Nonlinear dynamics & chaos **One-Dimensional** Maps Lecture IX

## Recap

**Last time**: Lorenz' equations and the butterfly – a strange attractor.

Bifurcation Diagram

Growth Rate

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \sigma(y-x) \\ \dot{y} &= rx-y-xz \\ \dot{z} &= xy-bz \end{aligned}$ 



Continuous time. **This time**: Discrete time and another iconic plot.

(Note! It says 0.8 'bifurcation diagram' in the header. Strictly, 0.6 opulation this is an orbit diagram, since unstable branches are not plotted.) 0.0 ⊾ 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0

## **One-Dimensional Maps**

New class of dynamical systems: time is discrete, not continuous.

Example of one-dimensional map:

 $x_{n+1} = \cos x_n$ 

Sequence  $x_0, x_1, x_2, ...$  is the orbit starting from  $x_0$ .

Maps arise:

- 1) As tools for analyzing differential equations. Ex. Lorenz map shows that the Lorenz attractor is not just a long-period limit cycle.
- 2) As models of natural phenomena: digital electronics, economics and finance theory, impulsively driven mechanics, ...
- *3) As simple examples of chaos*: points hop along their orbits → non-smooth behavior, wilder dynamics.

Successful predictions of routes to chaos by using maps.

#### Fixed Points and Cobwebs

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$$

*f* is a smooth function from the real line to itself.

Fixed point  $x^*$ 

$$f(x^*) = x^*$$

$$x_n = x^* \to x_{n+1} = f(x_n) = f(x^*) = x^*$$
Stability of x\* (consider an orbit sweeping past x\*: x\_n = x\* + \eta\_n)  
x\* + \eta\_{n+1} = x\_{n+1} = f(x^\* + \eta\_n) = f(x^\*) + f'(x^\*)\eta\_n + O(\eta\_n^2)
$$\eta_{n+1} = f'(x^*)\eta_n + O(\eta_n^2)$$

$$\eta_{n+1} \sim f'(x^*)\eta_n$$

#### **Fixed Points and Cobwebs**

Linearized system

$$\eta_{n+1} \sim f'(x^*)\eta_n$$

 $\lambda = f'(x^*)$  is the eigenvalue or multiplier.

$$\eta_1 \sim \lambda \eta_0, \ \eta_2 \sim \lambda \eta_1 \sim \lambda^2 \eta_0, \dots \rightarrow \eta_n \sim \lambda^n \eta_0$$
  
If  $|\lambda| = |f'(x^*)| < 1 \rightarrow \eta_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

 $x^*$  is linearly stable.

If  $|\lambda| = |f'(x^*)| > 1 \rightarrow x^*$  is linearly unstable.

Conclusions from linearization also hold for the nonlinear map, except in the marginal case  $|\lambda| = |f'(x^*)| = 1$ ; here the neglected higher-order terms  $O(\eta_n^2)$  determine the local stability.

$$x_{n+1} = x_n^2$$

Fixed points

$$x^* = (x^*)^2 \rightarrow x^* = 0, x^* = 1$$
  
 $\lambda = f'(x^*) = 2x^*$ 

 $x^* = 0$  is stable ( $|\lambda| = 0 < 1$ ),  $x^* = 1$  is unstable ( $|\lambda| = 2 > 1$ ).

Fixed points with  $\lambda = 0$  are superstable: convergence is extremely fast.  $\eta_{n+1} = \lambda \eta_n + O(\eta_n^2) \rightarrow$  perturbations decay like

$$\eta_1 \sim \eta_0^2, \ \eta_2 \sim \eta_1^2 \sim \eta_0^4, \dots \rightarrow \eta_n \sim \eta_0^{2^n}$$

### Cobwebs

 $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ , initial condition  $x_0$ .

- 1) Draw a vertical line from  $x_0$  until it intersects the graph of *f*: the height of the intersection point is  $x_1$ .
- 2) Draw horizontal line from current point to the diagonal.
- 3) Move vertically to the curve again
- 4) Etc.



The process is repeated *n* times to generate the first *n* points in the orbit.

Cobwebs are particularly useful when linear analysis fails.

# Example I

$$x_{n+1} = \sin x_n$$

Fixed points



 $x^* = 0$  is in fact globally stable, since any x is sent to the interval  $-1 \le x \le 1$ , from which it converges to the fixed point.

