CS-E4002 – Special Course in Computer Science: Seminar on Computational Creativity

Lecture 1: Praticalities & Introduction

Anna Kantosalo, Christian Guckelsberger & Tapio Takala





Agenda

Course practicalities:

- Staff
- Learning outcomes
- Assignments & Grading
- Schedule
- Assignment guidelines
- Materials

Introduction to Computational Creativity:

- What is creativity?
- What is computational creativity?
- History & motivations for computational creativity



Course staff



Prof. Tapio "Tassu" Takala



School of Science



Dr. Anna **Kantosalo**

Dr. Christian Guckelsberger



Students

What do you study and what is your motivation for taking the course?



If you didn't sign up yet... Tell us your name, your study subject and describe your motivation for taking the course.

Signup is open until Monday the 18th



Learning outcomes

- After the course you will
- 1) Know what computational creativity (CC) means
- 2) Be aware of the key concepts in CC
- 3) Understand what kind of questions CC addresses
- 4) Be able to analyze and discuss creative AI systems scientifically
- 5) Be aware of the main components for implementing a CC system
- 6) Be more familiar with scientific writing and information finding



Course arrangements

- Course lectures & presentations remotely in Zoom
 - You can use your camera or not, you can use a virtual background if you like
 - We hope you can use your microphone for discussions
 - Ask questions in chat
- Questions:
 - Anna.Kantosalo@aalto.fi



Course Assignments & Grading

The course consists of three multi-part assignments:

- Essay
 - Outline
 - Full draft
 - Final
- Presentation
 - Slides
 - Talk for 20 minutes + 10 minutes discussion
- Peer feedback
 - Once for outline & once for full draft & presentation

Aalto University School of Science

Course Assignments & Grading

You will gather points from each subtask, maximum points for each task are: The course consists of three multi-part assignments:

- Essay
 - Outline
 Full-draft
 Final
 Presentation
 Slides
 Talk for 20 minutes + 10 minutes discussion
 15 points
 10 points
 10 points
- Peer feedback
 - Once for outline & once for full draft & presentation 10+10 points

Total max 100 points



Course Assignments & Grading

You will gather points from each subtask, maximum points for each task are: The course consists of three multi-part assignments:

- Essay
- You need at least 1 point in each assignment and the total of 50 points to pass the course

Points

- Out
- Full
- Fina
- Presenta
 - Slid
 - Talk
- Peer feed

 <50 fail

 $50 \le$ 1

 $60 \le$ 2

 $70 \le$ 3

 $80 \le$ 4

 $90 \le$ 5

Grade

points points points

points points

Once for outline & once for full draft & presentation ¹⁰⁺¹⁰ points

Total max 100 points



Schedule



Assignment Guidelines Essay – Structure

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Domain / Related work
- Description of system / technique
- Analysis
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- References



Assignment Guidelines Essay – Structure

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Domain / Related work
- Description of system / technique
- Analysis
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- References



Select the more suitable terms for your work. In addition to the major sections listed here, you may use subsections.

Assignment Guidelines Essay – Structure

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Domain / Related work
- Description of system / technique
- Analysis
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- References



- \rightarrow A summary of your essay
- \rightarrow Motivations for your topic
- \rightarrow Scientific surroundings
- \rightarrow Detailed description of topic
- \rightarrow How is the system creative?
- \rightarrow Your ideas for improvement
- \rightarrow A summary of your results
- \rightarrow Any references you have used

Assignment Guidelines Essay – Outline (15 points)

- 2-3 pages
- Language: English
- You can use bullet points or short sentences
- Focus on the structure of your essay use the structure provided in the previous slide
- List your major references
 - The original reference
 - 2< Domain specific other references
 - Some general references for evaluation and analysis

 Summarize your topic and analysis well! → You will get better feedback!
 Aalto University School of Science

Assignment Guidelines Essay – Full Draft (15 points)

- First full draft of your work (6-8 pages)
- Write complete sentences and clarify the terminology you use
- Consider feedback from course staff and your peers
- Consider visualizations for your subject
- Should include the complete analysis of your topic & all relevant references so that you can get relevant peer feedback
- This version should not need any major structural changes for the final version



Assignment Guidelines Essay – Final Version (30 points)

- Final version of your work (6-8 pages)
- Polish your language
- Consider staff & peer feedback
 - Some suggestions are easy to address, others may require refining your argumentation or adding something into your discussion section
 - Consider the questions you received during your presentation should you add or remove something based on them?



