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## Pricing over Time

- Many interactions between buyers and sellers take place over time
- Durable goods:
$\star$ One unit purchased lasts for several periods.
$\star$ Buyers may decide when to buy.
$\star$ How should the monopolist set the price over time? Increasing or decreasing prices?
$\star$ Implications of declining price path for buyers' purchasing time?
- Experience goods:
$\star$ Consumers learn their taste by consuming.
* Repeat purchases over time: consumers' belief of the product depends on their consumption history
* Introductory offers to induce effective learning, or skimming the market through high and declining prices?
- Or, the product is perishable (e.g. service, consumed at a given time), but demand varies dynamically or is uncertain
- Leads to revenue management problems such as:
- Peak-load pricing:
$\star$ Demand varies systematically across seasons
$\star$ Service provider must purchase durable capital
$\star$ Capital cannot be liquidated or rented to others easily
- Advance booking
* Fixed capacity and perishable services as before
$\star$ Consumers arrive over time before the consumption date
$\star$ Buyers differ in terms of their willingness to pay


## Examples

- Durables:
- Books
- New generations of electronics such as iPhones
- Experience goods:
- Restaurants
- Pharmaceuticals
- Peak load pricing:
- Electricity
- Transportation
- Advance booking:
- Flights
- Hotels


## Durable Goods

- Consider first the case of identical buyers:
- A monopolist sells a durable good that yields services to the buyers over two consecutive periods.
- Let the buyers' use values for the good be denoted by $v$.
- Hence a buyer with valuation $v$ for the good gets utility $2 v$ if she purchases the good in period 1 and she gets utility $v$ if she purchases in period 2.
- If all buyers are alike, the optimal pricing strategy of the monopolist is easy:
- Set prices $p_{1}=p_{2}=2 v$.
- All buyers buy in period 1 at these prices and therefore the second period prices are also optimal for the monopolist.
- Consider then buyers with different valuations:
- Suppose the buyers have valuations $v$ drawn from the uniform distribution on $[0,1]$
- Suppose that the marginal cost of providing the good is 0 .
- If the monopolist sells only in period 1 (i.e. no transactions are possible in period 2), then $p_{1}=1$ and the monopolist sells to all buyer with $v \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and makes a profit of $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Consider now the case where the monopolist can sell also in period 2. Will this increase her profit?
- If the first period prices and purchases are unchanged, then the monopolist will set $p_{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ and make a profit of $\frac{1}{16}$ in the second period on top of the first period profit.
- Unfortunately (for the monopolist), given $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ it is no longer optimal for all buyers with $v \geq \frac{1}{2}$ to purchase in period 1: If

$$
v-\frac{1}{4} \geq 2 v-1 \text { or } v \leq \frac{3}{4}
$$

then it is optimal for the buyer with valuation $v$ to shift her purchases to period 2.

- Hence first period sales are only $\frac{1}{4}$ and total profit is only $\frac{3}{8}$.
- Notice that for these first period purchases, $p_{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ is no longer optimal in period 2.
- We look for an equilibrium solution that is time consistent in the sense that every player chooses optimally in every period (technically, this is closely related to sub-game perfect equilibrium, see additional material on game theory)
- To get an equilibrium solution to the problem we need to determine four variables:
- Prices $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.
- The quantities sold at those prices. They are determined by the lowest type $v_{1}$ that purchases in period 1 and the lowest type $v_{2}$ that purchases in period 2.
- Since $p_{2}$ must be optimal given buyers' decisions in period 1 , we have $p_{2}=\frac{v_{1}}{2}$. But then $v_{2}=\frac{v_{1}}{2}$.
- The buyer at $v_{1}$ must be indifferent between the purchases in periods 1 and 2 :

$$
2 v_{1}-p_{1}=v_{1}-p_{2}
$$

- From this we get:

$$
v_{1}=\frac{2}{3} p_{1} .
$$

- The firm's profit is

$$
\pi=p_{1}\left(1-v_{1}\right)+p_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) .
$$

- Substituting in from above, we have:

$$
\pi=p_{1}\left(1-\frac{2}{3} p_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{9} p_{1}^{2} .
$$

The first order condition gives:

$$
p_{1}=\frac{9}{10} .
$$

Using the formulas above, we get $v_{1}=\frac{3}{5}, v_{2}=p_{2}=\frac{3}{10}$.

- Equilibrium profit is then $\frac{45}{100}<\frac{1}{2}$.


## Discussion of the results

- The result is quite general
- If we increase the number of periods, the problem gets worse for the seller (better for the buyers)
- If number of periods is large and discounting between periods small, then even the first offer $p_{1}$ converges to marginal cost 0 .
- Hence the monopolist gets no profit in this limit.
- What can the monopolist do to limit damages?
- Do not sell, rent.
- If rental contracts last for a single period, then the monopolist can rent at price $p=\frac{1}{2}$ in each period. This gives the same profit as selling in period 1 alone.
- Similarly, with an inflow of new buyers, the problem becomes smaller.


