Computer Vision

CS-E4850, 5 study credits Lecturer: Juho Kannala

Lecture 11: Two-view geometry & stereo vision

- **Two-view geometry** (a.k.a. epipolar geometry) describes the geometric constraints between two views
- Stereo vision is the principle of using two views to measure depths of scene points

Acknowledgement: many slides from Svetlana Lazebnik, Steve Seitz, Yuri Boykov, Noah Snavely, and others (detailed credits on individual slides)

Reading

• Szeliski's book, Section 7.2 and Chapter 11 in 1st edition

and/or

• Hartley & Zisserman book, Chapters 9-12

Multi-view geometry



Multi-view geometry problems

• **Structure:** Given projections of the same 3D point in two or more images, compute the 3D coordinates of that point



Multi-view geometry problems

• Stereo correspondence: Given a point in one of the images, where could its corresponding points be in the other images?



Multi-view geometry problems

• Motion: Given a set of corresponding points in two or more images, compute the camera parameters



Source: S. Lazebnik

Two-view geometry



Epipolar geometry



- **Baseline** line connecting the two camera centers
- Epipolar Plane plane containing baseline (1D family)
- Epipoles
- = intersections of baseline with image planes
- = projections of the other camera center
- = vanishing points of the motion direction

Epipolar geometry



- **Baseline** line connecting the two camera centers
- Epipolar Plane plane containing baseline (1D family)
- Epipoles
- = intersections of baseline with image planes
- = projections of the other camera center
- = vanishing points of the motion direction
- Epipolar Lines intersections of epipolar plane with image planes (always come in corresponding pairs)

Source: S. Lazebnik

Example: Converging cameras





Example: Motion parallel to image plane





Source: S. Lazebnik

Example: Motion perpendicular to image plane



Source: S. Lazebnik

Example: Motion perpendicular to image plane



- Points move along lines radiating from the epipole: "focus of expansion"
- Epipole is the principal point

Source: S. Lazebnik

Epipolar constraint



 If we observe a point *x* in one image, where can the corresponding point *x*' be in the other image?

Epipolar constraint



- Potential matches for **x** have to lie on the corresponding epipolar line **I**'.
- Potential matches for *x*' have to lie on the corresponding epipolar line *I*.

Source: S. Lazebnik

Epipolar constraint example





- Intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of the cameras are known, world coordinate system is set to that of the first camera
- Then the projection matrices are given by K[I | 0] and K'[R | t]
- We can multiply the projection matrices (and the image points) by the inverse of the calibration matrices to get *normalized* image coordinates:

$$x_{norm} = K^{-1}x_{pixel} = [I \ 0]X, \qquad x'_{norm} = K'^{-1}x'_{pixel} = [R \ t]X$$



The vectors Rx, t, and x' are coplanar



Recall:
$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_z & a_y \\ a_z & 0 & -a_x \\ -a_y & a_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{a}_{\times}]\mathbf{b}$$

The vectors *Rx*, *t*, and *x* are coplanar



The vectors Rx, t, and x' are coplanar



- E x is the epipolar line associated with x (I' = E x)
 - Recall: a line is given by ax + by + c = 0 or

$$\mathbf{x} = 0$$
 where $\mathbf{l} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$



- **E x** is the epipolar line associated with **x** (**I**' = **E x**)
- $E^T x'$ is the epipolar line associated with $x' (I = E^T x')$
- E e = 0 and $E^T e' = 0$
- E is singular (rank two)
- *E* has five degrees of freedom



- The calibration matrices **K** and **K**' of the two cameras are unknown
- We can write the epipolar constraint in terms of *unknown* normalized coordinates:

$$\hat{x}'^{T} E \hat{x} = 0$$
 $\hat{x} = K^{-1} x, \quad \hat{x}' = K'^{-1} x'$





- F x is the epipolar line associated with x (I' = F x)
- $F^T x'$ is the epipolar line associated with $x' (I = F^T x')$
- Fe = 0 and $F^{T}e' = 0$
- **F** is singular (rank two)
- F has seven degrees of freedom

Source: S. Lazebnik

Estimating the fundamental matrix



 J_{11}

 f_{12}

 f_{13}

 f_{21}

 f_{22}

 f_{23}

 f_{31}

 f_{32}

 f_{33}

= 0

The eight-point algorithm

$$x = (u, v, 1)^T$$
, $x' = (u', v', 1)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u' & v' & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} u'u & u'v & u' & v'u & v'v & v' & u & v \end{bmatrix}$

Solve homogeneous linear system using eight or more matches

Enforce rank-2 constraint (take SVD of *F* and throw out the smallest singular value)





