


Thank you for your feedback!

## 1. My overall assessment of the course

Number of respondents: 15

52 students participated on the course, so only 29% filled the feedback survey



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Fair	1	6.7%
2 = Satisfactory	0	0.0%
3 = Good	0	0.0%
4 = Very good	8	53.3%
5 = Excellent	6	40.0%

Based on overall assessment, the course went well.

Averages of overall assessment:

2022: 4.2 (52 participants, feedback reply rate 29%)

2021: 4.3 (25 participants, feedback reply rate 64%)

2020: 4.8 (16 participants, feedback reply rate 81%)


Students come mainly from Functional Materials and Chemistry majors of the CHEM MSc programme.

The course has been compulsory in Functional Materials since 2021.

Course was implemented remotely in 2021 and 2022.

## 2. The teaching methods (lectures, labs, group work, online study, assignments etc.) supported my learning

Number of respondents: 15



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Strongly disagree	0	0.0%
2 = Disagree	1	6.7%
3 = Neither agree nor disagree	0	0.0%
4 = Agree	7	46.6%
5 = Strongly agree	7	46.7%

### Things mentioned in open feedback by several students:

- + Continuous assessment (quiz for every lecture topic, no exam)
- + Wiki project
- + All lectures were recorded

### Suggestion from open comments:

*It would be nice to get correct answers after returning the Assignments.*

-> The answers are always available after the quiz has closed. I will try to emphasize this more often the next year.


### Zulip chat got good feedback. For example:

*I needed some help for a few questions in the quizzes and there were already questions in Zulip about the same issue. So, it saves teacher's time when we don't have to ask the same question many times. Also, I got the answer immediately from Zulip which was nice.*

*I really enjoyed the ability to write my questions on Zulip and wait for an answer either from classmates or professor. It was very helpful.*

### 3. I am pleased with my study effort on this course


Number of respondents: 15



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Strongly disagree	0	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%
3 = Neither agree nor disagree	1	6.7%
4 = Agree	7	46.6%
5 = Strongly agree	7	46.7%

4. According to the guidelines, one credit (ECTS) requires 27 hours of student work. Compared with this, the completion of the course required

Number of respondents: 15




	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	1	6.7%
1 = Considerably less time	0	0.0%
2 = Slightly less time	0	0.0%
3 = The right amount of time	12	80.0%
4 = Slightly more time	2	13.3%
5 = Considerably more time	0	0.0%

Course workload seems to be reasonable.

## 5. The course content and objectives corresponded to those of the course description

Number of respondents: 15




	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Strongly disagree	0	0.0%
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%
3 = Neither agree nor disagree	0	0.0%
4 = Agree	8	53.3%
5 = Strongly agree	7	46.7%

Solid state chemistry was promised, and apparently also delivered.

## 6. How was your study motivation affected by the course?


Number of respondents: 15



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = It suffered notably	1	6.7%
2 = It suffered slightly	0	0.0%
3 = It was not affected by the course	4	26.7%
4 = It improved slightly	7	46.6%
5 = It improved notably	3	20.0%

## 7. Compared with other courses of similar level that I have completed at the school, the course was


Number of respondents: 15



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Considerably easier	0	0.0%
2 = Slightly easier	0	0.0%
3 = Equally challenging	11	73.3%
4 = Slightly more challenging	2	13.4%
5 = Considerably more challenging	2	13.3%

## 8. The course enhanced my general skills (such as teamwork skills, writing skills, problem-solving skills and a systematic working approach)

Number of respondents: 15



	n	Prosentti
E = Not applicable	0	0.0%
1 = Strongly disagree	0	0.0%
2 = Disagree	1	6.7%
3 = Neither agree nor disagree	2	13.3%
4 = Agree	8	53.3%
5 = Strongly agree	4	26.7%

Wiki project and aspects related to open access licensing are relevant here.