

Genetic circuit design in synthetic biology

Paula Jouhten VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd

Genetic circuit design

Nielsen et al. Genetic circuit design automation. *Science* (2016) 352:6281. DOI: 10.1126/science.aac7341 Moser et al. Dynamic control of endogenous metabolism with combinatorial logic circuits. *Mol Syst Biol* (2018)14:e8605. DOI: 10.15252/msb.20188605 Brophy and Voigt. Principles of genetic circuit design. *Nat Methods* (2014) 11:508-20. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2926

Gate is characterized by determining the response function

Input Output

NOT-gate

Response function



RPU (relative promoter unit)

Gate characterization and input-output normalization RPUs

- E. coli BBa J23101 constitutive promoter adopted as a standard with output of 1 RPU
- Four strains for gate characterization with:
 - 1) gate controlling fluorescence protein,
 - 2) RPU standard promoter expressing fluorescence protein ($\langle YFP \rangle_{RPU}$),
 - 3) autofluorescence control without fluorescence protein (to normalize all other $\langle YFP \rangle_{0}$),

101

- 4) input promoter expressing fluorescence protein
- Measure fluorescence from all under a range of inducer concentrations
- Convert the strains 1 and 4 fluorescence readouts to RPUs:
- Plot output as a function of input at each concentration of inducer
- Fit Hill function to the response curve



27/04/2021 VTT – beyond the obvious





Response curve has Hill function shape

NOT gate response data can be fitted to Hill function: $y = y_{min} + (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{K^n}{K^n + x^n}$ where

n is the Hill coefficient

K is the threshold input level where the output is half maximum

 y_{min} and y_{max} are the minimum and maximum output values from the gate



27/04/2021 VTT - beyond the obvious Bradley et al. 2016: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.07.004

Task:

If n is bigger, how does the function of the NOT gate change?

- a) it becomes more switch like
- b) it looses the functionality

$$y = y_{min} + (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{K^n}{K^n + x^n}$$

Response functions are essential for combining gates into functional circuits



Nielsen et al. 2016

VTT

Task:

Why is the conversion to RPUs needed?

- a) the gate becomes more stable
- b) gates can be compared and combined

b d С Promoter strength Target degradation Gene dosage UP-00 [Plasmid] -ø Relative promoter units (RPU) DOWN 10⁴ 10⁴ 10⁵ 10^{3} Output Output 10 Output shift 10³ 10^{2} 10 10^{2} 10^{1} 10² 10^{1} 10^{0} 10^{1} 10¹ 10⁰ $10^1 \ 10^2$ 10⁰ $10^1 \ 10^2 \ 10^3$ $10^2 \ 10^3$ 10⁰ 10³ Input Input Input f е g **RBS** strength Small RNA **Decoy** operators LEFT-A MA MARA ... MA MARA ... MA RBS 1 0 10⁴ 10^{4} **RIGHT** 10^{4} 10^3 10³ 10² Output Output 10³ 10^{3} shift 10^{2} 10² 10^{2} 10^{1} 10 10 10⁰ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10² $10^1 \ 10^2 \ 10^3$ 10¹ 10² 10^{3} 10¹ 10³ Input Input Input

Circuit tuning shifts the response function

Brophy and Voigt, 2014

Cello automates genetic circuit design in *E. coli and S. cerevisiae*

VTT



Nielsen et al. 2016 *S. cerevisiae*: Chen et al. Nat Microbiol. 2020 Nov;5(11):1349-1360. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0757-2.

Gate assignment is an NP-complete optimization problem

Cello uses simulated annealing algorithm Input threshold analysis Circuit



OL and OH from previous gate output have to leave positive margins when compared to next gate's IL and IH.







Tasks: Can the gates below be connected? a) yes, b) no



Dynamic control of endogenous metabolism with combinatorial logic circuits, Moser et al. 2018



https://www.embopress.org/doi/full/10.15252/msb.20188605

- During the growth of Escherichia coli • in a batch culture, the oxygen and glucose become depleted and acetate is accumulated
- Oxygen, glucose, and acetate sensors • will have dynamic input
- Can these sensors coupled to gates be • used to reduce acetate accumulation?

Sensors

10⁻² 10⁻¹ Glucose (%) G PfnrE $\Omega = \Omega$

PalnAP2

) 100 150 210 DO (µmol/L)

10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10¹

Inducer

outputs

Acetate (mM



Experimentally built circuit with the sensors





Moser et al. 2018



Response to different combinations of stimuli





Moser et al. 2018

Response in cultures (simulated and experimental)







27/04/2021 VTT – beyond the obvious

Moser et al. 2018

ODE models of circuit dynamics

VTT

Moser et al. 2018

NOT gate response function: $y = y_{min} + (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{K^n}{K^n + x^n}$

Change in NOT gate output activity: $\frac{dy}{dt} = \alpha (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{K^n}{K^n + x(t)^n} - \gamma (y(t) - y_{min})$

 α and γ are the rate constants for turning a gate ON and OFF, respectively

AND gate response function : $y = y_{min} + (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{x_1 x_2^2}{K + x_1 x_2^2}$

Change in AND gate output activity: $\frac{dy}{dt} = \alpha (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{x_1(t)x_2(t)^2}{K + x_1(t)x_2(t)^2} - \gamma (y(t) - y_{min})$

ANDN gate response function : $y = y_{min} + (x_1 - y_{min}) \frac{K}{K + x_2}$

Change in ANDN gate output activity: $\frac{dy}{dt} = \alpha (x_1(t) - y_{min}) \frac{K}{K + x_2(t)} - \gamma (y(t) - y_{min})$

Acetate accumulation could be dynamically controlled and decreased with a circuit

pta encodes phosphate acetyltransferase pta contributes to acetate generation in exponential phase



poxB encodes pyruvate oxidase (dehydrogenase) poxB contributes to acetate generation in stationary phase

27/04/2021 VTT - beyond the obvious

Moser et al. 2018



18



27/04/2021 VTT – beyond the obvious

Summary

 $y = y_{min} + (y_{max} - y_{min}) \frac{K^n}{K^n + x^n}$

