

Appendix 6: Getting the Tense Right

The **introduction** and **literature review** chapters in master's theses can choose from **three tenses** when reviewing the research area: the **present perfect**, **past** and **present** tenses. These three tenses enable writers in engineering and science to clearly indicate a shift in perspective as they move from **(1)** introducing a new topic (pres. perf.) to **(2)** describing the work of previous studies (past) and then **(3)** providing the writer's own comments (present) on these studies. Let's now examine how these three tenses are typically used in introductions.



Please note that the rules listed here cover the **simple tense form** of these tenses (e.g., 'presents'). Avoid using the continuous (-ing) forms of these tenses: 'is presenting', 'was presenting', or 'has been presenting'.

6.1 PRESENT TENSE

The present tense can be used for a variety of purposes in engineering *Introduction*, *theory* and *literature review* chapters:

A. Use the present tense in *definitions*, *descriptions*, statements of *general, accepted knowledge*, or for making *generalizations* or *claims*.

Lignins **are** aromatic polymers synthesized mainly from three phenolic monomers, called monolignols, at proportions varying between plant species.

Common symptoms of severe distortion **include** nuisance tripping of industrial processes and medical equipment, excessive heating in transformers, and equipment failure.

B. In some fields of engineering, most notably *electrical engineering*, the present tense is used to report the *work of a single study*. For reporting the work of *multiple* authors, use the present perfect tense.

In [14], the authors report the sliding-mode control of a linearized plant models and numerical simulations.

In [1], a directed diffusion approach is proposed for forwarding data in sensor networks.

C. Describe *mathematical proofs* and *chemical formulas* using the present tense, since going through the proof occurs at the time of reading.

From Equation 1, we derive the following system of inequalities.



Aalto University Language Centre / © 2018 Ken Pennington This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

D. Use the present tense as a 'timeless' tense to describe information in your own work that does not change over time, such as the aim/purpose of your study, references to tables and figures, and descriptions of chapters and sections.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the relative energy savings of various control strategies.

Figure 1 shows the structure of the SPE device.

Section 2 presents an overview of the K-means algorithm.

E. Use the simple present tense to **evaluate** and **comment** on the work of previous studies.

However, these technologies suffer from limited accuracy or a lack of infrastructure.

Unfortunately, RFID **requires** a large number of infrastructures to accurately determine the location.

6.2 PRESENT PERFECT TENSE

Use the present perfect to introduce **research activities** or **processes** as a new topic that will be continued in the following sentences. Sentences using the present perfect typically announce either a **line of research** or **results** from **multiple studies**.

Various methods have been used to construct models for resistive antennas.

Several <u>approaches</u> have been proposed for overcoming these problems [5],[6],[8].

Numerous algorithms have been developed for the detection of ECG beats [4]-[8].

Many studies have focused on analyzing customer's mobile device usage [10]-[15].

Much <u>research</u> has been directed towards feature recognition in human faces (See [7] for a review).

Table 3 shows the **research activities** most commonly used in engineering as the <u>subject</u> with a verb in the present perfect. These sentences function as <u>topic sentences</u> and use sentence **Strategies 5-7** listed in Appendix 5.

Table 3 Superordinate terms commonly introduced as research activities using the present perfect.

Algorithm Approach Architecture	Formula Framework Heuristic	Method Metric Model	Scenario Strategy Structure	Solution Technique Technology
Design	Materials	Procedure	System	Theory
Equation Extension	Mechanism Measure	Process Protocol	Scheme	Tool

6.3 PAST TENSE

In most fields of science, the past tense is the only tense that can be used for describing **methods**, **results** and the **past research of <u>individual</u> studies**. However, some fields of engineering (e.g., electrical engineering) may also use the *present tense* (See 6.1B above) for these three purposes.

A. In many fields of engineering, the *past tense* is used exclusively to report the *methods*, *procedures* used to validate or verify results, as well as the *steps* carried out to develop the thesis outcome/contribution.

A vibrating motor was used to control the movement of the locomotion mechanism.

The movement of the locomotion mechanism was controlled using a vibrating motor.

B. For most fields of engineering, use the *past tense* to describe *results* from your own thesis.

As shown in Figure 12, MR2 **showed** an overshoot of 6.5% at 80 seconds.

C. In many fields of engineering other than electrical engineering, the *past tense* is exclusively used to report the *work of a single study*. (For reporting the work of *multiple* authors, use the *present perfect tense*.)

In [19], a <u>method</u> was proposed to achieve perfect secrecy by randomizing transmission coefficients.

Young et al. (2005) applied a high-performance internal computer and flat panel display technology to the vehicle meter system to increase the vehicle's computing capacity

D. Use the *past tense* for **reviewing** or **summarizing** the contents of a chapter or section in your thesis (See **Appendix 1: Chapter-section previews**).

The previous chapter **introduced** and **compared** two different virtualization methods. In this chapter, container orchestration is explained and several popular container orchestrators are presented for optimizing computing resource usage.