Assignment Guidelines

Essay – Final Version – Evaluation guidelines

- Your work will be graded upon (6 points each)
 - Clarity of language & visual (if any) representations
 - Clarity of structure
 - Quality of topic review
 - Quality of analysis
 - Quality of discussion
- There will be a plagiarism check!
- Recommended length 6-8 pages, point penalty if <6 or >8



Assignment Guidelines Presentation (10 points + 10 points)

- Length: 20 minutes
- Prepare your slides well they will count for 10 points
- Shortly describe your domain
- Focus on the system and your analysis
- Return your slides as a pdf a week before your turn for comments + after the session
- This year you can pre-record your talk if you like



Assignment Guidelines Presentation (10 points + 10 points)

Good slides have

- Short, descriptive text and/or images
- Clear structure
- References
- You do not have to fit everything!
 - Think of what is most relevant in your essay
 - Consider what could be interesting to others. You can also add some details in to the end of your presentation, if you anticipate more questions on the topic

• Remember to rehearse!

• Rehearse in front of the mirror or videotape yourself – or ask a friend to listen



Assignment Guidelines Presentation - Discussion

- Each presentation will be followed by a 10-minute discussion
 - During the discussion you are the acting expert of your topic
 - Your peers and staff will ask you questions about your topic
 - The person giving you peer feedback on this round will prepare a few questions for you



Assignment Guidelines

Presentation – Evaluation guidelines

- Your work will be graded upon
 - Clarity of presentation
 - Clarity and suitability of visuals & examples
 - Coherence & substance
 - Is your presentation clear to follow for anyone who has not yet read your essay?
 - Ability to discuss the topic in a clear manner



Assignment Guidelines Peer Feedback - Outline

- Short feedback according to a template provided later
- Focus on the structure and clarity of the outline
- Think if the outline contains everything you need to understand the analysis
 - Would you add or remove something?
- What was the most interesting part of the outline?
- Additional improvement suggestions



Assignment Guidelines Peer Feedback – Outlines, Full Drafts & Presentation

- Short feedback according to templates provided later
 - You will give feedback to 2 outlines & 2 Full drafts
- Prepare to lead the discussion after one presentation
 - Prepare 2-3 questions for the presenter
 - Everyone will also give the presenter added feedback with templates



Materials

- We use LaTeX (Pronounce X as the Greek letter Chi!)
 - To set up a LaTeX environment on your computer you will need an editor and a compiler
 - See e.g. <u>https://www.latex-project.org/get/</u> for suggestions on editors and compilers for different operating systems
 - Or use the online environment Overleaf for which Aalto provides a campus license: <u>https://www.overleaf.com/edu/aalto</u>
- Useful resources for debugging LaTeX related problems: <u>https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/LaTeX</u>, <u>https://ctan.org/?lang=en</u>, <u>https://tex.stackexchange.com/</u>



Materials

- Use the LaTeX style provided here: <u>http://computationalcreativity.net/iccc2019/ICCC-author-kit.zip</u>
 - Do not modify the style file
 - You can add LaTeX packages and commands as needed; all submissions are done as pdf - no source code required
- Remember to keep a copy of your source & other files
 - Consider setting up a git repository or similar it is good practice for writing your thesis



Introduction to Computational Creativity







- What is creativity?
- What is computational creativity? History & motivations for computational creativity

A Brief Look at Creativity



Join the discussion in Miro: https://miro.com/app/board/o9J_laz5TL0=/



Ambiguity in Modern Creativity Research

- Modern creativity research initiated with Guilford's 1950 presidential address to the American Psychological Association (APA)
- Followed by explosion in creativity research: > 9000 related studies until 1998 alone (Runco, Nemiro & Walberg, 1998)
- High ambiguity: already 50 different definitions of "creativity" in 1988 (Taylor, 1988). Jordanous and Keller (2016) suggest considering creativity a "family resemblance" (Wittgenstein, 1953/2009), or even an "essentially contested concept" (Gallie, 1955)



Psychological perspective

In psychology creativity is often divided into four aspects, following Rhodes' 4Ps framework (1961):

- Person the creative individual
- Process what the creative individual does to produce creative outputs
- Product the result of the creative process
- Press the socio-cultural environment receiving the product



The creative product

As the 4P's framework describes, creativity is defined through interdependencies between creative individuals, their processes, and the products they produce for the general public to enjoy.