## Experience Goods

- Monopolist sells to buyers who do not know their true valuation $v$ of the good.
- Valuations $v$ are distributed uniformly on $[a, b]$, where $0<a<b$.
- Willingness to pay for a single consumption opportunity is $\mathbb{E} v=\frac{a+b}{2}$.
- In this market, the monopolist can sell to all buyers once at price $p=\frac{a+b}{2}$.
- After a single purchase, the buyers learn their $v$.
- In subsequent periods, they buy if and only if their $v$ exceeds the going price $p$.


## Experience Goods

- How to determine willingness to pay with possible repeat purchases?
- Depends on tomorrows price $p^{\prime}$.
- If $p^{\prime}>b$, then the buyer will never purchase tomorrow and willingness to pay is simply $\frac{a+b}{2}$.
- If $p^{\prime}<a$, then the buyer always buys tomorrow and current purchase gives no valuable information. Again willingness to pay is $\frac{a+b}{2}$.
- If $a<p^{\prime}<b$, then the buyer will purchase tomorrow if and only if $v>p^{\prime}$. Her willingness to pay is now above $\frac{a+b}{2}$ since learning the type now gives information that leads to better future decisions.


## Experience Goods

- Option value for future purchases.
- As an excercise, solve this simple model with some different values $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ : start by solving the optimal price in the second period assuming all buyers know their valuation.
- Proceed to compute their option value
- How should the monopolist price in the first period?
- The problem becomes hard in a more general framework (many periods, less than perfect learning, etc.)
- Since current willingness determines optimal current prices and since current willingness to pay depends on future prices, all prices must be determined in equilibrium.


## Experience Goods

- Bergemann and Välimäki "Optimal Pricing of New Experience Goods", Journal of Political Economy, 2006, present a very nice and elegant fully dynamic analysis.
- Two types of price paths possible:
- Initially low prices leading to a buildup of knowledgeable customers followed by higher extracting prices.
- High and declining prices skimming rent from the high value buyers over time.
- The first likely in a niche market where the monopoly price of the fully informed market exceeds the expected valuation. The latter happens in a mass market, where expected valuation exceeds the monopoly price in a fully informed market.


## Discussion

- We saw two examples of how dynamics enrich the basic model.
- Durable goods model illustrates commitment problem.
- Experience goods model illustrates option values from consumption for the buyers.


## Revenue Management

- We next take a brief look at Peak-load Pricing and Advance booking: two models that are sometimes considered as a part of larger literature on revenue management or yield management
- Peak-load Pricing of Services
- Perishable good (service) with dynamically varying demand
- Examples
$\star$ Vacations: Airlines, restaurants, hotels,...
$\star$ Utilities: Electricity, broadband,...
- Question: How much capacity to build and how to set prices to maximize profit (or alternatively to achieve socially optimal utilization of resources).
$\star$ Relates to the question of correct allocation of fixed costs within firms.
$\star$ Should another nuclear plant be built?


## Revenue Management

- Advance Booking
- Perishable good with uncertain demand that resolves dynamically in advance of consumption
- Examples
$\star$ Airlines, other transportation, hotels.
$\star$ Related practices: Overbooking, refund policies.
- New element here: Booking classes
$\star$ Basically just the same product offered at a different prices (sometimes comes with some versioning).
$\star$ Booking classes and prices are decided.
$\star$ Capacity allocated to booking classes.


## Peak-load Pricing

- For this model, we should distinguish between two types of costs:
- Cost of capacity.
- Operating cost.
- Cost of capacity is to be differentiated from the operating cost because demands in different seasons are different.
- Cost of capacity: building another plant.
- Operating cost: fuel cost to produce electricity.
- Key question: how to decide the optimal capacity?


## Peak-load Pricing

- A monopolist sells a service over a time horizon consisting of two different seasons, $S, W$.
- The inverse demand for services $q^{i}$ in season $i$ is given by

$$
p^{i}=\alpha^{i}-q^{i}
$$

- The monopolist incurs a cost $f>0$ per unit of capacity $k$ (measured in the units of services per period) that she installs and a cost $c>0$ per unit of services $q$ that she produces. Capacity constraint says: $q \leq k$.
- Each unit of capacity is available for production in both seasons.
- For a fixed level of capacity $k$, the problem of the monopolist is season $i$ is simple:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \max _{q_{i} \leq k} p^{i} q^{i}-c q^{i} \\
\text { subject to : } & p^{i}=\alpha^{i}-q^{i} .
\end{array}
$$

- Substituting for the price in the objective function from the constraint, we see that the problem is concave in $q^{i}$ and the first-order condition for optimality is $M R^{i}\left(q^{i}\right)=M C\left(q^{i}\right)$ or:

$$
\alpha^{i}-2 q^{i} \geq c
$$

where equality holds if $q<k$.