# Example II

$$x_{n+1} = \cos x_n$$

Fixed points



 $x^* = 0.739$  is globally stable. Since  $\lambda = -\sin x^* = -0.6735.. < 0$ , convergence occurs via damped oscillations, as opposed to the monotonic behavior observed when  $\lambda > 0$ .

## Logistic Map: Numerics

Logistic map (Robert May (1976))

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n)$$

 $x_n \ge 0, r \ge 0. x_n$  is a dimensionless measure of the population in *n*th generation.

Discrete-time analog of the **logistic equation** for population growth,  $\dot{N} = rN\left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right)$ .

Focus: Choose  $0 \le r \le 4 \rightarrow$  the map sends points  $x \in [0, 1]$  to points  $x \in [0, 1]$  (map of population density into population density).



Period-Doubling  $x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n)$ 

Fix *r* and choose some initial population  $x_{0}$ . What happens?

For  $r \leq 1$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . (Extinction.)

For  $1 < r \leq 3$ , the population grows and reaches a non-zero steady state.



#### Period-Doubling $x_{n+1} = rx_n(1-x_n)$ r > 3?

For r = 3.3, the population oscillates between two values and  $x_n$  repeats every two iterations (period-2 cycle).

r = 3.3 $x_n$ WWWWWWW 0.5 10 2030 40 50 n r = 3.5x<sub>n</sub> 10 2030 50 40

n

For r = 3.5, the population oscillates between four values (period-4 cycle)  $\rightarrow$  the period has doubled!

## **Period-Doubling**

Further period-doublings occur for larger *r* (computer experiments).

 $r_n$  denotes the *r*-value where a  $2^n$ -cycle first appears

$r_1 = 3$	(period 2 is born
$r_2 = 3.449$	4
$r_3 = 3.54409$	8
$r_4 = 3.5644$	16
$r_5 = 3.568759$	32
	:
$r_{\rm m} = 3.569946$	00

Successive bifurcations occur after shorter and shorter intervals in *r*.

The sequence  $\{r_n\}$  converges to a limiting value  $r_{\infty}$  = 3.569946 Convergence is essentially geometric:

$$\delta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{r_n - r_{n-1}}{r_{n+1} - r_n} = 4.669...$$

What happens for  $r > r_{\infty}$ ?

For many values of *r* the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  never settles down to a fixed point or a periodic orbit: a discrete-time version of chaos.



r = 3.9

The cobweb diagram r = 3.9 $x_{n+1}$  $x_n$ 

To see the long-term behaviour for all *r* we plot the **orbit diagram** →

It is a cinch to plot the orbit diagram on a computer:

- 1. Choose a value of r.
- 2. Pick a random initial  $x_0$ .
- 3. Iterate until  $x_{300}$  to allow the system to settle down.
- 4. Plot  $x_{301}, x_{302}, \dots, x_{600}$  (or more).
- 5. Change the value of r slightly.
- 6. Return to 2.

#### Orbit diagram

Branches indicate periodic solutions. Periodic doublings for  $r < r_{\infty} \approx 3.57$ .

When  $r > r_{\infty}$  the map becomes chaotic and the attractor changes from a finite to an infinite set of points.

Mixture of order and chaos: for  $r > r_{\infty}$  one also finds periodic windows!

Large window starting at about  $r \approx 3.83$  contains a stable period-3 cycle.

Zooming into the window one finds a miniature copy of the full orbit diagram!



See <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PtfPDfoF-iY">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PtfPDfoF-iY</a>

#### Orbit diagram

Branches indicate periodic solutions. Periodic doublings for  $r < r_{\infty} \approx 3.57$ .

When  $r > r_{\infty}$  the map becomes chaotic and the attractor changes from a finite to an infinite set of points.

Mixture of order and chaos: for  $r > r_{\infty}$  one also finds periodic windows!

Large window starting at about  $r \approx 3.83$  contains a stable period-3 cycle.

Zooming into the window one finds a miniature copy of the full orbit diagram!

See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PtfPDfoF-iY





$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n)$$

 $0 \le r \le 4, \ 0 \le x_n \le 1$ 

Fixed points

$$x^* = f(x^*) = rx^*(1 - x^*) \quad \to \quad x^* = 0, \ 1 - 1/r$$
$$x^* = 0, \quad \forall r; \ x^* = 1 - 1/r, \quad r \ge 1$$
$$f'(x^*) = r - 2rx^* \quad \to \quad f'(0) = r; f'(1 - 1/r) = 2 - r$$

The origin is stable for r < 1 and unstable for r > 1.

