Wetting and Superhydrophobicity

30.9.2020

Ville Jokinen

Expected learning outcomes

1st half: Wetting, theory and measurement:

-the fundamentals of wetting, both the thermodynamics (Young's equation) and in practice (contact angle hysteresis).

- Wetting characterization by contact angles

2nd half: Superhydrophobicity, theory and applications:

- -What properties define a superhydrophobic surface?
- -What type of structures and surface chemistries are needed?
- -How is superhydrophobicity characterized?
- -Applications, challenges and current trends.

Surface tension & Surface energy

Work required to create new surface = surface energy x area created

$$\delta W = \gamma \ \delta A = \gamma \ L \delta x$$

Fundamental definition of surface energy:

$$\gamma = \delta W \, / \, \delta A \quad [J \, / \, m^2]$$

Surface energy is also known as surface tension:

$$\gamma = \delta F / \delta x [N / m]$$

Concept applicable to all surfaces and interfaces: solid-solid, solid-liquid, liquid-liquid, solid-gas, liquid-gas Interfaces Surfaces



Hunter: Introduction to Modern Colloid Science, p.134



Vapor

 \bigcirc



Reminder:

The cause of surface energy, in liquids and solids alike, is the diminished number of bonds of atoms/molecules on the surface

Liquid	σ (10 ⁻³ N/m)	Liquid	$\sigma(10^{-3} N/m)$
Trifluoroacetic Acid	13.63 (24°C)	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	24.0 (25°C)
Pentane	15.48 (25°C)	n-Butyl Alcohol	24.57
Ethyl Ether	17.06	Cyclohexane	24.98
Hexane	17.91 (25°C)	n-Butyl Acetate	25.09
Iso-Octane	18.77	Methyl n-Propyl Ketone	25.09
Acetonitrile	19.10	Tetrahydrofuran	26.4 (25°C)
Methyl t-Butyl Ether	19.4 (24°C)	o-Dichlorobenzene	26.84
Heptane	20.30	Chloroform	27.16
Triethylamine	20.66	Dichloromethane	28.12
Isopropyl Alcohol	21.79 (15°C)	Toluene	28.53
Ethyl Alcohol	22.32	o-Xylene	30.03
Cyclopentane	22.42	2-Methoxyethanol	31.8 (15°C)
Methanol	22.55	Ethylene Dichloride	32.23
Isobutyl Alcohol	22.98	Dimethyl Acetamide	32.43 (30°C)
Acetone	23.32	Chlorobenzene	33.28
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	23.64	1,4-Dioxane	34.45 (15°C)
n-Propyl Alcohol	23.70	N,N-Dimethylformamide	36.76
n-Butyl Chloride	23.75	Pyridine	36.88
Ethyl Acetate	23.75	Propylene Carbonate	41.93
		Water	72.8 <

UNITS: $mN/m = mJ/m^2$

Water has a very high surface energy because of strong intermolecular bonds, ≈ 72 mN/m at room temperature

The lowest surface energies of liquids are around 10 mN/m. Fluorinated solvents. E.g. perfluorohexane 11-12 mN/m

Liquid metals have can have higher surface tension than water, 100s of mN/m. Solid materials even more so, commonly 100s or 1000s of mN/m.

Temperature dependence: water: 72 mN/m at RT, 68 mN/m at 50°C, 59 mN/m at 100°C

Wetting

Wetting means how much a liquid spread or beads up on a surface.

If a liquid spreads over a large area, that *surface is wetted* by that liquid.

If the liquid beads up, then the surface is not wetted by that liquid.

Wetting is characterized primarily by contact angles.

Which parameters determine wettability? Which parameters affect how much a droplet spreads on the surface?

Answers in chat!



Wetting (or the lack thereof) of water droplets on a lotus leaf. https://www.flickr.com/photos/jspark/9467891206/

Applications of wettings: paints, coatings, capillary filling, heterogeneous nucleation etc.

Wetting

Wetting means how much a liquid spread or beads up on a surface.

