

Network Security: Issues with host-to-host IPsec

Tuomas Aura CS-E4300 Network security Aalto University

IPsec and name resolution



- TCP socket API: resolve name into an IP address; then connect to it
- TCP SYN to the address triggers IKEv2 (if the ESP SA does not yet exist)

IPsec and identifiers

- 1. Application opens a connection to an IP address. IPsec uses the IP addresses as policy selector
- 2. Application actually wants to connect to a specific name, and IKE usually authenticates the remote node by its DNS name
- Problem: No secure mapping between the two identifier spaces: DNS names and IP addresses

IPsec and DNS spoofing

- Practically all host-to-host IPsec policies have BYPASS action for some remote addresses
 - Internet outside the intranet, e.g. web servers
 - Devices that do not support IPsec, e.g. printers, sensors
 - → Spoofed DNS response can cause any any hostname to map to a BYPASS action
- Thus, IPsec policy selection depends on secure name resolution

Classic IPsec/DNS Vulnerability



Query: "server-b.example.org"

Attacker spoofs DNS response to circumvent the IPsec policy

Let's assume secure DNS. Does it solve the problems?

Further problem: IPsec and Certificates



Name resolution is done in a separate step. IKE knows the peer's IP address, not its name. The certificate, on the other hand, only contains the name. Is the certificate ok?

Further problem: IPsec and Certificates



IKE knows the peer's IP address, not its name. The certificate only contains the name. Is the certificate ok?

IPsec and Certificates – solutions?

- Secure DNS (forward lookup) does not help why?
- Secure reverse DNS would be a solution but it does not exist
 Other solutions:
- Connect by name change the socket API so that the connect() call specifies the host name, not the IP address
- Give up IPsec transparency: applications query the socket API for the authenticated name
 - VPN applications do this to check the VPN gateway name from the certificate
- Ignore the hostname: use IPsec only to isolate certified intranet hosts from outsiders/intruders
 - Example: NAP in a Windows domain uses IPsec for network access control and not for end-to-end authentication of the individual host identities

IPsec architecture [RFC 4301]



Peer authorization database (PAD)

- IPsec specification [RFC4301] defines a database that maps authenticated names to allowed IP addresses
- How is PAD implemented?
 - VPN applications check that the name on the certificate matches a known VPN gateway
 - For host-to-host IPsec in a closed domain, such as intranet, PAD could theoretically be implemented – but it has not been
 - No solution for general host-to-host IPsec in the open Internet

This is why IPsec is really only used for VPN and not host-to-host