



1

Contents

- M/G/1 with a work-conserving service discipline
- M/G/1-FIFO
- M/G/1-PS
- Performance comparison between FIFO and PS

M/G/1

- Customers arrive according to a Poisson process at rate λ
 - IID inter-arrival times
 - exponential inter-arrival time distribution with mean $1/\lambda$
- Customers are served by 1 server
 - IID service times S_i
 - general service time distribution with mean $E[S] = 1/\mu$
- There are ∞ customer places in the system



Service discipline

• **Definition**:

Service discipline π determines the way the customers are served

- It specifies whether the customers are served one-by-one or simultaneously
- If the customers are served one-by-one, it specifies the order in which they are taken to service
- If the customers are served simultaneously, it specifies how the service capacity is shared among them
- Service discipline is also called as queueing discipline, or scheduling discipline
- Definition: A service discipline is work-conserving if customers are served whenever the system is non-empty

Work-conserving service disciplines

• First In First Out (FIFO)

- customers are served one-by-one until completion
- service in the arrival order ("ordinary queue")
- the customer that arrived first is served with rate μ
- also known as First Come First Served (FCFS)
- Processor Sharing (PS)
 - customers are served simultaneously
 - the service capacity is shared evenly among all customers ("fair queue")
 - when *i* customers are in the system, each of them is served with rate μ/i
 - ideal version of the Round Robin (RR) service discipline

Delay

- α_i = arrival time of customer *i*
- β_i^{π} = departure time of customer *i*
- T_i^{π} = delay (sojourn time) of customer *i*

 $T_i^{\pi} \coloneqq \beta_i^{\pi} - \alpha_i$



Queue length

- A(t) = number of arrivals until time t= arrival process
- $B^{\pi}(t)$ = number of departures until time t= departure process

 $A(t) := \max\{i : \alpha_i \le t\}$

$$B^{\pi}(t) \coloneqq |\{i \colon \beta_i^{\pi} \le t\}|$$

• $X^{\pi}(t)$ = number of customers at time t= queue length process

$$X^{\pi}(t) \coloneqq A(t) - B^{\pi}(t)$$



Traffic load in M/G/1

Definition:
 Traffic load *ρ* is defined by

$$\rho \coloneqq \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

• Applying Little's formula to the subsystem consisting of just the server:

Little

$$P\{X > 0\} = E[1_{\{X > 0\}}] = \lambda E[S] = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \rho, \quad P\{X = 0\} = 1 - \rho$$



Throughput

Definition: • 1.0 Throughput θ of service discipline π $\mu = 1$ 0.8 $\theta(\rho)$ refers to the long-run average departure rate given by 0.6 $\theta \coloneqq \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} E[B^{\pi}(t)]$ 0.4 0.2 0.0 **Proposition:** • $\overline{\rho}^{1.0}$ 00 0.5 1.5 2.0 For any work-conserving service discipline π , the throughput is **Corollary:** • (i) If $\rho \leq 1$, then $\theta = \lambda$ $\theta = \min\{\lambda, \mu\}$ (ii) If $\rho \ge 1$, then $\theta = \mu$

Stability

Definition:

Service discipline π is unstable if

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} P\{X^{\pi}(t) \ge n\} = 1 \quad \text{for all } n$

Otherwise it is stable.

- **Proposition:** All service disciplines π are unstable if $\rho \ge 1$
- **Proposition**:

All work-conserving service disciplines π are stable if $\rho < 1$



Unfinished work

- U(t) = sum of remaining service times of all customers in system at time t
 = total workload at time t
 - = unfinished work process

$$U(t) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{A(t)} S_i - \int_0^t 1_{\{U(s) > 0\}} ds$$

• **Proposition**:

The unfinished work process U(t) is the same for all work-conserving disciplines π . In addition,

 $\{X^{\pi}(t) = 0\} = \{U(t) = 0\}$



Busy and idle periods (1)

- Definition: The server is busy whenever the system is non-empty, and idle otherwise. A busy [idle] period is an unbroken interval during which the server is busy [idle].
- I_n = length of *n*th idle period
- B_n = length of *n*th busy period
- C_n = length of *n*th busy cycle
- N_n = number of customers served in the *n*th busy period

$$C_n = I_n + B_n$$



Busy and idle periods (2)

• Assume that U(0) = 0.