If a liquid spreads over a large area, that *surface is wetted* by that liquid.

If the liquid beads up, then the surface is not wetted by that liquid.

Wetting is characterized primarily by contact angles.

Wettability is determined by:

- 1. The topography of the surface
- 2. The surface chemistry
- 3. The properties of the liquid (surface tension)

(4. the properties of the 3rd phase)



Wetting (or the lack thereof) of water droplets on a lotus leaf. https://www.flickr.com/photos/jspark/9467891206/

Applications of wettings: paints, coatings, capillary filling, heterogeneous nucleation etc.

Contact angle, observational

• A liquid droplet makes a certain *angle of contact* with a solid surface

- This angle at the *three phase contact line* is the apparent **contact angle** $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Contact angle is a property of a solid-liquid-fluid three phase system!
For a fixed liquid and fluid, contact angle is characteristic parameter of a surface/material







Image: wikipedia

Contact angle, theoretical

Young's equation:

 $\gamma_{\mathsf{Iv}} \cos(\theta) = \gamma_{\mathsf{sv}} - \gamma_{\mathsf{sI}}$



- θ Thermodynamic, or Young's, **contact angle**
- γ_{lv} Liquid-vapor surface energy ("liquid surface tension")
- γ_{sv} Solid-vapor surface energy ("solid surface energy")
- γ_{sl} Solid-liquid surface energy ("solid-liquid interfacial energy")

(sometimes just γ_{l}) (sometimes just γ_{s})

In upcoming slides we will discuss if and when the observational contact angle and the Young's theoretical contact angle are the same.

Derivation of Young's equation

Mechanical equilibrium: what are the conditions for θ , γ_{lv} , γ_{sv} and γ_{sl} so that the triple phase contact line does not move horizontally?

Force pulling 3-phase contact line left: γ_{sv} Forces pulling 3-phase contact line right: γ_{sl} , $\gamma_{lv} \cos(\theta)$ Forces are balanced if: $\gamma_{sv} = \gamma_{sl} + \gamma_{lv} \cos(\theta)$

If he forces are not balanced, the 3-phase contact line will move (to either direction) until they are.

(The 3-phase contact line is not free to move in the vertical direction)



$$\gamma_{\mathsf{Iv}} \cos(\Theta) = \gamma_{\mathsf{sv}} - \gamma_{\mathsf{sI}}$$

Contact angle measurement

Contact angles are measured by the same principles as surface tensions: based on either forces or optical image analysis.

Optical goniometry (contact angle goniometry):

- 1. Droplet is placed on a surface
- 2. A fitting algorithm identifies the droplet and the surface and fits a curve the droplet edge
- 3. The contact angle is extracted from the fitted curve





There are also force based contact angle measurement methods (e.g. Wilhelmy plate method), but we do not discuss them further.

Contact angle hysteresis 1

Now comes the curveball... 3 phase systems in the real world have multiple stable contact angles! This is a problem because:

1. Contact angle was supposed to be a characteristic parameter of the surface (3 phase system)

2. We need to know the contact angle to calculate several real effects (examples upcoming)

All of the stable contact angles fall between an upper bound, called the **advancing contact angle** θ_{adv} , and a lower bound, called the **receding contact angle** θ_{rec}

```
On real surfaces: \theta_{rec} < \theta_{eq} < \theta_{adv}
```

```
\theta_{rec} = Receding contact angle
\theta_{eq} = Equilibrium/static contact angle
\theta_{adv} = Advancing contact angle
```

We define contact angle hysteresis: $\theta_{adv} - \theta_{rec}$ Hysteresis have any positive values between 0° and 180°, on most surfaces it is at least 10°) On an ideal surface $\theta_{adv} - \theta_{rec} = 0°$ and we again have a singly defined contact angle.

Contact angle hysteresis 2



Reasons for contact angle hysteresis:

- 1. Surface roughness
- 2. Chemical inhomogeneities

(less fundamental reasons: adsorption or desorption on the surface, or reactions between the liquid and the solid.)

