

## Team to team feedback for team introduction and initial idea(s)

**Team providing feedback:**

**Team being evaluated:**

	<b>Sophisticated</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Not yet complete</b>
<b>Teamwork</b>	The assignment suggests that the team members have collaborated excellently to achieve objectives. Members are visible working and presenting together throughout. The deliverable is presented in a highly coherent and consistent manner among team members.	The assignment suggests that the team has collaborated well towards achieving the stated objectives, with only a few exceptions. Team members are mostly visible working and presenting together, and the deliverable is presented in a coherent and consistent manner amongst them.	The assignment does not provide enough evidence of team member collaboration. Only few of them are visible working towards the objectives or presenting, independently and not in tandem. Deliverables are not presented coherently and consistently across team members.
<b>Subject knowledge / Critical thinking</b>	The objective of the assignment has been delivered. Output suggests a substantial amount of thought has been put into its preparation.	Most of the objectives of the assignment have been delivered, but the output suggests that further thought could have led to greater depth of analysis.	Very few of the objectives of the assignment have been met and there is little evidence of thought and effort put into its preparation.

<p><b>Improvisation / Creativity</b></p>	<p>The deliverable as well as the process documented exhibit an unusual degree of creativity. Outcomes transcend usual ideas and solutions and suggest the team pushed the boundaries of their creativity. The outcome and process documented suggests that independent thinking and practical improvisation was employed to reach novel ideas and solutions.</p>	<p>The deliverable as well as the process documented exhibit some degree of creativity. The team went beyond the obvious in developing their ideas, but could have been more creative. There has been some attempt towards demonstrating practical improvisation and independent thinking, and this has led to somewhat novel ideas and solutions.</p>	<p>The deliverable as well as the process documented demonstrates little creativity and originality. There is little or no evidence of improvisation and independent thinking to arrive at novel ideas and solutions.</p>
<p><b>Presentation</b></p>	<p>The presentation of both process and outcome of the assignment is excellent: flawless, focused, and engaging. Speakers are visible, and their voice is clearly audible and understandable. Video is 8-10 mins. long. Non-essential material has been left out.</p>	<p>The quality of the presentation of the process and outcome is very good, but there is room for improvement, especially concerning coherence and audience engagement. The presentation is by-and-large audible and understandable, and speakers mostly visible. Video is slightly over the 10 min. limit. There is some material, which, if left out, would have improved the presentation.</p>	<p>The presentation did not engage the audience, was often incoherent, and lacked a smooth flow. Speakers seemed hesitant and had to frequently refer to notes. Parts of the presentation were inaudible, or speakers not visible. Video is well outside the 10 min. limit. There is a lot of material in the presentation that does not address the objectives, and in some cases undermines them.</p>

Any constructive comments and suggestions that can help the team improve :