MS-E1600 Probability Theory Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis Aalto University

## 6 Convergence of random sequences

In this exercise you study which random sequences converge almost surely or converge in probability.

**6.1** Variance. Denote the variance of a random variable  $X \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathsf{P})$  with expected value  $m_X = \mathbb{E}[X]$  by  $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - m_X)^2]$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\operatorname{Var}(X + a) = \operatorname{Var}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(aX) = a^2 \operatorname{Var}(X)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathsf{P})$  are independent, show that  $\operatorname{Var}(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_k)$ .
- (c) Does the result of (b) remain true for dependent random variables? Prove the claim or give a counterexample

6.2 Some characteristic functions.

- (a) Let  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Calculate the characteristic function  $\varphi_B(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta B}]$  of a random variable B such that  $\mathsf{P}[B=1] = p$  and  $\mathsf{P}[B=0] = 1 - p$  (we denote  $B \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ).
- (b) Let  $p \in [0,1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Calculate the characteristic function  $\varphi_Z(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta Z}]$  of a random variable Z such that  $\mathsf{P}[Z=k] = \binom{n}{k}p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$  for all  $k \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,n\}$  (we denote  $Z \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n,p)$ ).
- (c) Show that if X and Y are independent, with characteristic functions  $\varphi_X$  and  $\varphi_Y$ , then the characteristic function of X + Y is  $\varphi_{X+Y}(\theta) = \varphi_X(\theta) \varphi_Y(\theta)$ .
- (d) Let  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  be independent and identically distributed, with  $\mathsf{P}[B_j = 1] = p$  and  $\mathsf{P}[B_j = 0] = 1-p$ , for all j. Compute the characteristic function of  $S = B_1 + \cdots + B_n$  using parts (a) and (c). Compare with the result of part (b), and conclude that  $S \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$ .

**6.3** Convergence in probability and convergence almost surely along a subsequence. Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are real-valued random variables and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathsf{P}} X$ . Let  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences of positive real numbers such that  $a_k \downarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < +\infty$  — for example  $a_k = \frac{1}{k}$  and  $b_k = 2^{-k}$ .

- (a) Show that there exist positive integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$  such that  $\mathsf{P}[|X_{n_k} X| \ge a_k] \le b_k$ .
- (b) With the sequence  $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  chosen as in part (a), show that

$$\mathsf{P}\Big[|X_{n_k} - X| \ge a_k \text{ for infinitely many } k\Big] = 0.$$

(c) With the sequence  $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  as in part (a), show that  $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$  as  $k \to \infty$ . **Hint.**Recall a suitable Borel-Cantelli lemma. MS-E1600 Probability Theory Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis Aalto University

**6.4** Continuity and convergence in probability. A function  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called uniformly continuous if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have  $|h(x) - h(y)| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be real-valued random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathsf{P})$ . Prove the following statements:

(a) For any uniformly continuous  $h \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X \implies h(X_n) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} h(X).$$

*Remark:* The conclusion is actually valid for any continuous  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . You don't have to prove this, if you are busy with other things.

(b) For any bounded uniformly continuous  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X \implies \begin{cases} \mathbb{E} \big[ |h(X_n) - h(X)| \big] \to 0, \\ \mathbb{E} \big[ h(X_n) \big] \to \mathbb{E} \big[ h(X) \big]. \end{cases}$$

*Remark:* The conclusions are not valid without the assumption of boundedness. Can you give a counterexample in that case?

**6.5** Let  $X_3, X_4, \ldots$  be independent random variables such that for  $k = 3, 4, \ldots$  we have

$$P[X_k = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{k \log(k)}$$
 and  $P[X_k = +k] = \frac{1}{2k \log(k)} = P[X_k = -k]$ 

- (a) Calculate the expected value and variance of  $X_k$ .
- (b) Show that we have

$$\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j \, \log(j)} = \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=3}^{n} \frac{j}{\log(j)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

**Hint.**Recall that the integral function of  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x \log(x)}$  is  $x \mapsto \log(\log(x))$ .

For  $n \geq 3$ , define the average

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{k=3}^n X_k$$

- (a) Does the sequence  $(A_n)_{n=3,4,\dots}$  of averages converge almost surely?
- (b) Does the sequence  $(A_n)_{n=3,4,\dots}$  of averages converge in probability?