$$\gamma_1 \coloneqq 0$$

 $I_1 \coloneqq \inf\{t > 0 \mid U(t) > 0\} = \alpha_1$
 $B_1 \coloneqq \inf\{t > I_1 \mid U(t) = 0\} - I_1$
 $C_1 \coloneqq I_1 + B_1$
 $N_1 \coloneqq A(C_1)$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_n &\coloneqq \gamma_{n-1} + C_{n-1} \\ I_n &\coloneqq \inf\{t > \gamma_n \mid U(t) > 0\} - \gamma_n \\ B_n &\coloneqq \inf\{t > \gamma_n + I_n \mid U(t) = 0\} - \gamma_n - I_n \\ C_n &\coloneqq I_n + B_n \\ N_n &\coloneqq A(\gamma_n + C_n) - A(\gamma_n) \end{split}$$



Busy and idle periods (3)

• **Proposition:**

Idle periods I_n , busy periods B_n , busy cycles C_n , and the number of customers N_n served in a busy period are the same for all workconserving service disciplines π .

• **Proposition:**

The busy cycles C_n constitute a renewal sequence (γ_n) . In addition, $X^{\pi}(t)$ and U(t) are regenerative processes with respect to the renewal sequence (γ_n) for all workconserving service disciplines π . **Proposition:** Assume that $\rho < 1$. (i) Idle periods I_n are IID with mean

 $E[I] = 1/\lambda$

(ii) Busy periods B_n are IID with mean

 $E[B] = \frac{E[S]}{1 - \rho}$

(iii) Busy cycles
$$C_n$$
 are IID with mean

 $E[C] = \frac{1/\lambda}{1-\rho}$

(iv) Number of customers N_n served in a busy period are IID with mean

$$E[N] = \frac{1}{1 - \rho}$$

Busy and idle periods (4)

•

• By previous propositions, the steady-state variables X^{π} and U,

 $P\{X^{\pi} \le x\} \coloneqq \lim_{t \to \infty} P\{X^{\pi}(t) \le x\}$ $= \frac{E[\int_{0}^{C} 1\{X^{\pi}(t) \le x\}dt]}{E[C]}$ $P\{U \le x\} \coloneqq \lim_{t \to \infty} P\{U(t) \le x\}$ $= \frac{E[\int_{0}^{C} 1\{U(t) \le x\}dt]}{E[C]}$

are well-defined whenever the system is stable, $\rho < 1$.

Proposition: Assume that $\rho < 1$. For all workconserving service disciplines π , we have

$$P\{X^{\pi} = 0\} = P\{U = 0\} = 1 - \rho$$

$$P\{X^{\pi} > 0\} = P\{U > 0\} = \rho$$

Contents

- M/G/1 with a work-conserving service discipline
- M/G/1-FIFO
- M/G/1-PS
- Performance comparison between FIFO and PS

M/G/1-FIFO

- Customers arrive according to a Poisson process at rate λ
 - IID inter-arrival times
 - exponential inter-arrival time distribution with mean $1/\lambda$
- Customers are served by 1 server according to the FIFO service discipline
 - IID service times S_i
 - a general service time distribution with mean $E[S] = 1/\mu$
- There are ∞ customer places in the system



FIFO service discipline

- First In First Out (FIFO)
 - customers are served one-by-one until completion
 - service in the arrival order ("ordinary queue")
 - the customer that arrived first is served with rate μ
 - also known as First Come First Served (FCFS)

Waiting time

• In a FIFO system, the delay T_i of customer *i* consists of its waiting time W_i and service time S_i

 $T_i = W_i + S_i$

 Let Y^w_i denote the number of waiting customers that customer *i* sees upon its arrival,

 $Y_i^w = \max\{X(\alpha_i -) - 1, 0\}$

• Now

$$W_i = U(\alpha_i -) = \sum_{j=1}^{Y_i^w} S_{i-j} + R(\alpha_i -)$$

where R(t) denotes the remaining service time of the customer in service at time t (if any).



