has a small value  $d_{max}(\sigma) = \max d_K(\sigma_{Alice}, \sigma), d_K(\sigma_{Bob}, \sigma)$  where  $d_K$  is the Kendall's distance, meaning that  $\sigma$  is close to both Alice's and Bob's preferred order.

Which of the following orders would be the best for Alice and Bob:

- $PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ Foundation$
- $AAW \succ PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation$
- $PP \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation$

### Example code for exercise 2:

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.linear_model import Perceptron
from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
from sklearn.metrics import pairwise_distances, accuracy_score

# the constants for creating the data
n_tot = 200
ntr = 50
nts = n_tot - ntr
nc = 10

X, y = load_digits(n_class=nc, return_X_y=True)

# divide into training and testing
Xtr = X[:ntr, :]
ytr = y[:ntr]
Xts = X[ntr:(ntr+nts), :]
yts = y[ntr:(ntr+nts)]
```