*x*<sup>\*</sup> = 1 - 1/*r* is stable for 1< *r* < 3 (-1 < 2 - r < 1) and unstable for *r* > 3.

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n)$$

 $0 \le r \le 4, \ 0 \le x_n \le 1$ 

#### Graphical analysis

- 1) For r < 1 the parabola lies below the diagonal  $\rightarrow$  the origin is the only fixed point.
- 2) For r > 1 the parabola crosses the diagonal at another point  $x_{n+1}$  $x^* = 1 - 1/r$ , while the origin loses stability (transcritical bifurcation).
- 3) When  $r > 3 x^* = 1 1/r$  loses stability (flip bifurcation).



 $x_n$ 

The logistic map has a 2-cycle for all r > 3.

**2-cycle**: there exist two points *p* and *q* such that *f*(*p*) = *q* and *f*(*q*) = *p*. Equivalently,

 $f[f(p)] = f(q) = p \rightarrow f^2(p) = p \rightarrow p$  is a fixed point of the seconditerated map  $f^2(x) = f[f(x)]$ .

 $f^{2}(x) = x$  is a quartic polynomial (since f(x) is quadratic).  $x^{*} = 0$  and  $x^{*} = 1 - 1/r$  are trivial solutions, as they solve  $f(x) = x \rightarrow f^{2}(x) = x$ .  $f^{2}(x)$ 

Other two solutions:

$$p, q = \frac{r + 1 \pm \sqrt{(r - 3)(r + 1)}}{2r}$$

**2-cycle exists for all** r > 3 ( $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ ).



Details just to make sure...

$$f^{2}(x) - x = f(f(x)) - x = f(rx(1 - x)) - x$$
  
=  $r[rx(1 - x)][1 - rx(1 - x)] - x$   
=  $r^{2}x(1 - x)[1 - rx(1 - x)] - x$ 

We know that  $f^2(x) - x = h(x)x[x - (1 - \frac{1}{r})]$ , because  $f^2(x^*) - x^* = 0$  and  $x^* = 0$ , and  $x^* = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$ . Do the long division of  $f^2(x) - x$  by  $x[x - (1 - \frac{1}{r})] \rightarrow$  quadratic h(x). Solve  $h(x^* = p, q) = 0$ .  $\rightarrow$  $p, q = \frac{r + 1 \pm \sqrt{(r - 3)(r + 1)}}{2r}$ 

At  $r = 3 x^* = p = q = 1 - \frac{1}{r} = 2/3$ . The 2-cycle bifurcates continuously from  $x^*$  (flip bifurcation) (as r decreases from 3). Near the fixed point  $f'(x^*) \sim -1$ .

If f(x) is concave down at the fixed point, the cobweb tends to produce a small stable 2-cycle close to  $x^*$ .



Show that the 2-cycle is stable for  $3 < r < 1+\sqrt{6} \approx 3.449$  (= the numerically obtained value for  $r_2$  at the birth of a 4-cycle).

To analyze the stability of a cycle, reduce the problem to a question about the stability of the relevant fixed point: Both *p* and *q* are solutions of  $f^2(x) = x$ , that is, fixed points for  $f^2$ . Accordingly, we compute the multiplier

$$\lambda = \frac{d}{dx} [f(f(x))]_{x=p} = f'[f(p)]f'(p) = f'(q)f'(p)$$

By symmetry of the final term the same  $\lambda$  is obtained at x = q. Makes sense: The *p* and *q* branches must bifurcate simultaneously.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \lambda = r(1-2q)r(1-2p) \\ = r^{2}[1-2(p+q)+4pq] \\ = r^{2}[1-2(r+1)/r+4(r+1)/r^{2}] \\ = 4+2r-r^{2} \\ \text{The 2-cycle is linearly stable for} \\ |4+2r-r^{2}| < 1 \rightarrow 3 < r < 1+\sqrt{6} \\ \text{Partial bifurcation diagram:} \\ x \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$$

#### Periodic Windows

There are periodic windows after the onset of chaos,  $r > r_{\infty} \approx 3.5699$ .

