ELEC-E8116 Model-based control systems /exercises 10 Solutions

1. Consider a SISO system and a state feedback control

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$u(t) = -Lx(t)$$

where L is chosen as a solution to the infinite time optimal (LQ) horizon problem.

- **a.** Prove that the loop gain is $H(s) = L(sI A)^{-1}B$
- **b.** Prove that $|1 + H(i\omega)| \ge 1$
- c. Show that for the LQ controller
 - phase margin is at least 60 degrees
 - gain margin is infinite
 - the magnitude of the sensitivity function is less than 1
 - the magnitude of the complementary sensitivity function is less than 2.

Solution:

a. First solve for *x*: $px = Ax + Bu \Rightarrow x = [pI - A]^{-1} Bu$

Starting from the output of the controller u go around the loop and meet the signal u again. We get

$$u = -Lx = -L[pI - A]^{-1}Bu$$

The open loop transfer function is the forward loop transfer function multiplied by the feedback loop transfer function. The open loop is then

$$H(s) = L[sI - A]^{-1}B$$

as given in the problem. Note: no minus sign, because it is the feedback sign.

b. In the LQ problem

 $H(s) = L[sI - A]^{-1}B$ Note that *L* is now the state feedback gain, *H* is the open loop transfer function.

The (stationary) Riccati equation: $A^T S + SA + Q - SBR^{-1}B^T S = 0$.

State feedback gain: $L = R^{-1}B^T S$.

In the exercise session the problem was solved in the simple case of assuming one-dimensional state variable x. Then all the matrices are scalars:

$$\begin{split} \left| 1 + H(j\omega) \right|^2 &= (1 + H(j\omega))^* (1 + H(j\omega)) = (1 + H(-j\omega))(1 + H(j\omega)) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{lb}{-j\omega - a} \right) \left(1 + \frac{lb}{j\omega - a} \right) = \frac{-a + lb - j\omega}{-a - j\omega} \cdot \frac{-a + lb + j\omega}{-a + j\omega} \\ &= \frac{(-a + lb)^2 + \omega^2}{a^2 + \omega^2} = \frac{a^2 - 2abl + b^2l^2 + \omega^2}{a^2 + \omega^2} \\ &= \frac{a^2 - 2a\frac{b^2}{r}s + b^2\frac{b^2s^2}{r^2} + \omega^2}{a^2 + \omega^2} = \frac{a^2 + \frac{b^2}{r}(\frac{b^2s^2}{r} - 2as) + \omega^2}{a^2 + \omega^2} \\ &= \frac{a^2 + \frac{b^2}{r}q + \omega^2}{a^2 + \omega^2} \ge 1 \end{split}$$

because $\frac{b^2}{r}q \ge 0$. Note how the Riccati equation was used in the last part of the derivation.

But the general inequality is

$$\left[I + H(-j\omega)\right]^T R\left[I + H(j\omega)\right] \ge R$$

which applies also to multivariable cases. In the case of single transfer functions the above trivially simplifies to

$$\left|1 + H(i\omega)\right| \ge 1$$

The general proof (MIMO case) is however a bit more complicated.

$$\begin{bmatrix} I + H(-j\omega) \end{bmatrix}^{T} R \begin{bmatrix} I + H(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I + H(-j\omega) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} R + RH(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

= $R + RH(j\omega) + H(-j\omega)^{T} R + H(-j\omega)^{T} RH(j\omega)$
= $R + RL \begin{bmatrix} j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} B + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-T} L^{T} R + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-T} L^{T} RL \begin{bmatrix} j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} B$
= $R + B^{T} S \begin{bmatrix} j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} B + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} SB + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} SBR^{-1}B^{T} S \begin{bmatrix} j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} B$
= $R + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \{ \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix} S + S \begin{bmatrix} j\omega I - A \end{bmatrix} + SBR^{-1}B^{T} S \} [j\omega I - A]^{-1} B$
= $R + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \{ -A^{T} S - SA + A^{T} S + SA + Q \} [j\omega I - A]^{-1} B$
= $R + B^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -j\omega I - A^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} Q [j\omega I - A]^{-1} B \ge R$

To see the last inequality note that R is positive definite. The matrix

$$Z = B^{T} \left[-j\omega I - A^{T} \right]^{-1} Q \left[j\omega I - A \right]^{-1} B$$

is clearly real, because $Z^* = Z$ (the matrix is in fact Hermitian). But for any non-zero vector *x* with appropriate dimension

$$x^{*}Zx = x^{*}B^{T} \left[-j\omega I - A^{T}\right]^{-1} Q \left[j\omega I - A\right]^{-1} Bx$$
$$= \left[\left(j\omega I - A\right)^{-1} Bx\right]^{*} Q \left[\left(j\omega I - A\right)^{-1} Bx\right] = y^{*}Qy \ge 0$$

Hence Z is positive demidefinite. Note that Q and R are positive definite by definition.

c. Consider the following figure, where L = H now is the loop transfer function.



Nyquistin käyrä $L(i\omega)$

Because $|1 + H(i\omega)| \ge 1$ the Nyquist curve will never enter inside the circle centered at (-1,0) and with the radius 1. Therefore the gain margin is infinite and the sensitivity function is never larger than 1 in magnitude. The complementary sensitivity function cannot be larger than 2, because the two sentitivity functions can differ at most by 1 in magnitude. Now the Nyquist curve touches the dashed line at the gain crossover frequency ω_c and if $|1 + L(i\omega)| = 1$ (minimum) we have an equilateral triangle (see figure) so that each angle is 60 degrees. But generally $|1 + L(i\omega)| \ge 1$ so that the phase margin is at least 60 degrees.

2. Consider the IMC control structure, which is used to control a stable and minimum phase SISO process *G*.



Note that in addition to the reference r a disturbance signal d_y is modelled to enter at the output of the process. By using the IMC design discussed in the lectures analyse the response to step inputs at r and d_y .

Solution:

The figure represents a two-degrees-of-freedom control configuration, where the inputs to the controller K are r and y. Again, it is easy to write

$$u = Q[r - (y - Gu] = Q(r - y) + QGu \Longrightarrow u = (I - QG)^{-1}Q(r - y)$$

But that can be interpreted as a one-degree-of-freedom configuration with the controller

$$u = K_1(r - y), \quad K_1 = (I - QG)^{-1}Q = \frac{Q}{1 - QG}$$
 (SISO!)

Using the design (see lecture slides)

 $Q = \frac{1}{(\lambda s + 1)^n} G^{-1}$ and writing equations from the topology in the figure

$$y = d_y + Gu = d_y + GK_1(r - y) \Longrightarrow y = \frac{GK_1}{1 + GK_1}r + \frac{1}{1 + GK_1}d_y$$

Setting K_1 to this gives after simple calculations

$$y = \frac{\frac{GQ}{1 - QG}}{1 + \frac{GQ}{1 - QG}}r + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{GQ}{1 - QG}}d_{y} = GQr + (1 - QG)d_{y} = \frac{1}{(\lambda s + 1)^{n}}r + \left[1 - \frac{1}{(\lambda s + 1)^{n}}\right]d_{y}$$

Note that GQ = QG for SISO systems. Also $y = GQr + (1 - QG)d_y$ could have been obtained directly from the figure (careful!).

Setting s = 0 we find that the static gain from r to y is 1 and from d_y to y 0, so that the output follows the reference and mitigates the disturbance asymptotically. Note that internal stability was guaranteed by the fact that G was stable and minimum phase (G^{-1} stable) and Q stable.