- Since $f>0$, the capacity constraint must bind in at least one of the seasons. We see easily that if $\alpha^{i}>\alpha^{j}$, then capacity constraint binds in season $i$.
- For concreteness, assume from now on that $\alpha^{S}>\alpha^{W}$ so that $S$ is the peak season.
- If capacity constraint binds only in season $S$, then we set $q^{S}=k$ and maximizing profit over $k$ gives

$$
\alpha^{S}-2 k=f+c
$$

- This formulation assumes that marginal revenue from added capacity comes only in season $S$. Hence the entire cost of installing the fixed capacity is allocated to season $S$.
- For the solution to be valid, we must have:

$$
q^{W}=\frac{\alpha^{W}-c}{2} \leq k=\frac{\alpha^{S}-f-c}{2}
$$

or

$$
\alpha^{S}-\alpha^{W} \geq f .
$$

- Prices are read off the demand curve:

$$
p^{W}=\frac{\alpha^{W}+c}{2}, p^{S}=\frac{\alpha^{S}+f+c}{2}
$$

and we have $p^{S} \geq p^{W}$.

- If the capacity constraint binds in both seasons, then $q^{W}=q^{S}=k$. Hence the revenue from capacity $k$ is given by

$$
R(k)=\left(\alpha^{s}-k\right) k+\left(\alpha^{w}-k\right) k
$$

and marginal revenue is:

$$
M R(k)=\alpha^{S}+\alpha^{W}-4 k .
$$

- The cost of installing capacity and operating at full capacity is $(f+2 c) k$.
- Setting $M R(k)=M C(k)$, we get:

$$
q^{W}=q^{S}=k=\frac{\alpha^{S}+\alpha^{W}-f-2 c}{4}
$$

- Since the quantity sold in both seasons is the same, prices are again higher in the peak season $S$.


## Advance Booking

- Capacity must be fixed before the realization of the demand.
- Demand is uncertain.
- How does uncertainty affect optimality.
- We go over three really simple examples to give a flavor of some of the issues related to this rich topic.


## Simple example 1

- You have 100 hotel rooms available.
- The buyers have either a valuation 100 or 120 for the rooms.
- There are two equally likely demand conditions. In demand condition 1 , there are 50 customers with willingness to pay 100 and none with willingness to pay 120 . In the other, there are 100 buyers with willingness to pay of 120 . (Congress in town).
- Your task is to maximize expected profit. How do you price the rooms?
- At price 100 or 120 or something else?


## Simple example 2

- Advance Booking of a single capacity unit over two periods.
- Buyers have a willingness to pay either 40,10 , or 0 .
- Probabilities of these types are $\frac{1}{10}, \frac{8}{10}$ and $\frac{1}{10}$ respectively.
- There is a single potential customer in each period.
- If you sell in period 1 , then you cannot sell again in period 2 since you have used your capacity.
- What are your optimal selling prices for the two periods?


## Example: Advance sale or Clearance sale

- This example has no aggregate uncertainty
- Suppose the seller has capacity constraint $\bar{q}<1$ (e.g. size of concert venue)
- Marginal cost is zero
- Two types of consumer with unit demand:
- mass $\lambda \in(0,1)$ of high type and mass $1-\lambda$ of low type
- Willingness to pay is 1 for the high type and $v_{L}<1$ for the low type
- Assume that $v_{L}>\lambda$
- Suppose that there are two periods before the event:
- Seller sets prices $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, and possibly a quota of tickets to sell at each period
- If there is overdemand at a particular period, then there is rationing: tickets are allocated randomly
- Consider the following strategies by the seller
(1) Clearance sale
$\star$ Sell at some $p_{1}>v_{L}$ in the first period to all high type buyers
$\star$ Sell the remaining tickets at $p_{2}=v_{L}$ in the second period
$\star$ What is the highest $p_{1}$ to attract high type buyers in the first period?
(2) Advance sale
$\star$ Sell $\phi$ tickets at price $p_{1}=v_{L}$ in the first period (use rationing)
$\star$ Sell at price $p_{2}=1$ for the remaining high type buyers in the second period
« Who buys in the first period?
- Can you compute the seller's profit with these strategies?
- Which one is better?
- See Belleflamme and Peitz, pgs. 252-254 for details


## Further readings

- The basic analysis of a durable good monopoly can be found in Bulow (1982): "Durable goods monopolist", Journal of Political Economy.
- For experience goods, see Shapiro (1983): "Optimal Pricing of Experience Goods", Bell Journal of Economics, and Bergemann and Välimäki (2006): "Dynamic Pricing of New Experience Goods", Journal of Political Economy.
- A survey on peak-load pricing is Crew, Fernando, and Kleindorfer (1995): "The theory of peak-load pricing: a survey", Journal of Regulatory Economics.
- For more advanced analysis of advance booking, see e.g. Nocke, Peitz, and Rosar (2011): "Advance-purchase discounts as a price discrimination device", Journal of Economic Theory.