For many values of r the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ never settles down to a fixed or a periodic orbit. Instead the long-term behaviour is aperiodic: chaos. However, there are intervals in r where periodic motion prevails.

These **periodic windows** are interspersed between chaotic clouds of dots. For example: the large window starting near  $r \approx 3.83$  (upper diagram) contains a stable 3-cycle. The blow-up (lower diagram) reveals self-similarity.



#### Periodic Windows

The 3-cycle for  $3.8284 \le r \le 3.8415$ .  $x_{n+3} = f^3(x_n)$ .

Key: The third-iterated map  $f^{3}(x)$ .

Problem: this is an 8<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial, so analytical solution is impossible.

**Graphically:** (r = 3.835) The intersections are the solutions to  $f^{3}(x) = x$ . Two solutions are period-1 fixed points, f(x) = x, and not interesting.



The other six solutions (dots in the figure) are period-3 fixed points: three stable (the slope  $|f^{3'}(x)| < 1$ ), three unstable ( $|f^{3'}(x)| > 1$ ).

#### Periodic Windows

Decrease *r* towards the chaotic regime: The hills move down and the valleys rise.  $\rightarrow$  The intersections vanish. Hence, for some  $r \in [3.8, 3.835]$   $f^{3}(x)$  must have become tangent to the diagonal: the stable and unstable 3-cycles coalesce and annihilate in a **tangent bifurcation**. So, this point ( $r = 1 + \sqrt{8} = 3.8284...$ ) defines the minimum value of *r* in the periodic window.

r = 3.8:

tangent bifurcation = saddle-node bifurcation;  $f^{3}(x)$ (as *r* increases 3-cycle appears out of blue sky and splits into a stable and unstable 3-cycle)



# Intermittency

Interesting behaviour for *r* just below the period-3 window.



Part of the orbit looks like a stable 3-cycle, which alternates with chaotic behavior.

There cannot be a 3-cycle because we are below the tangent bifurcation: it is the ghost of the 3-cycle! No surprise, because the tangent bifurcation is a saddle-node bifurcation.

The orbit returns repeatedly onto the cycle with intermittent bouts of chaos between visits: intermittency.

#### Intermittency



Cobweb: The system takes a long time to pass through the channels between the diagonal and the curve; here  $f^{3}(x) \sim x \rightarrow 3$ -cycle.

Eventually the system leaves the channel and it moves chaotically until it hits a channel again.

When *r* moves further away from the periodic window, chaotic behavior is more frequent, periodic behavior becomes increasingly rare and disappears (intermittency route to chaos).

### Period-doubling in the window

Just after the 3-cycle is created, the slope of  $f^3(x)$  at the black dots (stable cycles) is close to +1. As r increases, the slope at the black dots goes down and eventually reaches -1: after that the cycle becomes unstable and a flip bifurcation occurs.

At flip bifurcation the black dot "splits in two"(the dot splits in two in the 4<sup>th</sup> iterate  $f^4(x)$ ): the 3-cycle doubles its period and becomes a 6-cycle.

The same mechanism generates a 12-cycle, a 24-cycle,  $\dots$  3·2<sup>n</sup> cycles.



All periodic windows have similar period-doubling sequences. This mechanism leads to the "miniature copies" in the orbit diagram.

#### Liapunov exponent

Aperiodic motion found in logistic map: are we sure it is chaos?

Sensitive dependence on initial conditions?

Initial condition  $x_0$ , nearby point  $x_0+\delta_0$  with  $\delta_0$  very small.

 $\delta_n$  = separation after *n* iterates

If  $|\delta_n| \sim |\delta_0| e^{n\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda$  is called the Liapunov exponent.

A positive Liapunov exponent is a signature of chaos.

$$\lambda \approx \frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \frac{\delta_n}{\delta_0} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \frac{f^n(x_0 + \delta_0) - f^n(x_0)}{\delta_0} \right| \qquad (\lim_{\delta_0 \to 0})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f^n)'(x_0)|$$

#### Liapunov exponent

$$\lambda \approx \frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \frac{\delta_n}{\delta_0} \right|$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \frac{f^n(x_0 + \delta_0) - f^n(x_0)}{\delta_0} \right|$  (lim)  
=  $\frac{1}{n} \ln |(f^n)'(x_0)|$ 

Iterative linearisations (chain rule; see the first example in "Ruling out limit cycles"):

$$(f^n)'(x_0) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} f'(x_i)$$

$$\lambda \approx \frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} f'(x_i) \right| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln |f'(x_i)|$$

### Liapunov exponent

Define 
$$\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln |f'(x_i)| \right]$$
 as the Liapunov exponent.