Real surfaces are filled with local energy barriers when the 3 phase contact line attempts to move.

 θ_{adv} corresponds to the ability to bypass all local energy barriers when the droplet is advancing (spreading)

 θ_{rec} corresponds to the ability to bypass all local energy barriers when the droplet is receding (beading-up)

Microscopically at the triple phase contact line, these two surfaces have the same contact angle. But measured macroscopically, they do not.



Contact angle hysteresis 3

Hysteresis is a problem since:

- 1. Contact angle was supposed to be a characteristic parameter of the surface (3 phase system)
- 2. We need to know the contact angle to calculate several real effects (examples upcoming)

Which experimental contact angle is the one appearing in Young's equation? **Unresolved,** but some suggestions that have been made in the literature:

- 1. θ_{adv}
- 2. $(\theta_{adv} + \theta_{rec})/2$
- 3. $a\cos((\cos\theta_{adv} + \cos\theta_{rec})/2)$
- 4. the most stable θ (obtained after ultrasonic vibrations)

However:

The real answers to the problem is that:

- 1. For real surfaces, 3 phase system is characterized by 2 values instead of 1, θ_{adv} and θ_{rec}
- 2. For calculations, if we are calculating an advancing case, θ_{adv} is used, and if we are calculating a receding case, θ_{rec} is used.

Let's see measurement in practice

Solid: (100) silicon wafer that has been in a closed box inside a cleanroom for >1 month. On the surface there is the native silicon oxide and many things adsorbed from the atmosphere.

Liquid: Deionized water = very clean water

Fluid: air

Measurement technique: "needle-in" sessile droplet goniometry. Starting droplet size 1.5 μ l Droplet size increased and decreased with rate 0.1 μ l/s

Advancing contact angle

Baseline and contact angle vs time



Baseline is the width of the droplet, when baseline changes, the droplet is advancing or receding Here baseline starts to increase At the same timepoint contact angle reaches a steady value, the advancing contact angle

Receding contact angle

Here baseline starts to decrease

Receding contact angle in theory should also stabilize completely, but in practice it retains a small downward trend. The receding contact angle is this value where depinning of the 3 phase line happens.





Results of measurement

Advancing contact angle: 63°

Receding contact angle: 51°

Contact angle hysteresis: 12°

Examples why silicon does not have a single contact angle



Bhushan: Self-Assembled Monolayers for Nanotribology and Surface Protection

Accuracy of any single measurement is 1°-2°, repeat measurements are always necessary.

Contact angle between water and silicon wafer can be anything between 0° up to 90+°.

High energy surfaces only have well defined contact angles after a carefully standardized surface preparation step.

Otherwise the measurement is measuring also atmospheric adsorbates etc. and not just the surface.

Example of application/calculation

Capillary pressure:

A small hydrophilic capillary (circular cross section, radius r) fills spontaneously by capillary pressure. This pressure is given by the formula:

 $P_{cap} = \frac{2\gamma_{l\nu}\cos(\theta)}{r}$

which contact angle to use???

Answer to the poll!

Application of capillary flow: Home diagnostics, e.g. pregnancy test, some infectious disease tests.

(more on that kind of stuff on my other Masters level course CHEM-E8135 Microfluidics & BioMEMS, periods III and IV)



Figure: Biolin scientific blog Capillary action – how contact angle and surface tension are related?



Lateral flow home diagnostic test

Example of application/calculation

Capillary pressure:

A small hydrophilic capillary (circular cross section, radius r) fills spontaneously by capillary pressure. This pressure is given by the formula:

 $P_{cap} = \frac{2\gamma_{lv}\cos(\theta)}{r}$

which contact angle to use???

Answer: capillary pressure of a **filling** capillary is determined by the **advancing** contact angle.

Application of capillary flow: Home diagnostics, e.g. pregnancy test, some infectious disease tests.

