

## ELEC-E8116 Model Based Control Systems / solution 1

### Problem 1:

Let  $f(x)$  be a scalar-valued function of the vector  $x$  and let  $A$  be a square matrix with an appropriate dimension. By using a simple example, study what kind of a function  $f(x) = x^T A x$  is. Prove that

$$\frac{d(Ax)}{dx} = A \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{df(x)}{dx} = \underline{x}^T (A + A^T)$$

when the gradient is considered to be a row vector (in the literature the gradient is sometimes regarded as a row vector and sometimes as a column vector).

### Solution

General about differentiation of matrices: Let  $x(t) = [x_1(t) \ x_2(t) \ \dots \ x_n(t)]^T$  and

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(t) & a_{12}(t) & \dots & a_{1n}(t) \\ a_{21}(t) & a_{22}(t) & \dots & a_{2n}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}(t) & \dots & \dots & a_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad \dot{A}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{a}_{11}(t) & \dot{a}_{12}(t) & \dots & \dot{a}_{1n}(t) \\ \dot{a}_{21}(t) & \dot{a}_{22}(t) & \dots & \dot{a}_{2n}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dot{a}_{n1}(t) & \dots & \dots & \dot{a}_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $f$  is a scalar-valued function of the vector  $x$ , the gradient can be defined to be either a row- or a column vector. As a row vector

$$\text{grad } f = \nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right].$$

For example  $f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2 + x_3 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = [2x_1 \quad 2 \quad 1]$

Let  $f$  be a multidimensional function  $f = [f_1(x) \ f_2(x) \ \dots \ f_m(x)]^T$ , where

$x(t) = [x_1(t) \ x_2(t) \ \dots \ x_n(t)]^T$ . Then the "derivative" has the dimension  $m \times n$  and it is called the Jacobi matrix

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

To the problem: Let  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . We obtain

$$f(x) = x^T A x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = a_{11}x_1^2 + (a_{12} + a_{21})x_1x_2 + a_{22}x_2^2, \text{ which is a quadratic function.}$$

In optimization the LQ-problem = linear system, quadratic cost.

Now  $Ax = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  and the derivative is the Jacobi matrix

$\frac{d(Ax)}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} = A$ . Note that the derivative with respect to the vector  $x$  has been written as a row vector. Now calculate the gradient of  $f$  and again consider it a row vector

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right] = [2a_{11}x_1 + (a_{12} + a_{21})x_2 \quad 2a_{22}x_2 + (a_{12} + a_{21})x_1]$$

On the other hand

$$x^T (A + A^T) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2a_{11} & a_{12} + a_{21} \\ a_{12} + a_{21} & 2a_{22} \end{bmatrix} = [2a_{11}x_1 + (a_{12} + a_{21})x_2 \quad 2a_{22}x_2 + (a_{12} + a_{21})x_1]$$

which is the same result. Hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^T A x) = x^T (A + A^T)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(Ax) = A$

## Problem 2

Now consider the gradient of  $f(x)$  as a column vector. Show by a simple example that

$$\frac{d(Ax)}{dx} = A^T \quad \frac{d(x^T A x)}{dx} = (A + A^T)x$$

### Solution

Similar as in the previous problem. The result matrices are transposes to those in the previous problem.

## Problem 3

Show that  $x^T (A - A^T)x = 0$  holds, when  $x$  is a vector and  $A$  a square matrix with an appropriate dimension.

### Solution

First some basic results from matrix calculus. With proper dimensions it holds

$$A + B = B + A$$

$$A(B + C) = AB + AC$$

$$(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$$

$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T$$

$$A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I \quad I \text{ is the identity matrix}$$

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

$$(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$$

Note that with matrices as a rule  $AB \neq BA$ ,  $(A + B)^{-1} \neq A^{-1} + B^{-1}$

Calculus with matrices in a symbolic form is essentially more difficult than with scalar quantities..

So, the claim is  $x^T Ax = x^T A^T x$ . But this is a scalar, and its value does not change when the transpose is taken. But the matrix calculus rules hold anyway and therefore

$$x^T Ax = (x^T Ax)^T = x^T A^T x \quad \text{as claimed.}$$

#### Problem 4

Let the criterion to be minimized be given as

$$J = \int_0^T \{x(t)' Px(t) + u(t)' Qu(t)\} dt$$

where ' denotes the transpose. Show that the square matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  can always be chosen as symmetric matrices..

#### Solution

$$x' Px = x'(P + P' - P')x = x'(P + P')x - x' P' x = x'(P + P')x - x' Px \quad (\text{compare to the previous problem})$$

$$\text{Hence } 2x' Px = x'(P + P')x \Rightarrow x' Px = x' \left( \frac{P + P'}{2} \right) x$$

But  $P + P'$  and also  $\frac{P + P'}{2}$  are symmetric matrices. They can always be used instead of an arbitrary  $P$  without changing the value of the expression. The same holds naturally for  $u' Qu$ .

### Problem 5

Let  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  be  $n \times n$ ,  $n \times m$ ,  $m \times n$ ,  $m \times m$  matrices. Prove the so-called *matrix-inversion lemma*

$$(A + BDC)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}$$

where it is assumed that all inverse matrices exist.

### Solution

At first sight the inversion lemma looks quite complicated. But it turns out to be valuable in many matrix calculations in control theory. A good example is, when the least-squares estimation algorithm is changed in a recursive form.

Let us start from the claim and multiply both sides with  $A + BDC$

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (A + BDC) \left[ A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} \right] = \\ &= I - B(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} + BDCA^{-1} - BDCA^{-1}B(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} = \\ &= I + BDCA^{-1} - B(I + DCA^{-1}B)(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} = \\ &= I + BDCA^{-1} - BD(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)(D^{-1} + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} = \\ &= I + BDCA^{-1} - BDCA^{-1} = \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

and an identity followed, Ok. (Note that since we started from the claim we must go through equivalences in order the proof to be sound.)