 $\lambda$  depends on the initial condition  $x_0$ , but it is the same for all  $x_0$  in the basin of attraction of a given attractor.

For stable fixed points and cycles  $\lambda$  is negative, for chaotic attractors  $\lambda$  is positive.

# Example I

f(x) has a stable *p*-cycle containing the point  $x_0$ . Determine  $\lambda$ .

 $x_0$  is an element of the *p*-cycle  $\rightarrow x_0$  is a fixed point of  $f^p(x)$ .

The *p*-cycle is stable  $\rightarrow |(f^p)'(x)| < 1 \rightarrow \ln|(f^p)'(x)| < 0$ . multiplier  $\uparrow$ 

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln |f'(x_i)| \right] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \ln |f'(x_i)|$$
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \ln |f'(x_i)| = \frac{1}{p} \ln |(f^p)'(x_0)| < 0$$

If the *p*-cycle is superstable, then  $|(f^p)'(x_0)| = 0$  and  $\lambda = \ln(0)/p = -\infty$ .

# Example II

#### The tent map

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} rx, & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ r - rx, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

 $0 \le r \le 2, \ 0 \le x \le 1.$ 



# Example II

#### The tent map

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} rx, & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ r - rx, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

 $0 \le r \le 2, \ 0 \le x \le 1.$ 

$$f'(x) = \pm r, \ \forall x \quad \to \quad \lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln |f'(x_i)| \right] = \ln r$$

For  $1 < r \le 2$ ,  $\lambda > 0 \rightarrow$  chaotic regime.

# Example III

Compute numerically  $\lambda$  for the logistic map f(x) = rx(1 - x) in the interval  $3 \le r \le 4$ .

#### Procedure:

- 1) Given a value of *r*, start from a random initial condition.
- 2) Iterate the map long enough to let transients decay (300 iterates are usually sufficient).
- 3) Compute a large number of iterations after that (say 10000).
- 4) Compute  $\ln |f'(x_n)| = \ln |r 2rxn|$  for the sequence of values.
- 5) Divide the result by the number of terms (here 10000).
- 6) Repeat the procedure for another *r* value, until the desired range is covered.

# Example III

λ stays negative for all  $r < r_{∞} ≈ 3.57$ .

 $\lambda$  is zero at the period-doubling bifurcations.

λ returns negative also for  $r > r_{\infty}$ in some windows (periodic windows).

The dips correspond to **superstable cycles** ( $\lambda = -\infty$ ; not seen due to finite resolution).

**Remark:** since each cycle starts with multiplier f'(x) = 1 and progressively goes until f'(x) < -1, when it becomes unstable, it must cross the point f'(x) = 0 (superstability).



#### Universality and experiments The sine map

 $x_{n+1} = r\sin\pi x_n$ 

 $0 \le r \le 1, 0 \le x \le 1.$ 



Unimodal map (concave down, single maximum), like the logistic map.

## Universality and experiments

#### Sine map



#### Logistic map



## Universality and experiments

Qualitative dynamics of the two maps are identical: perioddoubling routes to chaos, followed by interspersed periodic windows.

**Remarkable:** periodic windows occur *in the same order* and *same relative sizes*.

**Example:** period-3 window is the largest and is preceded by two large windows (period-5 and period-6).

**Quantitative differences:** period doubling bifurcations occur later in the logistic map, and the periodic windows are narrower.

# Qualitative universality: the U-sequence

Theorem (Metropolis et al. 1973): for all unimodal maps  $x_{n+1} = rf(x_n)$ , where f(0) = f(1) = 0, stable cycles occur in the same order.

The universal sequence in which periodic attractors occur is called the U-sequence. The algebraic form of f(x) is irrelevant, only the overall shape matters.

U-sequence up to period 6: 1, 2, 2×2, 6, 5, 3, 2×3, 5, 6, 4, 6, 5, 6

The U-sequence has been found in experiments on the Belousov-Zhabotinsky chemical reaction.

The U-sequence is **qualitative**: it does not say anything about the size of the windows or where they start.