Problem with eight-point algorithm



Problem with eight-point algorithm

j	250906.36	183269.57	921.81	200931.10	146766.13	738.21	272.19	198.81
	2692.28	131633.03	176.27	6196.73	302975.59	405.71	15.27	746.79
	416374.23	871684.30	935.47	408110.89	854384.92	916.90	445.10	931.81
	191183.60	171759.40	410.27	416435.62	374125.90	893.65	465.99	418.65
	48988.86	30401.76	57.89	298604.57	185309.58	352.87	846.22	525.15
	164786.04	546559.67	813.17	1998.37	6628.15	9.86	202.65	672.14
	116407.01	2727.75	138.89	169941.27	3982.21	202.77	838.12	19.64
	135384.58	75411.13	198.72	411350.03	229127.78	603.79	681.28	379.48

 $\begin{bmatrix} f_{11} \\ f_{12} \\ f_{13} \\ f_{21} \\ f_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{array}{c} f_{23} \\ f_{31} \\ f_{32} \end{array}$

The normalized eight-point algorithm

(Hartley, 1995)

- Center the image data at the origin, and scale it so the mean squared distance between the origin and the data points is 2 pixels
- Use the eight-point algorithm to compute *F* from the normalized points
- Enforce the rank-2 constraint (for example, take SVD of *F* and throw out the smallest singular value)
- Transform fundamental matrix back to original units: if *T* and *T*' are the normalizing transformations in the two images, than the fundamental matrix in original coordinates is *T*'^T *F T*

Nonlinear estimation

Linear estimation minimizes the sum of squared algebraic distances between points x'_i and epipolar lines F x_i (or points x_i and epipolar lines F^Tx'_i):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime T} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{x}_{i})^{2}$$

Nonlinear approach: minimize sum of squared geometric distances

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\mathrm{d}^2(\boldsymbol{x}_i', \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{x}_i) + \mathrm{d}^2(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{F}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i') \right]$$



Source: S. Lazebnik

Comparison of estimation algorithms



	8-point	Normalized 8-point	Nonlinear least squares
Av. Dist. 1	2.33 pixels	0.92 pixel	0.86 pixel
Av. Dist. 2	2.18 pixels	0.85 pixel	0.80 pixel

The Fundamental Matrix Song



http://danielwedge.com/fmatrix/

From epipolar geometry to camera calibration

- Estimating the fundamental matrix is known as "weak calibration"
- If we know the calibration matrices of the two cameras, we can estimate the essential matrix: *E* = *K*^{'T}*FK*
- The essential matrix gives us the relative rotation and translation between the cameras, or their extrinsic parameters

Source: S. Lazebnik

Stereo



Many slides adapted from Steve Seitz
Binocular stereo

 Given a calibrated binocular stereo pair, fuse it to produce a depth image







Dense depth map



Binocular stereo

• Given a calibrated binocular stereo pair, fuse it to produce a depth image



Where does the depth information come from?

Binocular stereo

- Given a calibrated binocular stereo pair, fuse it to produce a depth image
 - Humans can do it



Stereograms: Invented by Sir Charles Wheatstone, 1838

Basic stereo matching algorithm



- For each pixel in the first image
 - Find corresponding epipolar line in the right image
 - Examine all pixels on the epipolar line and pick the best match
 - Triangulate the matches to get depth information
- Simplest case: epipolar lines are corresponding scanlines
 - When does this happen?

Simplest Case: Parallel images



- Image planes of cameras are parallel to each other and to the baseline
- Camera centers are at same height
- Focal lengths are the same

Simplest Case: Parallel images



- Image planes of cameras are parallel to each other and to the baseline
- Camera centers are at same height
- Focal lengths are the same
- Then epipolar lines fall along the horizontal scan lines of the images

Essential matrix for parallel images



The y-coordinates of corresponding points are the same!

Depth from disparity



Disparity is inversely proportional to depth!

Depth from disparity



Triangulation: History



From Wikipedia: Gemma Frisius's 1533 diagram introducing the idea of triangulation into the science of surveying. Having established a baseline, e.g. the cities of Brussels and Antwerp, the location of other cities, e.g. Middelburg, Ghent etc., can be found by taking a compass direction from each end of the baseline, and plotting where the two directions cross. This was only a theoretical presentation of the concept — due to topographical restrictions, it is impossible to see Middelburg from either Brussels or Antwerp. Nevertheless, the figure soon became well known all across Europe.





