

**Session 1**

Team 1 Gideon & Elaheh  
Team 2 Claudia & Denys  
Team 3 Elham & Patrick  
Team 4 Eeva-Maija & Humphrey  
Team 5 Merete & Fanni  
Team 6 Agnesa

**Session 2**

Team 1 Varpu & Riccia  
Team 2 Bartłomiej & Eeva  
Team 3 Siirijohanna & Anton  
Team 4 Sameli & Inari  
Team 5 Viola & Kit  
Team 6 Amelia & Aksim

**Planning Theory, Presentation 1.****16<sup>th</sup> & 23<sup>rd</sup> January****HABERMAS, COMMUNICATIVE RATIONALITY (Team 1) 16.1.2023**

**Mattila, H.** (2020). Habermas revisited: Resurrecting the contested roots of communicative planning theory. *Progress in Planning*, 141.

**FLYVBJERG, RATIONALITY AND POWER (Team 2) 16.1.2023**

**Flyvbjerg, B.** (1998). Rationality and Power. Chapter 17 of his book *Rationality and Power: Democracy in Practice*, trans. Steven Sampson, pp. 225±36, 272±5. © 1998 by The University of Chicago.

**FOUCAULT, KNOWLEDGE/POWER, DISPOSITIF (Team 3) 16.1.2023**

**Pløger, J.** (2008). Foucault's Dispositif and the City. *Planning theory*, Vol 7(1): 51–70.

**LUKES, DIMENSIONS OF POWER (Team 4) 23.1.2023**

**Schmidt-Thomé, K. & Mäntyselkä, R.** (2014). Interplay of power and learning in planning processes: A dynamic view. *Planning Theory*, Vol. 13(2) 115–135.

**ADVOCACY (Team 5) 23.1.2023**

**Grooms, W.** (2018). Toward a Political Urban Planning: Learning from Growth Machine and Advocacy Planning to “Plannitize” Urban Politics. *Planning Theory*, Vol. 17(2) 213–233.

**ADVOCACY (Team 6) 23.1.2023**

**Sager, T.** (2022) Advocacy planning: were expectations fulfilled? *Planning perspectives*. [Online] 37 (6), 1205–1230.