

#### PHYS-E0420 Many-body Quantum mechanics Exercise 1: some models

# **Exercise 1**

## a)

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle$$
(1)

can be integrated on both sides with respect to time from 0 to t to obtain another form of the equation. The left side becomes

$$i\hbar \int_{0}^{t} dt' \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} |\psi(t')\rangle = i\hbar (|\psi(t)\rangle - |\psi(0)\rangle) .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

For the right side, we simply obtain

$$\int_{0}^{t} dt' \hat{H}(t') |\psi(t')\rangle$$
(3)

Combine the results and reorder and you get

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}(t') |\psi(t')\rangle .$$
(4)

## b)

We may iterate the alternative form of Schrödinger equation (4) as  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  appears both on left side and inside the integral on the right side. After the first step, we obtain

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \hat{H}(t') |\psi(0)\rangle + \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t') \hat{H}(t'') |\psi(t'')\rangle .$$
 (5)

Continuing in this manner, the expressions develops into series

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \left(\hat{1} + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \hat{H}(t') + \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t') \hat{H}(t'') + \dots \right) |\psi(0)\rangle , \qquad (6)$$

where the *n*th term is

$$|\psi^{(n)}(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^2} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \hat{H}(t_1) \hat{H}(t_2) \cdots \hat{H}(t_n) |\psi(0)\rangle , \qquad (7)$$

where by superscript *n* we denote it being the *n*th term. This assertion can be proved using induction. We already proved the first step, i.e. the case n = 1. The inductive step goes similarly using the iteration of inputting the formula (4) into  $|\psi(t_n)\rangle$ . The result is the previous last term with  $\hat{H}$  and the new term with  $|\psi(t_n)\rangle$  If the iteration is still continued, the desired term remains.

To continue, we note that the Hamiltonian operators in (7) are ordered in time such that the rightmost operator comes first in time and the leftmost last (also for any subsequence of the total sequence). Let us introduce the time ordering operator  $\hat{T}$  that orders the operators in lowering time order i.e.

$$T(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t')) = \begin{cases} \hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t') & \text{if } t > t' \\ \hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t) & \text{if } t < t' \end{cases}$$
(8)

where  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{B}$  are arbitrary operators, and accordingly for more operators. Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' T(\hat{H}(t')\hat{H}(t'')) |\psi(0)\rangle$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t')\hat{H}(t'') |\psi(0)\rangle + \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{t'}^{t} dt'' \hat{H}(t'') \hat{H}(t') |\psi(0)\rangle$$
(9)

We have to change the order of integration in the second term. This can be done by noting that the region

$$0 \le t' \le t$$
  

$$t' \le t'' \le t$$
(10)

can also be equivalently written as

$$0 \le t'' \le t$$
  

$$0 \le t' \le t''$$
(11)

as can be seen that the conditions follow from each other. Therefore, we see by swapping t' and t'' in the second term and using the equivalent description for the region that the terms are equal. Using the result, we get that

$$\int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' T(\hat{H}(t')\hat{H}(t'')) = 2 \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t')\hat{H}(t'') .$$
(12)

Inspired by this, let us assert that

$$|\psi^{(n)}(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{n!(i\hbar)^n} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 \cdots \int_0^t dt_n T(\hat{H}(t_1)\hat{H}(t_2)\cdots\hat{H}(t_n)) |\psi(0)\rangle$$
(13)

We can prove this assertion by induction. The first step is already taken since the case n = 1 is trivial. Let us prove that the assertion holds for n = k + 1 by assuming the case n = k.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^{(k+1)}(t)\rangle &= \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^{k+1}} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{k}} dt_{k+1} \hat{H}(t_{1}) \hat{H}(t_{2}) \cdots \hat{H}(t_{k+1}) |\psi(0)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{k!(i\hbar)^{k+1}} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{k+1} T(\hat{H}(t_{1})\hat{H}(t_{2}) \cdots \hat{H}(t_{k+1})) |\psi(0)\rangle \end{aligned}$$
(14)

Where we used the fact  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_i$  for any i > 1 and so the induction assumption is applicable. Now, as *T* takes care of symmetrization, only the time ordering of integrals defines the value. If we want to have all the integral boundaries at *t* we have to relax the assumption that  $t_1$  is last in order. If we do this, then we obtain terms for the  $t_1$  being at any position in the ordering. There are k + 1 such terms. All of them are equal because of symmetry: the time ordering takes care of ordering the instances right and each time is similar. Also all the integrals behave symmetrically, and so none of the integrals should behave differently. Therefore, we obtain that we have to divide by k + 1 to have all  $t_1$  converted to t in the integration boundaries. This proves the claim.