# Quantitative universality

Feigenbaum's discovery in 1975

Attempt to find a formula for  $r_n$ , i.e. the *r*-value where a  $2^n$ -cycle first appears.

Numerical checks were done with a handheld calculator.

First observation: the  $r_n$  converge geometrically to the onset of chaos  $r_{\infty}$ : the size of consecutive windows shrinks by a constant factor 4.669...

## Quantitative universality

I spent part of a day trying to fit the convergence rate value, 4.669, to the mathematical constants I knew. The task was fruitless, save for the fact that it made the number memorable.

At this point I was reminded by Paul Stein that period-doubling isn't a unique property of the quadratic map but also occurs, for example, in  $x_{n+1} = r \sin \pi x_n$ . However my generating function theory rested heavily on the fact that the nonlinearity was simply quadratic and not transcendental. Accordingly, my interest in the problem waned.

Perhaps a month later I decided to compute the  $r_n$ 's in the transcendental case numerically. This problem was even slower to compute than the quadratic one. Again, it became apparent that the  $r_n$ 's converged geometrically, and altogether amazingly, the convergence rate was the same 4.669 that I remembered by virtue of my efforts to fit it.

The same constant appears for any unimodal map!

### Quantitative universality

 $\frac{\Delta_n = r_n - r_{n-1}}{\Delta_n} \to \delta = 4.669..., \text{ for } n \to \infty$ 

 $d_n$  is the smallest distance from the maximum of f,  $x_m$ , to the nearest point in a  $2^n$ cycle.

$$\frac{d_n}{d_{n+1}} \to \alpha \approx -2.5029$$

as  $n \to \infty$ ,

independent of the form of *f*.



Convection experiment by Libchaber et al. (1982)

A box of liquid mercury is heated from below.

Control parameter: Rayleigh number *R*, dimensionless measure of the externally imposed temperature gradient.

- 1) For  $R < R_c$  heat is conducted upward and the liquid remains motionless.
- 2) For  $R > R_c$  convection occurs: hot fluid rises on one side, loses heat and falls on the other side, in cylindrical rolls.



Convection experiment by Libchaber et al. (1982)

- 1) If *R* is just above the threshold, the rolls are straight and the motion is steady, temperature is constant in time at each position.
- 2) If *R* is higher, a new instability sets in: a wave propagates back and forth along each roll, and the temperature at a given position oscillates.

Libchaber et al. wanted to stabilize the roll structure by applying a direct current (DC) magnetic field.

Mercury has a high electrical conductivity  $\rightarrow$  strong tendency of the rolls to align with the field and to remain spatially organized.

Convection experiment by Libchaber et al. (1982)

**Result**: the temperature at one point of the fluid undergoes a sequence of period-doublings as the Rayleigh number *R* increases.

By measuring the *R*-values at perioddoubling bifurcations, Libchaber et al. estimated  $\delta = 4.4 \pm 0.1$ . (Theoretical  $\delta \approx 4.699$ .

R <sub>ε</sub> 3.47		
3.52		
3.62		
3.65		
U I I I I I I 0 50 100 150 200 T(s)		

# Results from experiments in fluid convection and nonlinear electronic circuits

Experiment	Number of period doublings	δ	Authors
Hydrodynamic water	4	4.3 (8)	Giglio et al. (1981)
mercury Electronic	4	4.4 (1)	Libchaber et al. (1982)
diode diode transistor	4 5 4	4.5 (6) 4.3 (1) 4.7 (3)	Linsay (1981) Testa et al. (1982) Arecchi and Lisi (1982)
Josephson simul.	3	4.5 (3)	Yeh and Kao (1982)

Agreement between experiments and theory **impressive**, given the difficulty (and relative errors) of these measurements

Questions:

- 1) How can the complexity of so many different systems, which involve many degrees of freedom, be captured by a one-dimensional map?
- 2) Howcome a discrete-time map works so well on continuoustime systems?

Example: the Rössler system (1976)

$$\dot{x} = -y - z$$
  

$$\dot{y} = x + ay$$
  

$$\dot{z} = b + z(x - c)$$

Simplest possible continuous-time system with a strange attractor.

