Problem Set 5

Hung Le 19/11/2021 Let f(x, y, z) = x + 2z be a function defined over \mathbb{R}^3 . In addition, let $g_1(x, y, z) = x + y + z$ and $g_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z$ be two additional functions defined over \mathbb{R}^3 . Consider the constrained maximization problem:

$$\max_{x,y,z} f(x,y,z)$$

s.t. $g_1(x,y,z) = 1$
 $g_2(x,y,z) = \frac{7}{4}$

- (a) Check if the NDCQ is satisfied.
- (b) Solve the maximization problem.

$$L = x + 2z - \lambda_1 \left(x + y + z - 1 \right) - \lambda_2 \left(x^2 + y^2 + z - \frac{7}{4} \right).$$

The rank of the Jacobian of the two constraint functions is equal to 2 unless $x = y = \frac{1}{2}$, in which case the rank is equal to 1. However, there is no point in the constraint set in which $x = y = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the NDCQ is satisfied.

The first order conditions are

$$1 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 x = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$-\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 y = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$2 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$x + y + z = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z = \frac{7}{4}.$$
 (5)

From (3) we obtain $\lambda_2 = 2 - \lambda_1$, which inserted into (2) gives $\lambda_1(2y-1) = 4y$. This equation implies that $y \neq \frac{1}{2}$, so $\lambda_1 = \frac{4y}{2y-1}$. Inserting this into (1) with $\lambda_2 = 2 - \lambda_1$ eventually yields $y = 2x - \frac{1}{2}$. Inserting the last expression into the two constraints yields $3x + z = \frac{3}{2}$ and $5x^2 - 2x + z = \frac{3}{2}$. These two equations combined give $z = \frac{3}{2} - 3x$ and 5x(x-1) = 0. Thus, x = 0 or x = 1. If x = 0, we obtain $y = -\frac{1}{2}$, $z = \frac{3}{2}$, and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$. If x = 1, we get $y = \frac{3}{2}$, $z = -\frac{3}{2}$, $\lambda_1 = 3$, and $\lambda_2 = -1$. Evaluating f at these two critical points we get f(0, -1/2, 3/2) = 3 and f(1, 3/2, -3/2) = -2. Thus the only candidate for a solution is (0, -1/2, 3/2). Given $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$, the Lagrangian is a concave function in (x, y, z). So we can conclude that (0, -1/2, 3/2) is the solution to this maximization problem.

Let $u(x, y) = x^a y^{1-a}$ be a Cobb-Douglas utility function, where 0 < a < 1. Use the Kuhn-Tucker formulation to solve the following utility maximization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{x,y} & u(x,y) \\ \text{s.t.} & p_x x + p_y y \leq w \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & y \geq 0, \end{array}$$

where $p_x > 0$ and $p_y > 0$ are commodity prices, and w > 0 is income.

Exercise 2 - Solution

First of all, a solution exists by Weierstrass's Theorem. The Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian is

$$\tilde{L} = x^a y^{1-a} - \mu \left(p_x x + p_y y - w \right).$$

The first order conditions are

$$ax^{a-1}y^{1-a} - \mu p_x \le 0$$
 (6)

$$(1-a)x^ay^{-a} - \mu p_y \le 0 \tag{7}$$

$$x\left(ax^{a-1}y^{1-a}-\mu p_{x}\right)=0$$
(8)

$$y\left((1-a)x^{a}y^{-a}-\mu p_{y}\right)=0$$
(9)

$$p_x x + p_y y \le w \tag{10}$$

$$\mu \left(p_x x + p_y y - w \right) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$x \ge 0, y \ge 0, \mu \ge 0.$$
 (12)

Notice that a solution must be such that x > 0 and y > 0. If not, total utility is zero. But then it would be feasible to attain strictly positive utility by choosing positive quantities of both commodities while satisfying the budget constraint.

Since we must have x > 0 and y > 0, (8) and (9) imply that both (6) and (7) hold with equality and, consequently, $\mu > 0$, which in turn implies that the budget constraint is binding via (11). Combining (6) and (7), we get $y = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \frac{(1-a)}{a} x$. Combining the latter expression with the budget constraint we obtain $x = \frac{aw}{p_x}$ and $y = \frac{(1-a)w}{p_y}$, which is the unique solution.

Consider the following maximization problem:

$$\max_{x,y} \quad x^2 - y^2$$

s.t.
$$x^2 + y^2 \le 9$$
$$x \ge -2$$
$$y \ge -1.$$

- (a) Check if the NDCQ is satisfied.
- (b) Form the Lagrangian and solve the problem.

(a) we check 8 cases of different values of λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 (whether the 3 constraints are active or not) Case 1: $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$ The rank of Dg(x,y) is 0. NDCQ holds. Case 2: $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$ Dg(x,y) = (2x 2y). NDCQ holds because $x^2 + y^2 = 9$. Case 3: $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$ $Dg(x,y) = (-1 \ 0)$. NDCQ holds. Case 4: $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$ $Dg(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. NDCQ holds because $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and x = -2.