Tense Shift

Figures 1-3 present examples that use a **tense shift** (i.e., changes in tense) to distinguish between three types of information:

- a. the topic sentence introducing the work of multiple research groups,
- **b.** the work of a single research group, and
- c. the voice of you—the thesis writer.

(1) Present perfect – Past – Present

As shown in Figure 1, the *present perfect* is used to introduce a "line" of research methods as a new topic. In Figure 1, **sentence 1** acts as an overall **topic sentence** to introduce a new focus on "methods" as the topic that will be discussed as **given information** in the following sentences, while **sentences 4 and 5** introduce a number of other methods. Note that the **subjects** of all three sentences refer to **research activities**.

¹Several other <u>methods</u> have also been applied to merged measurement tracking.

²An <u>approach</u> based on Multiple Hypothesis Tracking (MHT) was proposed in [5], which used a two-target resolution model to maintain tracks in the presence of merged measurements. ³A <u>similar resolution model</u> was applied to Multiple Model JPDA in [6], [7], which is again limited to a maximum of two merged targets.

⁴Various numerical data association <u>techniques</u> have been developed for merged measurements in [8], [9] (Probabilistic Data Association), and [10] (Markov Chain Monte Carlo). ⁵In addition, Probabilistic MHT (PMHT) [11], and existence-based methods, such as Linear Multi-target Integrated PDA (LM-IPDA) [12], and Integrated Track Splitting (ITS) [13] have also been applied to this problem. ⁶These are all useful techniques; however, with the exception of LM-IPDA (which trades off performance for reduced complexity), they only handle a small number of targets.

Figure 1. Tense shift of *Present perfect-Past-Present* using **information-prominent** reporting style (Elec. eng.)

Since the sentences following the topic sentence (i.e., **sentences 2-3**) typically describe what individual authors/research teams "**did**", it is not surprising that they use the **past tense**. Finally, in **sentences 3 and 6**, the writer evaluates and comments on the work of these individual authors using the **present** tense to clearly indicate that these are writer's ideas, and not those of the cited researchers.

¹Market-based mechanisms for sensor management have started to gain attention only recently [22]–[24]. ²In [22], the authors explored the possibility of using economic concepts for sensor management without explicitly formulating a specific problem. ³The authors in [23] used the concept of Walrasian equilibrium [25] to model market-based sensor management. ⁴In [24], the authors also proposed a Walrasian equilibrium-based dynamic bit allocation scheme for target tracking in energy- constrained wireless sensor networks (WSNs) using quantized data. ⁵However, as shown in [26], Walrasian markets can be unstable and can fail to converge to the equilibrium. ⁶Moreover, computing the equilibrium prices and allocations can be computationally prohibitive. ⁶Accordingly, the authors ([23] and references therein) proposed algorithms to compute an approximate equilibrium. ⁶However, the mechanisms proposed in [23], [24] are not truthful and are, therefore, prone to market manipulations.

Figure 2. Tense shift of Present perfect-Past-Present using author-prominent reporting style (Elec. eng.)

In Figure 2, note that after the topic sentence, each the following sentences (Sentences 2-4 & 7) use the **past tense** and "the <u>authors</u>" as the **subject** to introduce the contributions of individual studies, and the **present tense** to critically evaluate these studies.

¹⁰Sludge characteristics are important when operating reactors to achieve a certain capacity. ¹¹Generally, granules with inferior settling ability are easier to be washed out. ¹²Therefore, the floatation of anammox granules has been investigated (Chen et al., 2010; Dapena-Mora et al., 2004; Trigo et al., 2006) because floatation leads to granule washout and eventually deteriorates the capacity of the reactor. ¹³Chen et al. (2010) found that floatation could cause instability and collapse of the anammox reactor. ¹⁴Moreover, gas pockets were found inside the floating granules (FG). ¹⁵These floating granules were washed out when the hollows became filled with gas bubbles. ¹⁶The hollows inside the granules resulted from cellular lysis and the gaps formed during the aggregation of small granules. ¹⁷Trigo et al. (2006) claimed that the addition of Ca²⁺ in the influent could improve the granule density but would reduce the ratio of volatile suspended solid (VSS) to suspended solid (SS) and the NRR. ¹⁸However, the key factors that cause floatation in high-rate anammox systems remain unclear.

Figure 3 Tense shift of *Present perfect-Past-Present* using author-prominent reporting style (Elec. eng.)

Similar to the text in Figure 2, texts in chemical engineering (Fig. 3) tend to use an **author-prominent** reporting strategy, as well as the **present perfect** (Sentence 12) to introduce a new research activity, and the **past tense** (Sentences 13-17) to report the results of individual studies. The **present tense** is used in Sentence 10 to signal the writer's comment about the importance of the topic, Sentence 11 to make a generalization, and Sentence 17 to evaluate (criticize) the claim made in the study described in the previous sentence.