The Rössler system  $\ddot{y} = x + ay$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -y - z \\ \dot{y} &= x + ay \\ \dot{z} &= b + z(x - c) \end{aligned}$ 

a = b = 0.2, variable *c* 

- 1) For *c* = 2.5 the attractor is a simple limit cycle
- 2) As *c* is raised to 3.5, the limit cycle winds twice before closing, with an approximately double period than the original one
  3) Somewhere in 2.5 < *c* < 3.5 a period doubling bifurcation</li>
  - period-doubling bifurcation of cycles occurs (as in 1D maps)



The Rössler system  $\begin{array}{ccc} x & - & s \\ \dot{y} & = & x + ay \\ \dot{z} & = & b + z(x - c) \end{array}$ 

*a* = *b* = 0.2, **variable** *c* 

- 4) Another period-doubling bifurcation creates the four**loop cycle** shown for *c* =4
- After an infinite sequence of 5) period-doublings, one reaches the strange attractor (C=5)



The Rössler system  $\dot{y} = x + ay$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -y - z \\ \dot{y} &= x + ay \\ \dot{z} &= b + z(x - c) \end{aligned}$ 

**Lorenz map:** relation between consecutive maxima  $x_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$ of one coordinate along a trajectory on the strange attractor.

The points fall on a 1D curve  $\rightarrow$ there is a relation between  $x_n$ and  $x_{n+1}$ .

The curve **resembles** the logistic map!



#### The Rössler system

Orbit diagram for each c: position of maximum of x (yor z) on the attractor corresponding to c.

Strong similarity with the orbit diagram of the logistic map.

So, in this case, as for the Lorenz equations, Feigenbaum's results hold.



For Rössler and Lorenz systems the map works because the strange attractors are essentially two-dimensional (fractal dimension slightly above 2).

In general Lorenz maps are not one-dimensional and Feigenbaum's theory does not apply.

Examples: fully turbulent fluids, fibrillating hearts.

Let f(x, r) denote a unimodal map (smooth, concave down, single maximum) that undergoes a period-doubling route to chaos as r increases and  $x_m$  be the maximum of f. Let  $r_n$  denote the value of r at which a  $2^n$ -cycle is born and  $R_n$  denote the value of r at which a  $2^n$ -cycle is superstable.

**Example:**  $f(x, r) = r - x^2$ Superstable FP:  $x^* = R_0 - (x^*)^2$ Superstability condition:  $\lambda = (\partial f / \partial x)_{x=x^*} = 0$   $\partial f / \partial x = 2x \Rightarrow x^* = 0$  FP is the maximum of f.  $R_0 = 0$ At  $R_1$  there is a 2-cycle. Superstability:  $\lambda = (-2p)(-2q) = 0$  $\rightarrow$  point x = 0 is one of the points in the 2-cycle.

Point x = 0 is one of the points in the 2-cycle.

→ period-2 condition 
$$f^2(0, R_1) = 0 \Rightarrow R_1 - (R_1)^2 = 0$$
  
 $\Rightarrow R_1 = 1$  (2-cycle)

**General rule**: A superstable cycle of a unimodal map always contains  $x_m$  as one of its points.

 $\rightarrow$  **Graphical way to locate**  $R_n$ : Draw a horizontal line at height  $x_m$ . Then  $R_n$  occurs where this line intersects the *figtree* (= *Feigenbaum*) portion of the orbit diagram.

$$r_n < R_n < r_{n+1}$$



Numerical experiments: The spacing between successive  $R_n$  shrinks by the universal factor  $\delta \approx 4.669$ .

The renormalization theory is based on the **self-similarity** of the figtree: twigs look like the earlier branches, they are only scaled down in both the *x* and *r* directions. Mathematically, compare *f* with its second iterate  $f^2$  at corresponding values of *r* and then renormalize one map into the other.





Renormalization of f:  $f(x, R_0) \approx \alpha f^2\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}, R_1\right)$ Continue:  $f^2\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}, R_1\right) \approx \alpha^2 f^2\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^2}, R_2\right)$  $f(x, R_0) \approx \alpha^n f^{2^n}\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^n}, R_n\right)$ 

Feigenbaum found numerically that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha^n f^{(2^n)}\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^n}, R_n\right) = g_0(x),$$

where  $g_0(x)$  is a *universal function* with a superstable fixed point. The limiting function exists only if  $\alpha$  is chosen correctly,  $\alpha = -2.5029 \dots$ 

"Universal":  $g_0(x)$  is independent of *f*. Compare the qualitative similarity of orbit diagrams for different *f* in unimodal mapping.

Self-similarity  $\rightarrow$  fractals; next time.