



Aalto University
School of Business

Corporate Governance as a Bundle, theory and case rehearsal

Corporate governance practices differ between countries (Weimer & Pape, 1999)

- The concept of firm
- The board system
- Salient stakeholders influence on management
- The importance of stock market

There are several perspectives in corporate governance differences

- External market for corporate control
- The ownership concentration
- Performance based executive compensation
- The time horizon of economic relationship

Classification of countries

- Anglo-Saxon countries
 - US, UK, Australia and Canada
- Germanic countries
 - Germany, Austria, Switzerland, the Netherlands, Scandinavia
- Latin countries
 - France, Italy, Spain and Belgium
- Japan

<i>Market/network-oriented system of corporate governance</i>	Market-oriented		Network-oriented	
<i>Country class</i>	Anglo-Saxon	Germanic	Latin	Japan
<i>Countries (GDP 1995 × US\$ 1,000,000,000; GDP per capita × US\$ 1 at current prices and exchange rates). Source: IMF for GDP, OECD for GDP per capita</i>	USA (7,246; 25,512) UK (1,107; 17,468) Canada (569; 18,598) Australia (349; 18,072)	Germany (2,259; 25,133) the Netherlands (396; 21,733) Switzerland (287; 36,790) Sweden (246; 22,389) Austria (233; 24,670) Denmark (175; 28,181) Norway (147; 28,434) Finland (126; 19,106)	France (1,567; 22,944) Italy (1,119; 17,796) Spain (574; 12,321) Belgium (264; 22,515)	Japan (4,961; 36,732)
<i>Concept of the firm</i>	Instrumental, shareholder-oriented	Institutional	Institutional	Institutional
<i>Board system</i>	One-tier (executive and non-executive board)	Two-tier (executive and supervisory board)	Optional (France), in general one-tier	Board of directors; office of representative directors; office of auditors; <i>de facto</i> one-tier
<i>Salient stakeholder(s)</i>	Shareholders	Industrial banks (Germany), employees, in general oligarchic group	Financial holdings, the government, families, in general oligarchic group	City banks, other financial institutions, employees, in general oligarchic group
<i>Importance of stock market in the national economy</i>	High	Moderate/high	Moderate	High
<i>Active external market for corporate control</i>	Yes	No	No	No
<i>Ownership concentration</i>	Low	Moderate/high	High	Low/moderate
<i>Performance-dependent executive compensation</i>	High	Low	Moderate	Low
<i>Time horizon of economic relationships</i>	Short term	Long term	Long term	Long term

Path of corporate governance is partly a result of coincidences and reactions

- Path dependence
- Crises and solutions
- Concentration of power
- Cultural differences
- Legal systems

Germany, current outcome of development paths

- Dual board structure
- Codetermination
- Bank owned large groups
- Family owned smaller groups

What does bundle thinking mean?

- Open systems vs. closed systems
- Equifinality:
 - Complementarity
 - Substitution

Agency theory and empirical evidence

- No relation between the theory prediction and empirical evidence.
- Why not?

Elements of bundle thinking

Aguilera et al., 2008

- Costs
- Contingencies
- Complementarities

Examples of using elements of bundle thinking

This rehearsal aims at developing your bundle thinking

Key points:

- **Depending on the nature of company you are capable to think both holistic and analytical perspective**
- **You can efficiently use different institutions of corporate governance**
- **You can critically but constructively evaluate the holistic structure of corporate governance**

Example companies

- UPM (Finland)
- Heineken (Netherlands)
- Dell Corp (UK)
- Procter & Ganble (US)
- Staples (US)

Institutions in use to construct corporate governance bundles

Most likely beneficial institutions:

- Stakeholder influence (employees, banks...) vs shareholders
- Board structure, especially independence
- Level of information disclosure
- Executive compensation disclosure
- Performance-related compensation
- Employee loyalty
- Market for corporate control
- Markets, product and labor
- Audit quality and / or risk management
- Ownership influence

Task

- You will have one company for your analysis.
- Select a specific perspective for the analysis (Board of directors, investor relations, compensation) for the analysis (use Garcia-Castro et al. paper!)
- You have about 45 minutes time in a team to describe, how do institutions form a bundle where both complementing and substitutive elements are present.
- Be ready to give a brief 10 minutes presentation on your case company corporate governance.