(more on that kind of stuff on my other Masters level course CHEM-E8135 Microfluidics & BioMEMS, periods III and IV)





Figure: Biolin scientific blog Capillary action – how contact angle and surface tension are related?

Lateral flow home diagnostic test

Water contact angles of materials

Water contact angle (WCA) of material X: The contact angle when the liquid is water, the solid is X and the fluid is air or other inert gas

Case 1:

If WCA < 90°, the material is said to be *wetting* (by water), or *hydrophilic*. If WCA = 0°, the material is said to be *completely wetting*.

There is also the term *superhydrophilic*, but it is not clearly defined, WCA is very low.



WCA = 0° Completely wetting

Clean metals, strongly polar surfaces, strongly charged surfaces.

Roughened hydrophilic surfaces.



WCA = 20° Wetting/hydrophilic

WCA = 70° Wetting/hydrophilic

Typical wetting surfaces in the lower WCA end are ceramics and glasses.

Typical wetting surfaces in the higher WCA end are polymers. High energy surfaces contaminated by atmospheric contaminants tend to also be in this range

Case 2: If WCA >90°, the material is said to be *nonwetting* (by water), or *hydrophobic*.

The term *superhydrophobic* we define in 2nd half of lecture.

Definitions of hydrophilic and hydrophobic, which contact angle to use? Answer: *unclear currently*. If I had to choose I would go with RCA (<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jz402762h</u>)



WCA = 90°-120° Nonwetting, hydrophobic

Uncharged and relatively nonpolar surfaces. E.g. fluoropolymers, some other polymers, silicones, waxes.



WCA > 120° Nonwetting, hydrophobic, superhydrophobic?

No material has WCA > 120° <u>on a smooth surface</u>.

Roughness and hydrophobic chemistry.

Terminology

Until now I have tried to use the really cumbersome (but precise) language that contact angle and wetting are properties of a 3 phase system. However:

1: In the common language of the field, if the fluid phase is not specified it is an inert gas (usually air).

2: The liquid can be specified by using terms like hydro, or if it is not specified water can be assumed by default or else the liquid is clear from the context.

Now we can finally simplify and make statements like:

"Glass is a hydrophilic material" = The contact angle of a glass, water, air 3 phase system is less than 90°

"Teflon has a contact angle of 120°" = The contact angle of a teflon, water, air 3 phase system is 120° (notice that the water in the statement on the left was silent)

<u>So in this way</u>, wettability can be said to be a surface property.

Hydrophilic, Hydrophobic, Young's equation

Young's equation: $\gamma_{lv} \cos(\theta) = \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl}$

Hydrophilic materials:

 $\theta < 90^{\circ}$ $\cos(\theta) > 0$ $\gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl} > 0$ $\gamma_{sv} > \gamma_{sl}$

This means that the surface energy of the dry surface is higher than the surface energy of the wetted surface

There is a free energy drive for the dry surface to be coated by water.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hydrophobic materials:}\\ \theta > 90^{\circ}\\ \cos(\theta) < 0\\ \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl} < 0\\ \gamma_{sv} < \gamma_{sl} \end{array}$

This means that the surface energy of the wetted surface is higher than the dry surface.

There is a free energy drive for the wetted surface to be de-wetted (dried).

Part 2: Superhydrophobicity

Definitions of Superhydrophobicity

Superhydrophobic surface = surface where water droplets adhere extremely poorly.

Superhydrophobic (SHB) surfaces are *commonly* characterized by:

- High advancing and receding contact angles
- Low contact angle hysteresis
- Low sliding angles (definition coming soon)

Sometimes sources attempt to define superhydrophobic surfaces by these parameters

For example: contact angle > 150°, sliding angle < 5°.

Lets return to these criteria later.





Superhydrophobic surfaces are mostly air

If the best material has WCA 120°, how can we get any higher?

There is a material which actually has a WCA of 180°... air! (or other inert gas).

However...some solid is needed since the droplet does not levitate.