Another way to see this is to note that each possible combination of times that have the time ordering are gone through in the original form indexed 1, 2, 3 et cetera. If all the times are permuted and ordered with the time ordering operator, we have that the same integration is done as many time as the  $t_i$  can be ordered, i.e. n! times if there are n Hamiltonians. This is the case because the time ordering operator T always orders the operators in the same order, independent of the variables of integration. Thus, in the end we have to divide by n! leading to the result.

Also, similar to the case with n = 2 there are n! simplexes (simplex=generalize triangle nto arbitrary dimension) in the whole integration as one can choose first one coordinate axis for the first edge, then second, and third et cetera and the order of coordinates chosen defines the simplex. They cover the whole space. Each simplex is however symmetric because of time time-ordering operator. The original integral was over one of such simplex. Thus the overall result, integrating over all *t* for each integral is n! times the ordered result. Thus, integral over each is the same.

#### d)

Now, if we take the time ordering operator out of the equation, we obtain for the time evolution operator (the terms that multiply the state at original time to obtain the state at a later time)

$$U(t) = T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^n} \left( \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}(t') \right)^n$$
  
=  $T e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}(t')}$  (15)

by the definition of exponential function. We have used the fact that in the form with operator T, the integrals do not depend on variables outside so they can be taken to be independent

$$\int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{k+1} T(\hat{H}(t_{1})\hat{H}(t_{2}) \cdots \hat{H}(t_{k+1}) = \left(\int_{0}^{t} dt_{1}\hat{H}(t_{1})\right)^{n} .$$
(16)

#### e)

The only case in which the operator T can be omitted from the above equation to obtain

$$U(t) = \exp{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \hat{H}(\tau)}$$
(17)

is that the Hamiltonian operators at different times commutate with each other. Then the ordering of any two operators in the expansion can be changed at will.

(1) d) 
$$|\Psi(t_{1}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2})^{n} \int \cdots \int dt_{1} \cdots dt_{n} T(\Psi(t_{1}) \cdots \Psi(t_{n})) |\Psi(0)\rangle$$
  
=  $T \geq h_{1} (\frac{1}{2} \int dt' \Psi(t')) |\Psi(0)\rangle$   
=  $T \exp(\frac{1}{2} \int dt' \Psi(t')) |\Psi(0)\rangle$   
(1)  $\Psi(t_{1}) = 0 \quad \forall t_{1}t_{1}$   
=)  $T(\Psi(t_{1}) \cdots \Psi(t_{n}) = 0 \quad \forall t_{1}t_{1}$   
=)  $T(\Psi(t_{1}) \cdots \Psi(t_{n}) = \Psi(t_{1}) \cdots \Psi(t_{n})$   
(2)  $ih \int A_{n}(H) = \sum h_{n}(H_{n}) = \frac{1}{2}?$   
Schoolinger:  $ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = h_{1}(\Psi)_{s}$   
 $ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $Schoolinger: ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2}?$   
 $Schoolinger: ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2})$   
 $ih \int \Psi(t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \Psi(t_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \Psi(t_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}) \int (\Psi(t_{1}) + \frac$ 

(3) H=H\_0+V(H), 
$$\hat{V}(H) = V_0(\hat{a}+\hat{a}+)f(H)$$
,  $H_0: kw_0(\hat{a}+\hat{a}+\frac{1}{2})$   
 $(V(0) = In)$   
(V(0) = In)  
(V(0) = In)  
(V(0) = In)  
(I) I+I(H) = ? In 1st order time dependent perturbation  
theory.  
In Interaction picture  $\hat{V}_1(H) = e^{-iH_0H/h} \hat{V}(H) e^{-iH_0H/h}$   
(remember  $\{H_0|_{N} = kw_0(n+V_0)|_{N} = E_n \ln N$   
 $(a|_{n}) = in_1r_1, a+\ln N = \sqrt{nr_1(n+1)})$   
Eq 3.2 =>  $(V_1(H)) = (V_2(0)) + \frac{1}{16} \int \hat{V}_2(H) |V_1(0)| dH + \cdots + h_0) + e^{-iH_0H/h} (a|_{a}+1) e^{-iH_0H/h} (n)$   
 $= \ln N + \frac{V_0}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (a|_{a}+1) e^{-iH_0H/h} (n)$   
 $= \ln N + \frac{V_0}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (a|_{a}+1) e^{-iH_0H/h} (n)$   
 $= \ln N + \frac{V_0}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n) (n-1) + \frac{V_0}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n) (n-1)$   
 $+ \frac{V_0}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \int dH f(H) e^{-iE_nH/h} (n+1) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{$ 

# **Exercise 4**

In this exercise we calculate the time derivative of time evolution operator and consider some following properties.