C. Loop and Z. Zhang. <u>Computing Rectifying Homographies for Stereo Vision</u>. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 1999

Source: S. Lazebnik

Rectification example



Correspondence search



- Slide a window along the right scanline and compare contents of that window with the reference window in the left image
- Matching cost: SSD or normalized correlation

Correspondence search



Correspondence search



Basic stereo matching algorithm



- If necessary, rectify the two stereo images to transform epipolar lines into scanlines
- For each pixel **x** in the first image
 - Find corresponding epipolar scanline in the right image
 - Examine all pixels on the scanline and pick the best match x'
 - Compute disparity x-x' and set depth(x) = $B^*f/(x-x')$

Failures of correspondence search



Textureless surfaces



Occlusions, repetition



Non-Lambertian surfaces, specularities

Effect of window size









W = 20

- Smaller window
 - + More detail
 - More noise
- Larger window
 - + Smoother disparity maps
 - Less detail

Source: S. Lazebnik

Results with window search





Window-based matching

Ground truth





Better methods exist...



Graph cuts

Ground truth

Y. Boykov, O. Veksler, and R. Zabih, <u>Fast Approximate Energy Minimization via Graph Cuts</u>, PAMI 2001

For the latest and greatest: <u>http://www.middlebury.edu/stereo/</u>

How can we improve window-based matching?

- The similarity constraint is **local** (each reference window is matched independently)
- Need to enforce **non-local** correspondence constraints

- Uniqueness
 - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image



- Uniqueness
 - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image
- Ordering
 - Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views



- Uniqueness
 - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image
- Ordering
 - Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views



Ordering constraint doesn't hold

- Uniqueness
 - For any point in one image, there should be at most one matching point in the other image
- Ordering
 - Corresponding points should be in the same order in both views
- Smoothness
 - We expect disparity values to change slowly (for the most part)

Scanline stereo

- Try to coherently match pixels on the entire scanline
- Different scanlines are still optimized independently





"Shortest paths" for scan-line stereo



Can be implemented with dynamic programming Ohta & Kanade '85, Cox et al. '96

Slide credit: Y. Boykov

Coherent stereo on 2D grid

• Scanline stereo generates streaking artifacts



• Can't use dynamic programming to find spatially coherent disparities/ correspondences on a 2D grid

Stereo matching as energy minimization



 $E(D) = \sum_{i} \left(W_1(i) - W_2(i + D(i)) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{\text{neighbors } i, j} \rho(D(i) - D(j))$ data term smoothness term

 Energy functions of this form can be minimized using graph cuts

Y. Boykov, O. Veksler, and R. Zabih, <u>Fast Approximate Energy Minimization via Graph Cuts</u>, PAMI 2001

Stereo matching as energy minimization



 Probabilistic interpretation: we want to find a Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimate of disparity image D:

$$P(D|I_1,I_2) \propto P(I_1,I_2|D)P(D)$$

 $-\log P(D | I_1, I_2) \propto -\log P(I_1, I_2 | D) - \log P(D)$

$$E = E_{\text{data}}(I_1, I_2, D) + \lambda E_{\text{smooth}}(D)$$

Stereo matching as energy minimization

- Note: the above formulation does not treat the two images symmetrically, does not enforce uniqueness, and does not take occlusions into account
- It is possible to come up with an energy that does all these things, but it's a bit more complex
 - Defined over all possible sets of matches, not over all disparity maps with respect to the first image
 - Includes an *occlusion term*
 - The smoothness term looks different and more complicated

V. Kolmogorov and R. Zabih,

Computing Visual Correspondence with Occlusions using Graph Cuts, ICCV 2001

Source: S. Lazebnik

Optical flow estimation for stereo



Source: http://people.csail.mit.edu/celiu/OpticalFlow/



flow color coding

Active stereo with structured light



- Project "structured" light patterns onto the object
 - Simplifies the correspondence problem
 - Allows us to use only one camera



L. Zhang, B. Curless, and S. M. Seitz. <u>Rapid Shape Acquisition Using Color Structured Light and Multi-pass Dynamic</u> <u>Programming.</u> 3DPVT 2002

Source: S. Lazebnik

Active stereo with structured light



Source: S. Lazebnik

Active stereo with structured light



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Structured-light_3D_scanner
Source: S. Lazebnik

Kinect: Structured infrared light



http://bbzippo.wordpress.com/2010/11/28/kinect-in-infrared/

Laser scanning





Digital Michelangelo Project Levoy et al. http://graphics.stanford.edu/projects/mich/

Optical triangulation

- Project a single stripe of laser light
- Scan it across the surface of the object
- This is a very precise version of structured light scanning









The Digital Michelangelo Project, Levoy et al.

Source: S. Seitz

1.0 mm resolution (56 million triangles)



Aligning range images

- A single range scan is not sufficient to describe a complex surface
- Need techniques to register multiple range images



B. Curless and M. Levoy, <u>A Volumetric Method for Building Complex Models from Range Images</u>, SIGGRAPH

Aligning range images

- A single range scan is not sufficient to describe a complex surface
- Need techniques to register multiple range images

... which brings us to *multi-view stereo*