The trick is to have only a small fraction of the surface to solid, and the rest air. How low can the fraction go? 20%, 10%, 5%, 1%? *Hint: what limits the fakir case?*



Droplet on SHB surfaces rests mostly on air



Fakir rests mostly on air (figure: wikipedia)

Cassie-Baxter state

The superhydrophobic state is called the Cassie-Baxter state (sometimes just Cassie state).

The key feature is the air pocket, called plastron.

In the Cassie state the, the contact angle θ_c is enhanced by the surface topography and it is given by the Cassie-Baxter equation:

$$\cos(\theta_C) = f * \cos(\theta_{solid}) + (1 - f) * \cos(\theta_{air})$$

 $\cos(\theta_C) = f * \cos(\theta_{solid}) + (1 - f) * \cos(180)$

f is the solid fraction = the fraction of the surface that the liquid contacts that is solid material



Note: to treat air as 180° is mathematical shorthand. Air, as a gas, has neither surface energy nor contact angle as such.

Wenzel state

There is another wetting mode which can produce high contact angles.

The Wenzel state is characterized by **the lack of the air pocket**, the liquid fills or cavities underneath it.

These surfaces are NOT superhydrophobic and have high adhesion to water. This is sometimes called the petal effect (compared to lotus effect)

Wenzel equation can be used for designing superhydrophilic surfaces.

In the Wenzel state the contact angle θ_{W} is given by:

 $\cos(\theta_{\rm w}) = r\cos(\theta)$

, where r is the roughness factor that tells how much more liquid solid contact area there is on the rough surface compared to smooth.



Stability of the Cassie state

As a model surface, lets take square shaped micropillars with side a are in a regular square lattice with pitch p. The advancing WCA is θ_{adv} . What is the maximum pressure the Cassie state can sustain?

 $dV = (p^2 - a^2)dx$

$$dE = dA * (\gamma_{sl} - \gamma_{sv}) = -4a * dx * \gamma_{sv} \cos(\theta_{adv})$$

$$P_{breakthrough} = \frac{dE}{dV} = \frac{-4a\gamma_{sv}\cos(\theta_{adv})}{(p^2 - a^2)}$$

If θ_{adv} <90°, breakthrough pressure is negative and the plastron wets by capillary forces (exactly the same situation as with the capillary filling example).

Therefore: To have a stable plastron, we need θ_{adv} >90°

Note: this θ_{adv} is the advancing angle of the material (smooth), not the Cassie angle





Examples		This tells us how stable the superhydrophobic state is					
	•		Thi	s tells us how	v good the Superhydrophobicity is	а	
а	р	θ_{adv}	$P_{breakthrough}$	f_{Cassie}	θ_{Cassie}		
10µm	20µm	120°	≈4.8 kPa	0.25	≈151°		
10µm	20µm	95°	≈0.84 kPa	0.25	≈141°		
10µm	40µm	120°	≈0.96 kPa	0.0625	≈166°	р	
100nm	200nm	120°	≈480 kPa	0.25	≈151°		
100nm	400nm	120°	≈96 kPa	0.0625	≈166°		

We see that:

Increasing θ_{adv} , increases the stability and the superhydrophobicity

Increasing the pitch, lowers the stability but increases the superhydrophobicity

Downscaling, increases the stability without affecting superhydrophobicity



Surface chemistries for superhydrophobicity

If the structures are made of high surface energy solids, a low surface energy coating is needed.

All kinds of coating methods are suitable: vacuum, gas and liquid phase deposited have all been used.

Self-assembled monolayers are (perhaps) the gold standard Methylated SAM coating \approx 15-20 mN/m Fluorinated SAM coating \approx 5-10 mN/m

Langmuir 2003,19,3288-3296

Or: if the substrate is already of low surface energy, maybe a coating is not needed? Some polymers might qualify:

Polyethylene: ≈30 mN/m Polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon): ≈20 mN/m

For water, fully methylated surfaces $\theta_{adv} \approx 110^{\circ}$ and fluorinated surfaces $\theta_{adv} \approx 120^{\circ}$

Two types of adhesion

Superhydrophobicity = lack of adhesion of water droplets

But this means two different things!

