

Solutions to Assignment 2

Julian Weigt

November 21, 2019

1

$\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ ✓

monotonicity ✓

σ -subadditivity Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset X$ and $A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$. If there is an i with $\mu^*(B_i) = \infty$ then both sides in the inequality for σ -subadditivity are infinite by monotonicity. So assume that for all i we have $\mu^*(B_i) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for each i there is a cover B_i^1, B_i^2, \dots of A_i with

$$\mu^*(A_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(B_j^i) - \varepsilon 2^{-i}.$$

Then $\{B_i^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable cover of A and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(B_i^j) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mu^*(A_i) + \varepsilon 2^{-i}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu^*(A_i) \right) + 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ finishes the proof of σ -subadditivity.

2

Since for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{A\}$ is a cover of A we have $\mu^*(A) \leq \rho(A)$.

For the other direction, let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and B_1, B_2, \dots be a cover of A . Then by σ -subadditivity of ρ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho(B_i) \geq \rho(A).$$

Since the infimum of the left hand side over all such covers B_1, B_2, \dots yields $\mu^*(A)$, we get $\mu^*(A) \geq \rho(A)$.

3

3.a

We already know that μ^* is monotone. Hence each $B \supset A$ satisfies $\mu^*(B) \geq \mu^*(A)$, so that

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \inf\{\mu^*(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}, A \subset B\}.$$

If $\mu^*(A) = \infty$ then the reverse inequality immediately holds true as well. If $\mu^*(A) < \infty$, let A_1, A_2, \dots be a cover of A with

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_i) - \varepsilon.$$

Let $B = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$. Then

$$\mu^*(B) \leq \rho(B) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_i) \leq \mu^*(A) + \varepsilon$$

and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \inf\{\mu^*(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}, A \subset B\}.$$

3.b

Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subset X$. It suffices to show that

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A \setminus B).$$

If $\mu^*(A)$ is infinite then we are done. So assume $\mu^*(A)$ is finite, and let B_1, B_2, \dots a cover of A with

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(B_i) - \varepsilon.$$

Then $B_1 \cap B, B_2 \cap B, \dots$ and $B_1 \setminus B, B_2 \setminus B, \dots$ are covers of $A \cap B$ and $A \setminus B$ respectively with their elements in \mathcal{F} and we have by σ -additivity of ρ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(B_i) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(B_i \cap B) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(B_i \setminus B) \\ &\geq \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A \setminus B), \end{aligned}$$

so that we can conclude

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A \setminus B) - \varepsilon,$$

and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ finishes the proof.

3.c

From a) take a sequence $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for ever n we have $A \subset B_n$ and

$$\mu^*(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(B_n).$$

Define $B := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$. Then $B \subset A$ and for every i we have

$$\mu^*(B) \leq \mu^*(B_i)$$

which implies

$$\mu^*(B) = \mu^*(A).$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra we have $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and since all sets in \mathcal{F} are measurable so is B .

4

4.a

Recall that for each A we have

$$\mu^*(A) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_\delta^*(A).$$

By 1) we already know that each μ_δ^* is an outer measure. Then $\mu_\delta^*(\emptyset) = 0$ and monotonicity directly carry over for the supremum. For σ -subadditivity let $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) &= \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_\delta^*\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\delta > 0} \sum_i \mu_\delta^*(A_i) \\ &\leq \sum_i \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_\delta^*(A_i) \\ &= \sum_i \mu^*(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

4.b

According to Theorem 1.45 it suffices to show that for any two sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{dist}(A, B) > 0$ we have

$$\mu^*(A \cup B) \geq \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B);$$

the reverse inequality follows from subadditivity.

So let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and denote $\delta_0 := \text{dist}(A, B) > 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $\delta \in (0, \delta_0/2)$ such that the μ_δ^* -measures of $A, B, A \cup B$ differs by their μ^* -measures by at most ε . Define $C := A \cup B$. Let C_1, C_2, \dots be a cover of C with diameters at most δ and

$$\mu_\delta^*(C) \geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(C_i) - \varepsilon.$$

That means for no i does C_i intersect both A and B . That means

$$\begin{aligned} &\{C_i : i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \cap A \neq \emptyset\}, \\ &\{C_i : i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

are disjoint covers of A and B respectively, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\delta^*(C) &\geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(C_i) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \cap A \neq \emptyset} \rho(C_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}, C_i \cap B \neq \emptyset} \rho(C_i) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \mu_\delta^*(A) + \mu_\delta^*(B) - \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ finishes the proof.

4.c

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For each n let $A_1^n, A_2^n, \dots, \mathcal{F}$ be a cover of A with diameters at most $1/n$ and

$$\mu_{1/n}^*(A) \geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_i^n) - 1/n.$$

Set

$$B := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^n.$$

Then for each n

$$B \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^n$$

and $A \subset B$ and B is Borel and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(B) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mu_\delta^*(B) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{1/n}^*(B) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(A_i^n) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{1/n}^*(A) - 1/n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{1/n}^*(A) \\ &= \mu^*(A).\end{aligned}$$

5

5.a

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By Corollary 1.44

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(E) &= \inf\{\mu^*(G) : E \subset G, G \text{ open}\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu^*(G) : E \subset G, G \text{ open}\} \\ &= \nu^*(E)\end{aligned}$$

5.b

Since compact sets are closed, for all compact K we have $\mu^*(K) = \nu^*(K)$. Then the result follows from (c).

5.c

By (a) it suffices to prove for all open G that $\mu^*(G) = \nu^*(G)$. So let G be open. Hence G is measurable. Then by Corollary 1.44

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(G) &= \sup\{\mu^*(K) : K \subset G, K \text{ compact}\} \\ &= \sup\{\nu^*(K) : K \subset G, K \text{ compact}\} \\ &= \nu^*(G)\end{aligned}$$