Remaining service time



Pollaczek-Khinchin mean value formulas

Theorem: Assume ρ < 1. For the M/G/1-FIFO queue, we have

$$E[W] = E[U] = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)}$$
$$E[T] = E[S] + \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)}$$
$$E[X] = \rho + \frac{\lambda^2 E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)}$$

 These are called the Pollaczek-Khinchin mean value formulas for M/G/1-FIFO Note that, if the mean service time *E*[*S*] is kept fixed, then *E*[*W*], *E*[*T*], and *E*[*X*] are increasing functions of the coefficient of variation *C*[*S*] of the service time distribution,

$$C[S] \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{D^2[S]}{E[S]^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{E[S^2]}{E[S]^2}} - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E[S^2] = E[S]^2 (1 + C^2[S])$$

- Examples:
 - Erlang distribution: C[S] < 1
 - Exponential distribution: C[S] = 1
 - Hyperexponential distrib.: C[S] > 1
 - Pareto distribution: C[S] > 1

Contents

- M/G/1 with a work-conserving service discipline
- M/G/1-FIFO
- M/G/1-PS
- Performance comparison between FIFO and PS

M/G/1-PS

- Customers arrive according to a Poisson process at rate λ
 - IID inter-arrival times
 - exponential inter-arrival time distribution with mean $1/\lambda$
- Customers are served by 1 server according to the PS service discipline
 - IID service times S_i
 - a general service time distribution with mean $E[S] = 1/\mu$
- There are ∞ customer places in the system



PS service discipline

- Processor Sharing (PS)
 - customers are served simultaneously
 - the service capacity is shared evenly among all customers ("fair queue")
 - when *i* customers are in the system, each of them is served with rate μ/i
 - ideal version of the Round Robin (RR) service discipline

Exponential distribution

 $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\mu), \quad \mu > 0$ $S = (0, \infty)$ $F_X(x) \coloneqq P\{X \le x\} = 1 - e^{-\mu x}$ $f_X(x) \coloneqq \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x) = \mu e^{-\mu x}$

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\mu}$$
$$E[X^{2}] = \frac{2}{\mu^{2}}$$
$$D^{2}[X] = \frac{1}{\mu^{2}}$$
$$D[X] = \frac{1}{\mu}$$
$$C[X] \coloneqq \frac{D[X]}{E[X]} = \frac{1}{\mu}$$



M/M/1-PS

- Let us first consider the M/M/1-PS queue
- So we assume that the service times obey the $Exp(\mu)$ distribution
- In this case, the queue length process X(t) is an irreducible (Markov) birth-death process with state space

$$S = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$$

and transition rates

$$q(n, n+1) = \lambda$$
$$q(n+1, n) = (n+1)\frac{\mu}{n+1} = \mu$$

• Proposition:

Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/M/1-PS queue, the steady-state queue length distribution is

$$P{X = n} = (1 - \rho)\rho^n, \quad n \in {0, 1, 2, ...}$$

Erlang distribution

• IID exponential phases in a series

$$\begin{split} X &\sim \operatorname{Erl}(K, K\mu), \quad \mu > 0 \\ X &= X_1 + \dots + X_K, \quad X_k \sim \operatorname{Exp}(K\mu) \\ S &= (0, \infty) \\ F_X(x) &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(K\mu x)^{K-k}}{(K-k)!} e^{-K\mu x} \\ f_X(x) &= K\mu \frac{(K\mu x)^{K-1}}{(K-1)!} e^{-K\mu x} \end{split}$$

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\mu}$$
$$E[X^{2}] = \frac{K+1}{K\mu^{2}}$$
$$D^{2}[X] = \frac{1}{K\mu^{2}}$$
$$D[X] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K\mu}}$$
$$C[X] \coloneqq \frac{D[X]}{E[X]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$$



M/E_K/1-PS (1)

- Next we consider the M/E_K/1-PS queue
- Here we assume that the service times obey the $Erl(K,K\mu)$ distribution with $K \ge 2$ exponential phases
- In this case, the queue length process X(t) is no longer a Markov process, but we have to supplement the state description.
- To get a Markovian description of the system, we have to additionally keep track of the current phases of the customers.

Let

$$N(t) = (N_1(t), \dots, N_K(t))$$

where $N_k(t)$ refers to the total number of customers in phase k at time t

 Process N(t) is an irreducible Markov process with state space

$$S = \{ n = (n_1, \dots, n_K) \mid n_k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \}$$

and transition rates

$$\begin{split} q(n, n+e_1) &= \lambda \\ q(n+e_k, n+e_{k+1}) &= \frac{(n_k+1)K\mu}{n_1+\dots+n_K+1}, \ k < K \\ q(n+e_K, n) &= \frac{(n_K+1)K\mu}{n_1+\dots+n_K+1} \end{split}$$

M/E_K/1-PS (2)