Lateral (shear) and vertical (tensile) adhesion, both should be low.





Lateral: droplets rolls/slides on surface Right side of droplet: 3 phase line advances Left side of droplet: 3 phase line recedes Vertical: droplet is lifted from the surface Both sides: 3 phase line recedes

Lateral adhesion, Sliding angle

The lateral adhesion force for a droplet is given by:

,where D is the diameter of the contact line.

Note: depends on both θ_{adv} and θ_{rec} (so depends on hysteresis)

Sliding angle (SA): For a droplet with size V, what is the lowest tilting angle of the surface where the droplet will roll of due to gravity?

SA is an excellent parameter to demonstrate SHB

Just remember to always specify the volume.

The bigger the droplet the easier it rolls.



 $F_{lateral} = \frac{24}{\pi^3} \gamma_{lv} D[\cos(\theta_{rec}) - \cos(\theta_{adv})]$

 $F_{gravity} = mgsin(\alpha)$

Vertical adhesion

The adhesion energy (per unit area) of a droplet on a surface is given by the Young-Dupre equation:

 $W_{adhesion} = \gamma_{lv} (1 + \cos(\theta_{rec}))$

Since this is a receding case, the receding angle should be used. We see that if the $\theta_{rec} = 180^\circ$, then the work of adhesion is 0!



Superhydrophobicity demonstration

Pyramid shaped silicon nanopillars (black silicon), coated by a fluoropolymer coating.

Adhesion-wise, a very high end superhydrophobic surface

 $\theta_{adv} \approx 170^{\circ}, \theta_{rec} \approx 170^{\circ}$ Lateral adhesion force 1-5 nN for μ L sized droplets (Backholm et al. 2020, ttps://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-020-00065-3) Vertical pull off forces ≈ 200 nN for μ l sized droplets (Liimatainen et al, 2017, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01510-7)

<1µm scale and pyramidal shape



Superhydrophobicity characterization

Lateral adhesion:

Vertical adhesion:

 $F_{lateral} = \frac{24}{\pi^3} \gamma_{lv} D[\cos(\theta_{rec}) - \cos(\theta_{adv})]$

 $W_{adhesion} = \gamma_{lv}(1 + \cos(\theta_{rec}))$

The most important parameter to minimize both types of adhesion: θ_{rec}

 θ_{adv} appears on lateral adhesion but the dependency is quite weak.

Therefore: SHB surfaces have low adhesion to water, which is characterized primarily by high receding contact angles and low sliding angles.

High receding angle alone is (almost) enough to define superhydrophobicity.

In practice, to demonstrate SHB, one or both:

- 1. Advancing and receding contact angles (by e.g. goniometry)
- 2. Sliding angle for a known droplet size

Quiz time!

More advanced SHB characterization

Vertical pull off forces by scanning droplet adhesion force microscope:



(Liimatainen et al, 2017, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01510-7)

Lateral adhesion forces by micropipette cantilevers:



(Backholm et al. 2020, ttps://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-020-00065-3)

OLEOPHOBICITY

The maximum WCA that exists for water is $\approx 120^{\circ}$.

The lower the surface tension, the lower the contact angles, and for many oils the maximum CA of any material can be <90°

Since the stability of the Cassie state required >90°, these cannot be repelled?

There is a trick! Re-entrant (overhanging) geometries enable stable Cassie states.

Liquid penetrating downwards would need to create new liquid-air interface.



Old condition: $\theta > 90^{\circ} (\alpha = 0^{\circ})$

New condition: $\alpha + \theta > 90^\circ$, If $\alpha = 45^\circ$, then $\theta > 45^\circ$

Liquid repellency



For water: hydrophilic/hydrophobic, superhydrophilic/superhydrophobic
For oils: oleophilic/oleophobic
For a liquid in general: hygrophilic/hygrophobic,
For all liquids simultaneously: omniphilic/omniphobic

Omniphobic surface

Doubly re-entrant silicon dioxide pillars.

