Guidelines for the presentation-session on Feb. 16, 2022:

- Grading of the group work will be based on a scale from 0-40 points and represents the sum of the points that the group receives for the written report and the presentation (0-20 points respectively).
- Presentations should be given in form of an efficient elevator pitch, and should not exceed 5 min in length in order to leave enough room for discussion.
- Otherwise the style of presentation is free to choose with respect to format (e.g., "traditional" slide show, only presenting LSC-canvases, PechaKucha-style, no slides at all etc.) , how many team-members present (preferably at least one), and which software is selected (e.g., Powerpoint, Prezi, Keynote, none, etc.).
- Please be aware of the fact that presentation slots will be allocated randomly and are non-negotiable.
- Please also be aware of the fact that, in order to initiate a fruitful discussion, a discussant group will be randomly nominated for each presentation and encouraged to ask the first question/provide a first comment.
- A total time of 10 min is reserved for each group – including a max. 5 min presentation share and a max. 5 discussion share.
- Grading of the presentation considers the following aspects

	0-12	13-14	15-16	17-18	19-20
Style: Verbal delivery	The communication of the content is poor and difficult to understand.	The communication of the content is farily good and possible to understand.	The communication of the content is good and understandable.	The communication of the content is very good and easy to understand.	The communivation of the content is excellent and easy to understand.
Style: Visual delivery	The introduction, actual presentation and conclusions are not organized and visually poor.	The introduction, actual presentation and conclusions are somewhat organized but may be visually poor.	Good execution of the entire presentation. The introduction, presentation and conclusions are well-organized and visually good.	Quite professional execution of the entire presentation. The introduction, actual presentation and conclusions are all well-organizeed and visually very good.	Highly professional execution of the entire presentation. The introduction, actual presentation and conclusions are all expectionally well-organized and visually excellent.
Group work	The group shows no interest to the topic and poor team work. Group memebers do not help each others.	The group shows only a little interest to the topic and poor team work. Group members do not help each others.	The group shows interest and good team work throughout the presentation. Group members help each other as needed.	The group shows some enthusiasism and very good team work throughout the presentation. Group memebers help each others as needed.	The group shows enthusiasism and excellent team work throughout the presentation. Group members help each others as needed.
Content: the idea, originality	Limited content with limited relevance. The presentation fairly achieves the purpose.	Limited content with some relevance. The presentation achieves the purpose. The results are built upon low quality.	The presentation achieves the purpose of the challenge and sustains the interest of the audience quite good. The results are built upon good quality.	The presentation achieves the purpose of the challenge greatly and sustains the interest of the audience well. The results are built upon high quality and demonstrate good judgement.	The presentation achieves the purpose of the challenges innovatively and sustains the interest of the audience in a clever and innovative manner. The results are built upon high quality and demonstrate excellence in judgement.

- Presentation time exceeded shortly (< 2 min) – 1 point
- Presentation rang long and had to be cut off – 2 points
- Late penalty (presentation submitted late – 2 points)