But what makes a product creative?

2-component "standard definition of creativity" (Runco & Jaeger, 2012):

- novelty
- value (or utility, or aesthetic pleasure, ...)



Newell, Simon & Shaw (1958): The Process of Creative Thinking:

- 1. "The product of the thinking has novelty and value (either for the thinker or for his culture)."
- 2. "The thinking is unconventional, in the sense that it requires modification or rejection of previously-accepted ideas."
- 3. "The thinking requires high motivation and persistence: either taking place over a considerable span of time (continuously or intermittently), or occurring at high intensity."
- 4. Part of a creative problem solving task is to formulate the problem itself



Different types of creativity - products

Margaret Boden has classified creative products into two kinds of classes based on novelty:

- If the product is novel to its creator, we can say it is novel on a psychological level = P-creative
- If the product is universally novel, we can say it is historically novel = H-creative

Partly overlaps with other classifications, e.g. into everyday (little-c) and eminent (big-c) creativity (Kaufmann & Beghetto, 2009).



Different types of creativity - processes

Margaret Boden has also considered different types of creative processes:

- Combinational (or combinatorial) creativity
 - Novel combinations of familiar ideas
- Exploratory creativity
 - Exploration of structured conceptual spaces for novel ideas
- Transformational creativity
 - Transformation of conceptual space for novel ideas





Different types of creativity – processes

Graham Wallas (Art of Thought, 1926) models creativity as a four-stage process:

- Preparation
 - Familiarization with the problem topic
- Incubation
 - Internalizing and unconsciously developing the case
- Illumination
 - Sudden awareness of a possible solution
- Verification
 - Elaborating the solution and checking its consistency



A practical example

 • Can you connect the dots with 4 straight lines without lifting your pen?



A practical example

"thinking outside the box"





A number of definitions for computational creativity have been presented in the literature – let's look at a few.



"The study and support, through computational means and methods, of behaviour exhibited by natural and artificial systems, which would be deemed creative if exhibited by humans."

- Wiggins, 2006a



"As a subdiscipline of artificial intelligence, computational creativity explores theories and practices that give rise to a phenomenon, creativity, that all intelligent systems, human or machine, can legitimately lay claim to."

- Cardoso, Veale, & Wiggins, 2009



"The philosophy, science and engineering of computational systems which, by taking on particular responsibilities, exhibit behaviours that unbiased observers would deem to be creative."

- Colton & Wiggins 2012



Combining these ideas, CC is characterized by:

- Use of computational methods or artificial intelligence
- Goals:
 - Study and support of creative behaviors
 - Simulation of creative behavior
 - Engineering of creative systems



Why is computational creativity studied?

- To continue the study of creativity:
 - Simulation of creative behavior with computational means
- To extend artistic practice:
 - Development of new creative systems, methods and tools
- To advance artificial intelligence:
 - Creativity as a step towards general Artificial Intelligence



Why is computational creativity studied?

- Two perspectives (Veale, Cardoso and Pérez y Pérez, 2019):
 - Scientific: Use computational modelling and empirical studies to gain insights into the phenomenon of (human) creativity and the ultimate capabilities of creative people and machines
 - Engineering: Build working systems that embody these theoretical insights, usually to please and benefit people'
- Ideally, both are brought together in a 'symbiotic relationship (...) wherein the artifacts that are produced also serve as empirical tests of the adequacy of scientific theories of creativity' (p. 1).