Able to repel even perfluorohexane, $\gamma_{lv} \approx 12 \text{ mN/m}$, among the lowest of all liquids.

Doubly re-entrant surfaces with no surface tension limitations can be called omniphobic.



Omniphobic micropillars



1.6 μl perfluorohexane droplet dropped on the surface

Rontu, Jokinen, Franssila 2020, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8896921

Applications of superhydrophobicity

SHB surfaces can be used in applications where, for example:

- 1. Things need to be kept dry. E.g. windows of sensors outdoors.
- 2. Things need to be kept clean (self cleaning)
- 3. When friction between liquid and solid needs to be reduced (e.g. high-tech swimming gear, ships, tubes/pipes)

There are also related applications in anti-icing and repelling biological fluids but these need something extra on top of superhydrophobiity.

Pipe/tube friction reduction

Liquid flowing in a normal tube: velocity at the walls is 0.

Liquid flowing in a SHB tube: velocity at the walls is >0.

Velocity gradients cause viscous energy losses, so SHB pipes/tubes save in energy costs.

c d V V (porous area) SHB layer

Water flow profile in normal tube

Water flow profile in SHB tube (effect is exaggerated)





Self cleaning

Superhydrophobic surfaces tend to stay clean since water droplets do not deposit on them.

They are also *self cleaning*, meaning that if there is some dirt on the SHB surface it easily comes off when droplets roll-off the surface.

Droplet sliding on a non-SHB surface do not clean the surface because:

- 1. Velocity near the surface is low
- 2. There is no vertical component to the velocity field



Next:

There is no homework for this week!

Next week, 12:15-13:00 we will have the lab project kick-off session. The lab work will be about SHB surfaces

The labs themselves are on weeks 43-45 (19.10-6.11)

 $Adhesion = \gamma_{lv} + \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl}$ $\gamma_{lv} \cos \theta = \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl}$

On first sight, these two equations contain 4 parameters each.

However, since the solid surface is unable to deform, $\gamma_{sv} + \gamma_{sl} = \text{constant}$. In wetting phenomena, we are only interested in changes, which is why the 2 solid surface energy terms only ever appear in the term ($\gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl}$) and not independently. So in reality, both wetting related equations have only 3 independent terms.

This is good news since both γ_{lv} and $\cos(\theta)$ are easily measurable, and measuring 2/3 of independent parameters is enough to know everything about the system.

Inserting Young's equation into the work of adhesion equation we get:

Adhesion = $\gamma_{lv} + \gamma_{lv} * \cos(\theta) = \gamma_{lv}(1 + \cos(\theta))$

This is called the Young-Dupré equation, and it relates the work of adhesion to the surface tension and contact angle.

You can test what happens to adhesion when $\theta=0$, 90 or 180 degrees. Compare the results to the equation for the work of cohesion (Cohesion = $2^*\gamma_{iv}$)

Liquid surface tension measurement:

Nice to know slide, not covered in class

Optical tensiometry:

- Surface tension measured from the shape of a hanging droplet
- Shape determined by the balance of gravity (hydrostatic pressure) and surface tension (laplace pressure).



The force a liquid exerts on a plate is measured

- F = 2 L γ
- No correction factor needed
- Good method also in practice



Surface Tension Measurement - Wilhelmy Plate Method



Surface energies of solids typically cannot be measured directly. Fundamental reason is that bulk bonds in solids are too strong compared to surface forces.

Instead, contact angle measurements are made with multiple liquids and there are several theories how to derive the solid surface energy from these.

- Critical surface tension (Zisman method)
- Good and Girifalco
- Owens-Wendt
- Van Oss et al.

As far as I know, solid-liquid interfacial energies also cannot be directly measured and are instead derived from contact angle measurements and the above theories.