• **Proposition:** Assume $\rho < 1$. The steady-state distribution of process N(t) is $P\{N = n\} = (1 - \rho)(\rho/K)^{n_1 + \ldots + n_K} \times$

$$\frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_K)!}{n_1! \dots n_K!}, \quad n \in S$$

• Note that

 $X(t) = N_1(t) + \dots + N_K(t)$

• Corollary:

Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/E_K/1-PS queue, the steady-state queue length distribution is

$$P{X = n} = (1 - \rho)\rho^n, \quad n \in {0, 1, 2, ...}$$

Phase-type distribution

• Definition:

A phase-type (PH) distribution refers to the distribution of the absorption time in an absorbing finite-state Markov process

• Examples:

- Exponential distribution
- Erlang distribution
- Hyperexponential distribution
- Exponential distributions in series and/or parallel



M/PH/1-PS (1)

- Next we consider the M/PH/1-PS
 queue
- Here we assume (for simplicity) that the service times obey the phase-type distribution depicted in the previous slide with JK ≥ 2 exponential phases
- In this case, the queue length process X(t) is neither a Markov process, but we have to supplement the state description as before.
- Again, to get a Markovian description of the system, we have to additionally keep track of the current phases of the customers.

Let

$$N(t) = (N_{1,1}(t), \dots, N_{J,K}(t))$$

where $N_{j,k}(t)$ refers to the total number of customers in phase (j,k)at time *t*

 Process N(t) is an irreducible Markov process with state space

$$S = \{n = (n_{1,1}, \dots, n_{J,K}) \mid n_{j,k} \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$$

and transition rates determined from the underlying absorbing Markov process

Exercise:

Determine the transition rates

M/PH/1-PS (2)

 Proposition: Assume ρ < 1. The steady-state distribution of process N(t) is

$$P\{N=n\} = (1-\rho) \times$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{J} (\rho_j / K)^{n_{j,1} + \dots + n_{j,K}}}{\frac{(n_{1,1} + \dots + n_{J,K})!}{n_{1,1}! \dots n_{J,K}!}, \quad n \in S$$

where

$$\rho \coloneqq \rho_1 + \ldots + \rho_J, \quad \rho_j \coloneqq \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{\mu_j}$$

• Note that

$$X(t) = N_{1,1}(t) + \dots + N_{J,K}(t)$$

• Corollary:

Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/PH/1-PS queue, the steady-state queue length distribution is

$$P\{X = n\} = (1 - \rho)\rho^n, \quad n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Insensitive queue length distribution in M/G/1-PS

- The generalization of the previous result is based on the known fact that any service time distribution can be approximated (with an arbitrary precision) by a phase-type distribution.
- Since the queue length distribution remains the same for any service time distribution with the same mean *E*[*S*], the steady-state queue length distribution of the PS service discipline is said to be insensitive to the service time distribution.
- Interestingly, the mean sojourn time E[T] in the M/G/1-PS queue equals the mean busy period E[B].

• Theorem:

Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/G/1-PS queue, the steady-state queue length distribution is

$$P\{X = n\} = (1 - \rho)\rho^n, \quad n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

with

$$E[X] = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}$$

Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/G/1-PS queue, the mean steady-state sojourn time is

$$E[T] = \frac{E[S]}{1 - \rho}$$

Contents

- M/G/1 with a work-conserving service discipline
- M/G/1-FIFO
- M/G/1-PS
- Performance comparison between FIFO and PS

Performance comparison between FIFO and PS

• **Proposition:** Assume $\rho < 1$. For the M/G/1 queue, we have $E[X^{FIFO}] < E[X^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow E[T^{FIFO}] < E[T^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow C[S] < 1$ $E[X^{FIFO}] = E[X^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow E[T^{FIFO}] = E[T^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow C[S] = 1$ $E[X^{FIFO}] > E[X^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow E[T^{FIFO}] > E[T^{PS}] \Leftrightarrow C[S] > 1$ where C[S] refers to the coefficient of variation of the service time distribution

Summary

- M/G/1 with a work-conserving service discipline
 - traffic load, throughput, stability, unfinished work, busy period, busy cycle
- M/G/1-FIFO
 - FIFO, remaining service time, Pollaczek-Khinchin mean value formulas
- M/G/1-PS
 - PS, phase method, insensitivity
- Performance comparison between FIFO and PS
 - FIFO better than PS if the service time distribution less variable than exp