

Feedback for Group 2A

1. Clarity, communicability (use of documentation, visualisations, storytelling...)

2022-04-04 14:23 » Clear slides with good amount of information, easy to follow:) + clever use of metaphores

2022-04-04 14:24 » Maybe no war connatated examples? +3

2022-04-04 14:24 » good use of metaphors as a narrative style but yeah, may be not use war related ones +4

2022-04-04 14:26 » Beautiful metaphors really well embedded

2022-04-04 14:26 » Good and clear way to present the insights!

2022-04-04 14:29 » peer learning is excellent phrase to use in any huge renewal where lots of actors +2

2022-04-04 14:30 » love to see the clear diagrams

2022-04-04 14:31 » good metaphors

2022-04-04 14:32 » Maybe it's better to use a larger fontsize ? The content is interesting! +2

2022-04-04 14:39 » Amazing visuals!!

2022-04-04 14:51 » Sometimes capslocks and highlights are a bit aggressive, especially when paired with the rather gloomy illustrations.

2022-04-04 14:53 » Last comment was meant to 2b!

2. Relevance and quality of research (sources, research methods, sample...):

2022-04-04 14:26 » For the quotes it would be nice to see where they are from! +6

2022-04-04 14:32 » Classify the quotes would be better

2022-04-04 14:37 » The presentation went through this part quite briefly, difficult to say

3. The usefulness of research analysis and framing of identified problem areas (understanding of the topic, insights framing, systemic analysis...):

2022-04-04 14:33 » Difficult to tell +1

2022-04-04 14:36 » Seems like the TE vs the municiplaities are the focus?

2022-04-04 14:39 » This topic seems very difficult to tackle, so congrats on framing the issues and your analysis !!

2022-04-04 14:41 » Great visualizations that boils down the interview

Feedback for Group 2B

1. Clarity, communicability (use of documentation, visualisations, storytelling...)

2022-04-04 14:46 » Clear overview of the process (both visually and verbally) +1

2022-04-04 14:46 » Lovely illustrations, clear communication.

2022-04-04 14:47 » The design process is clearly illustrated in your slides. great work! +4

2022-04-04 14:47 » Clever way of guiding us through the presentation with the help of the "menu" on the top

2022-04-04 14:49 » Highlights of quotes are helpful to read +1

2022-04-04 14:53 » Sometimes capslocks and highlights are a bit aggressive, especially when paired with the rather gloomy illustrations. +1

2022-04-04 14:53 » Easy to follow and understand, your presentation is very clear!

2. Relevance and quality of research (sources, research methods, sample...):

2022-04-04 14:53 » The insights are relevant to the discussion of this topic, accessing issues from diverse aspects with explicit explanation.

2022-04-04 14:55 » Good research, and list different stakeholders will be much better

2022-04-04 15:09 » Employees perspective is a good focus point

3. The usefulness of research analysis and framing of identified problem areas (understanding of the topic, insights framing, systemic analysis...):

2022-04-04 14:48 » A lot workload of research +1

2022-04-04 14:54 » The insights framing process is really clear

2022-04-04 14:55 » I would say that the negativeness of the findings, and the negative feeling of the visuals is a bit too much. I would reconsider how you want to present the topic: does the negative findings need to be emphasized? Does that help the client to hear your message? +3

2022-04-04 14:57 » You identified promising factors successfully.

2022-04-04 14:58 » Great summarizing questions/scopes in the end and an explanation

Feedback for Group 2C

1. Clarity, communicability (use of documentation, visualisations, storytelling...)

- 2022-04-04 15:07 » Awesome to see the timeline at the bottom of the first slide, maybe it could've stayed the whole presentation? +1
- 2022-04-04 15:10 » Super nice slides with efficient use of visuals! They are clear while still maintaining the needed level of detail
- 2022-04-04 15:11 » Very clear visualization, but the words are a little bit too small to read +3
- 2022-04-04 15:11 » clear understandable slides +1
- 2022-04-04 15:12 » I really like the mapping of "how internationals are at a disadvantage when it comes to findings jobs.." - very visual and effective +1
- 2022-04-04 15:12 » Clear presentation style +1
- 2022-04-04 15:13 » logical and clear. +1
- 2022-04-04 15:13 » Nice structure presentation!!
- 2022-04-04 15:14 » good way to describe problems based on each process +1
- 2022-04-04 15:16 » Very clear structure and visuals
- 2022-04-04 15:16 » Clear, but better to use highlighter for long paragraphs and sentences +4
- 2022-04-04 15:18 » The minimalism of the slides made the message really clear. +1

2. Relevance and quality of research (sources, research methods, sample...):

- 2022-04-04 15:13 » Good use of the journey both as a research method and for communication +2
- 2022-04-04 15:14 » The progressive information revealing is really comprehensive and user friendly for me to read +3
- 2022-04-04 15:15 » I like the feedback from interviews! Clear and informative:) +2
- 2022-04-04 15:17 » Relevant +1
- 2022-04-04 15:19 » Everything done is really top tier, but i would like more content or innovation +3

3. The usefulness of research analysis and framing of identified problem areas (understanding of the topic, insights framing, systemic analysis...):

- 2022-04-04 15:16 » Is it also a political statement from your group to focus on internationals?
- 2022-04-04 15:17 » The loop shows the problem quite nicely
- 2022-04-04 15:18 » The question you ask is what I am experiencing right now! thanks for pointing it out +1
- 2022-04-04 15:19 » I like your description but how do you continue in the next step? sounds a bit difficult to make them happen
- 2022-04-04 15:25 » Very interesting presentation and great that you opened up the road of the jobseeker