Psychological perspective

Adapted to computational creativity by Jordanous (2016)

- Person the creative individual Producer the creative system
- Process what the creative individual does to produce creative outputs
- Product the result of the creative process
- Press/Environment the socio-cultural environment receiving the product & creative collaboration between agents



TOPIC SELECTION



Select your topic at MyCourses

- You can pick 3 possible topics
- We will assign you a topic so that every student gets to work individually on a topic that interests them
- If you have your own (computational creativity related) topic in mind, contact the course staff via e-mail and suggest your own



Images and resources

Page 1: Anonymous

Page 27 & 28: On campus artwork, Photo: Julia Weckman

Page 37: Cathredrale de rouen: <u>http://histoiredelart-</u> <u>xiaoyu.blogspot.com/2016/02/serie-des-cathedrales-de-rouen-</u> <u>claude_22.html</u>

Lucio Fontana: <u>https://www.tate.org.uk/art/artworks/fontana-spatial-</u> concept-waiting-t00694



References

Boden, 2017 (non-peer reviewed) What is Creativity? In the Automated Designer <u>http://www.bartdaems.com/the-automated-designer/margaret-a-boden-the-lovelace-questions</u>

Boden, M.A., (1990) The Creative Mind, Abacus, London

Boden, M. A. (1998). Creativity and artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence, 103(1-2), 347-356.

Cardoso, Veale, & Wiggins, 2009: Cardoso, A., Veale, T., and Wiggins, G. A. Converging on the divergent: The history (and future) of the international joint workshops in computational creativity. Al Magazine 30, 3 (2009), 15–22.

Colton, S., & Wiggins, G. A. (2012, August). Computational creativity: The final frontier?. In Ecai (Vol. 12, pp. 21-26).

Colton, S. (2008, March). Creativity Versus the Perception of Creativity in Computational Systems. In AAAI spring symposium: creative intelligent systems (Vol. 8).

Gallie, W. B. (1955). Essentially contested concepts. Proc. Aristotelian Society, 56, 167–198.

Guilford, J. P. (1950). Creativity. American Psychologist. 5, 444-454.

Jordanous, A. (2016). Four PPPPerspectives on Computational Creativity in theory and in practice. Connection Science, 28(2), 194-216.

Jordanous, A. & Keller, B. (2016). Modelling Creativity: Identifying Key Components Through a Corpus-Based Approach. PloS one, 11(10), 1–27.

Kaufman, James C., and Ronald A. Beghetto. "Beyond big and little: The four c model of creativity." *Review of general psychology* 13.1 (2009): 1-12.

Manurung, R., Ritchie, G., Pain, H., Waller, A., O'Mara, D. & Black, R. (2008) "The construction of a pun generator for language skills development." *Applied Artificial Intelligence* 22.9 : 841-869.

McCarthy, J., Minsky, M. L., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. E. (2006). A proposal for the dartmouth summer research project on artificial Aalto University 1955. Al magazine, 27(4), 12-12.

References

Newell, A., Shaw, J. C., & Simon, H. A. (1962). The processes of creative thinking. In *Contemporary Approaches to Creative Thinking, 1958, University of Colorado, CO, US*. Atherton Press.

PÉrez Ý PÉrez, R. & Sharples, M. (2001) MEXICA: A computer model of a cognitive account of creative writing, Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence, 13:2, 119-139, DOI: <u>10.1080/09528130010029820</u>

Rhodes, M. (1961). An analysis of creativity. The Phi Delta Kappan, 42(7), 305-310.

Runco, M. A. & Jaeger, G. J. (2012). The Standard Definition of Creativity. Creativity Research Journal, 24(1), 92-96.

Runco, M. A., Nemiro, J. & Walberg, H. J. (1998). Personal Explicit Theories of Creativity. The Journal of Creative Behavior, 32(1), 1–17.

Taylor, C. W. (1988). Various Approaches to and Definitions of Creativity. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), The Concept of Creativity: Contemporary Psychological Perspectives (pp. 99–121). Cambridge University Press.

Taylor, C. W. (1988). Various Approaches to and Definitions of Creativity. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), The Concept of Creativity: Contemporary Psychological Perspectives (pp. 99–121). Cambridge University Press.

Veale, T., Cardoso, F. A. & Pérez y Pérez, R. (2019). Systematizing Creativity: A Computational View. In T. Veale & F. A. Cardoso (Eds.), Computational Creativity (pp. 1–19). Springer.

Wiggins, G. A. (2006a). Searching for computational creativity. New Generation Computing, 24(3), 209-222.

Wiggins, G. A. (2006b). A preliminary framework for description, analysis and comparison of creative systems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *19*(7), 449-458.

Wittgenstein, L. (2009, originally published 1953). Philosophical Investigations. Wiley.