(a) we check 8 cases of different values of λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 (whether the 3 constraints are active or not) Case 5: $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 > 0$ Dg(x,y) = (0 - 1). NDCQ holds. Case 6: $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 > 0$ $Dg(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. NDCQ holds because $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and y = -2. Case 7: $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_3 > 0$ $Dg(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. NDCQ holds. Case 8: $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_3 > 0$ $x^2 + y^2 = 5 \neq 9$, point (-2,-1) violates the constraint set in this case. NDCQ holds.

(b) The Lagrangian is

$$L = x^{2} - y^{2} - \lambda_{1}(x^{2} + y^{2} - 9) - \lambda_{2}(-2 - x) - \lambda_{3}(-1 - y)$$

The first order conditions are

$$2x - 2x * \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$-2y - 2y * \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\lambda_1(x^2 + y^2 - 9) = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_2(-2-x) = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\lambda_3(-1-y) = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$\lambda_1 \ge 0, \lambda_1 \ge 0, \lambda_3 \ge 0 \tag{18}$$

$$x^{2} + y^{2} - 9 \le 0, -2 - x \le 0, -1 - y \le 0.$$
 (19)

(b) We can check 8 cases of different values of λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 (whether the 3 constraints are active or not). Alternatively, it might be faster to go through 4 separate cases: 1) x = -2 and y = -1; 2) x = -2 and y > -1; 3) x > -2 and y = -1 and 4) x > -2 and y > -1. Case 1: x = -2 and v = -1We have $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 4$, $\lambda_3 = -2$. Eliminate this case since $\lambda_3 < 0$ Case 2: x = -2 and v > -1We have $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$. If $\lambda_1 = 0$, then y=0, $\lambda_2 = 4$, (-2,0) is a candidate. If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then $y^2 = 5, (-2, \sqrt{5})$ and $(-2, -\sqrt{5})$ are candidates.

Case 3: x > -2 and y = -1We have $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 > 0$. If $\lambda_1 = 0$, then x=0, $\lambda_3 = -2$. Eliminate this case since $\lambda_3 < 0$. If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then $x^2 = 8$, $(\sqrt{8}, -1)$ and $(-\sqrt{8}, -1)$ are candidates. Case 4: x > -2 and y > -1We have $\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$. If $\lambda_1 = 0$, then x = y = 0, (0, 0) is a candidate. If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then $2x(1 - \lambda_1) = 0$ and $-2y(1 + \lambda_1) = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda_1 = 1$ and y = 0. Point (3, 0) is a candidate. Checking all candidates, the unique solution is (3, 0). Let f(x, y) = x and $g(x, y) = y^5 - x^4$ be two functions defined over \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider the following constrained problem:

> $\min_{x,y} \quad f(x,y)$ s.t. g(x,y) = 0.

- (a) Form the Lagrangian and show that it does not have any critical points.
- (b) Find all the points where the NDCQ fails.
- (c) Solve the constrained minimization problem.

(a) The Lagrangian is

$$L = x - \mu(y^5 - x^4).$$

The first order conditions are

$$1 + 4\mu x^3 = 0 (20)$$

$$5\mu y^4 = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$y^5 - x^4 = 0. (22)$$

From (21) we have either $\mu = 0$ or y = 0. If $\mu = 0$, (20) cannot hold. If y = 0, then x = 0 by (22) and, consequently, (20) cannot hold. Thus the system (20)-(22) does not admit any solution.

(b) The NDCQ fails when $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0$. That is, $5y^4 = 4x^3 = 0$, which holds only at the point (x, y) = (0, 0). Notice that (0, 0) belongs to the constraint set.

(c) The point where the NDCQ fails is just a solution candidate but not the true solution. We do not have a solution for the constrained minimization problem because x can go to negative infinity.

Exercise 5

Consider the following constrained minimization problem:

$$\min_{x,y,z} f(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

s.t. $g_1(x,y,z) = x + 2y + z = 30$
 $g_2(x,y,z) = 2x - y - 3z = 10$

- (a) Find the unique point that satisfies the first order conditions for optimality.
- (b) Use second order conditions to show that the point you found in (a) is a local minimizer of f subject to g₁(x, y, z) = 30 and g₂(x, y, z) = 10.

The Lagrangian is

$$L = x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - \mu_{1}(x + 2y + z - 30) - \mu_{2}(2x - y - 3z - 10).$$

The first order conditions are

$$2x - \mu_1 - 2\mu_2 = 0$$

$$2y - 2\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 0$$

$$2z - \mu_1 + 3\mu_2 = 0$$

$$x + 2y + z - 30 = 0$$

$$2x - y - 3z - 10 = 0.$$

The above is a system of 5 linear equations in 5 unknowns. The unique solution is $(x, y, z, \mu_1, \mu_2) = (10, 10, 0, 12, 4)$.

The bordered Hessian is:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} & L_{xx}'' & L_{xy}'' & L_{xz}'' \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} & L_{yx}'' & L_{yy}'' & L_{yz}'' \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z} & L_{xx}'' & L_{zy}'' & L_{zz}'' \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this problem, we have n = 3 variables and m = 2 constraints. We have to check the sign of the last n - m leading principal minors. That is, we only need to check the sign of the determinant of the whole matrix H. This determinant is equal to 150. Since $(-1)^m = 1$ and $(-1)^n = -1$, and since det(H) > 0, we conclude that H is positive definite on the constraint set. Therefore, (10, 10, 0) is a strict local minimizer of f over the given constraint set.