(2) Present perfect – Present - Present

As we have already seen, although the *past tense* can be used in engineering fields, the *present tense* is more typically used to report single, individual studies. In Figure 4, the research activity <u>algorithms</u> is first introduced as a topic sentence (Sentence 16) in the *present perfect*. Thereafter, all references to earlier studies (Sentences 20, 22-23) as well as comments on these studies (Sentences 17-19, 21-22, 24) are reported using the *present tense*.

¹⁶Many clustering algorithms in various contexts have been proposed [2]–[3], [5]-[7], [23]–[28]. ¹⁷These <u>algorithms</u> are mostly heuristic in nature and aim at generating the minimum number of clusters such that any node in any cluster is at most d hops away from the clusterhead. ¹⁸Most of these algorithms have a time complexity of O(n) where n is the total number of nodes. ¹⁹Many of them also demand time synchronization among the nodes, which makes them suitable only for networks with a small number of sensors. ²⁰The Max-Min d-Cluster Algorithm [5] generates d-hop clusters with a runtime of O(d) rounds. ²¹However, this algorithm does not ensure that the energy used in communicating information to the information center is minimized. ²²The clustering algorithm proposed in [7] aims at maximizing the network lifetime, but it assumes that each node is aware of the whole network topology, which is usually impossible for wireless sensor networks which have a large number of nodes. ²³Many of these clustering algorithms [23], [26]-[28] are specifically designed with the objective of generating stable clusters in environments with mobile nodes. ²⁴However, in a typical wireless sensor network, the sensors' locations are fixed and the instability of clusters due to mobility of sensors is not an issue.

Figure 4 Tense shift of *Present perfect-Present-Present* using **information-prominent** reporting style (Elec. eng.)

Note how important it is that all the sentences are linked through a single topic <u>algorithms</u>. This is important, since the text lacks the typical *past-present* tense shift. As already mentioned earlier, the *present tense* is used to express **established facts**. Does this then mean that engineers view earlier studies as being facts, not to be challenged or questioned?

Figure 5 presents an extract from an engineering article introduction that uses an **author-prominent** strategy to report and link the ideas of other researchers. Once again the first sentence (12) appears to be a topic sentence, since it uses the present perfect. However, it is not effective as a topic sentence, since it only makes a claim about the amount of effort, not about the content of the following sentences. Would **sentence 13** make a better topic sentence? Is a "metric" the same thing as a "technique" (14) or a comparison (15)?

¹²There has been considerable work reported on detecting scene changes based on entire images. ¹³Difference metrics are used to evaluate the changes between successive frames, and global thresholds are used to determine whether changes have taken place. ¹⁴Nagasaka and Tanaka [3] experiment with various comparison techniques, including difference of gray-level sums, sum of gray-level differences, difference of gray-level histograms, colored template matching, difference of color histograms and x2 comparison of color histograms. ¹⁵Such comparisons are only applied to a portion of each image. ¹⁶They conclude that the most robust methods is the xz comparison of color histograms. ¹⁷To guard against momentary noises like camera flashes, they further **divide** each frame into 4x 4 rectangular regions of equal size and **compare** every pair of regions. ¹⁸The largest differences are discarded, and the detection is done on the remaining ones. ¹⁹Their method is robust against zooming and panning, but may fail to detect special effects such as fading. ²⁰Otsuji et al. [4] use brightness data to compute both the frame-based histogram and pixel-base inter-frame difference. ²¹A cut break is **defined** as a seamed point between different moving pictures. ²²Continuous transitions are not considered. ²³In a later paper, Otsuji and Tonomura [5] propose a projection detection filter for more reliable video cut detection.

Figure 5 Tense shift of *Present perfect-Present-Present* using author-prominent reporting style (Elec. eng.)

Sources:

- Figure 1 Koch, Wolfgang & Van Keuk, G. (1997). Multiple hypothesis track maintenance with possibly unresolved measurements. Aerospace and Electronic Systems, IEEE Transactions on. 33. 883 892. 10.1109/7.599263.
- Figure 2 N. Cao, S. Brahma and P. K. Varshney, "An incentive-based mechanism for location estimation in wireless sensor networks," *2013 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing*, Austin, TX, 2013, pp. 157-160. doi: 10.1109/GlobalSIP.2013.6736839
- Figure 3 Hui Chen, Chun Ma, Guang-Feng Yang, Hui-Zhong Wang, Zhi-Ming Yu, Ren-Cun Jin, Floatation of flocculent and granular sludge in a high-loaded anammox reactor, Bioresource Technology, Volume 169, 2014, Pages 409-415.
- Figure 4 Seema Bandyopadhyay and E. J. Coyle, "An energy efficient hierarchical clustering algorithm for wireless sensor networks," *IEEE INFOCOM 2003. Twenty-second Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (IEEE Cat. No.03CH37428)*, San Francisco, CA, 2003, pp. 1713-1723 vol.3.
- Figure 5 Boon-Lock Yeo and Bede Liu, "Rapid scene analysis on compressed video," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 533-544, Dec. 1995.