#### a)

Let us use the series definition of exponential

$$\frac{d}{dt}T\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right) = \frac{d}{dt}T\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!(i\hbar)^n}\left(\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right)^n$$

$$= T\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n-1)!(i\hbar)^{n-1}}\frac{1}{i\hbar}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\left(\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right)^{n-1}, \quad (18)$$

where we have used the property that a convergent series can be differentiated term by term. The derivative of the integral is simply H(t). By making a change in summation variable  $n-1 \rightarrow n$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}T\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}T\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right)H(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}T\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau)\right)$$
(19)

as was to be shown.

## b)

Let us write  $U_l(t)$  in another form, starting from

$$U_{l}(t) = T \exp\left(-i\hbar \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau V_{l}(\tau)\right) .$$
(20)

This may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} U_{l}(t) &= T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau V_{l}(\tau) \right)^{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{n}} d\tau_{n-1} \cdots \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{2}} d\tau_{1} V_{l}(\tau_{n}) V_{l}(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots V_{l}(\tau_{1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{(i\hbar)^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{n}} d\tau_{n-1} \cdots \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{2}} d\tau_{1} \\ U_{0}(0,\tau_{n}) H_{S}'(\tau_{n}) U_{0}^{-1}(0,\tau_{n}) U_{0}(0,\tau_{n-1}) H_{S}'(\tau_{n-1}) U_{0}^{-1}(0,\tau_{n-1}) \cdots U_{0}(0,\tau_{1}) H_{S}'(\tau_{1}) U_{0}^{-1}(0,\tau_{1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{(i\hbar)^{n}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau_{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{n}} d\tau_{n-1} \cdots \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{2}} d\tau_{1} \\ U_{0}(0,\tau_{n}) H_{S}'(\tau_{n}) U_{0}(\tau_{n},\tau_{n-1}) H_{S}'(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots U_{0}(\tau_{2},\tau_{1}) H_{S}'(\tau_{1}) U_{0}(\tau_{1},0) \end{aligned}$$

where the connection between interaction and Schrödinger pictures and properties of  $U_0$  were used. Also, the third equality follows from the earlier considerations.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \\ (\mathcal{A}_{n}) &= \cup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \frac{1}{2}) \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0})^{n} \, \int_{0}^{n} d\mathcal{I}_{0} \, \dots \, \int_{0}^{n} d\mathcal{I}_{0} \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, H_{5}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n} (\mathcal{I}_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}) \, \dots \, \bigcup_{0}^{n}$$

relabel: 
$$\tilde{c}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{c}_n = (\epsilon_q, 2, 26)$$
  
 $\tilde{c}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{n-1} = (\epsilon_q, 2, 26)$   
efc.

# Exercise 1.5



Ex 1,5

a) see figure. As w approaches Ex-ENT function becomes strongly peaked There is a der representation of Dirac-della S(x) = h Mix/2 which is relevant hore.  $f = Y_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{n \cdot x t}{t \cdot x}$ b) 3.10 tom repponsible for absorption < k w (+) > = > < k + 1 + 1 e > f dt ' e i (Ex-Ee) t / t - i w t i it i b i dt ' e i (Ex-Ee) t / t e i w t i (+) = ] dt' s(t) c'(En-Ee)l'/h - mti) where S(1',1) is a step function 1 A Str Fourier transform from time to frequency She) = to I flt'le 'wt' at' (sign in the exponent is sort of irrelevent as long) Las you know your convertion.  $(x) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} & t'' = -t', dt' = -dt'', t'' \in [\infty, -\infty]$ -> I Jdt" s(t",t) e "(Ex-Ee)t"/h iwt"

EX 1.5

5) to cast this a bit more symmetric form. let us still move the step around origin so t'' = t'' + t/2 so the integral becomes  $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{(E_x - E_e)} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{E_x - E_e} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{16} \frac{$ = te - tw ) t/2t 1/2 - ilex-Ee tw) t"/t = te - t/2 ivelevant mase: doesn't affect probabilities ilex-E. t. M.  $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{(E_{k}-E_{e}-h\omega)t/2t}{\left[\frac{hi}{(E_{k}-E_{e}-\omega t)}\right]} \frac{(E_{k}-E_{e}-h\omega)t/2t}{\left[\frac{h}{(E_{k}-E_{e}-\omega t)}\right]}$ - Otiler-Ee-twit/26) 7 = +2 C' (Ex-Ex-tw) the to Non [Ex-Extru) t] (Ex-Ex-tw) (Ex-Extru) t] This is essentially a F-transform of \_\_\_\_\_\_ If stat is small the the SF Fourier transform is broad. If you were to measure (En-ER) by looking at the population of level k, then resplictive would be poor for small A (compared to ~ MEx-Eel). If I becomes large, F-transform is narrow and energy resolution is high. Dt. DE 275. (However, we don't have itermitian operator for t?)