

ECON-C4200 - Econometrics II

Lecture 6: Maximum likelihood approach to estimation

Otto Toivanen

1. Coin tosses

- Think of a tossing a coin that is potentially weighted, i.e., does not give the outcomes with 50% probability.
- Your task is to find out what the weight is.
- How to do this? Well, toss the coin lots and lots of times, record the outcomes.
- What then? Calculate the **share** of tails and heads, i.e., the **average** of tails / heads, i.e., the **probability** of getting tails / heads.

2. Bernoulli distribution

- More formally, you can think of what you did as a **stochastic process** with two possible outcomes, coded 0 and 1.
- Such a process is called a **Bernoulli process**.

2. Bernoulli distribution

- More formally, you can think of what you did as a **stochastic process** with two possible outcomes, coded 0 and 1.
- Such a process is called a **Bernoulli process**.
- It yields a sequence of 0s and 1s...

2. Bernoulli distribution

- More formally, you can think of what you did as a **stochastic process** with two possible outcomes, coded 0 and 1.
- Such a process is called a **Bernoulli process**.
- It yields a sequence of 0s and 1s...
- How to estimate the probability of 1 occurring?

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- How could we formalize this?
 - ① Let's denote the probability of heads for any given coin toss with P . Then the probability of tails is $1 - P$.
 - ② Let us toss the coin N times, and index the coin tosses by i .
 - ③ Let us further denote the outcome of coin toss i by y_i which takes value $y_i = 1$ if heads, $y_i = 0$ if tails; $i = 1, \dots, N$.
- Given N coin tosses, our data are the outcomes y_i , and the unknown parameter is P .
- How can we estimate P ?

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- Let's start by applying the tool we know, i.e., Least Squares (LS):

$$\min_P \sum_i (y_i - P)^2 \quad (1)$$

- We recall from Econometrics I that the answer the LS gives is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}^{LS} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum y_i \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (\underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n_H} + \underbrace{0 + 0 + \dots + 0}_{N - n_h}) \\ &= \frac{n_h}{N} = \bar{y} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- In other words, LS gives the answer we would have calculated without knowledge of econometrics.

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- Let's take another approach and ask ourselves: With N coin tosses, what is the **likelihood** of getting n_H heads and $N - n_H = n_T$ tails, given P ?

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- Let's take another approach and ask ourselves: With N coin tosses, what is the **likelihood** of getting n_H heads and $N - n_H = n_T$ tails, given P ?
- Answer:

$$L = P^{n_H}(1 - P)^{N - n_H} \quad (3)$$

- Equation (3) is the **Likelihood function** (uskottavuusfunktio) for our data, and also our problem (of finding the best estimate of P).

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- What is the next step?
- Let's find the value for P that maximizes the likelihood of observing exactly n_H heads and $N - n_H$ tails.
- How to do this? By maximizing the likelihood function with respect to the unknown parameter P , i.e., by (recall $y_i = 1$ if coin toss i gives heads, $y_i = 0$ if tails):

$$\begin{aligned}\max_P L &= \prod_i P^{y_i} (1 - P)^{1 - y_i} \\ &= \underbrace{P \times P \times \dots \times P}_{n_H} \times \underbrace{(1 - P) \times (1 - P) \dots \times (1 - P)}_{N - n_H} \\ &= P^{n_H} (1 - P)^{N - n_H}\end{aligned}$$

(4)

- This can obviously be done, but often the likelihood function is difficult to work with.

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- Trick: let's use a monotonic transformation, i.e., let's take logs:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_P \ln L &= \sum_i [y_i \ln P + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - P)] \\ &= \sum_{n_H} \ln P + \sum_{N-n_H} \ln(1 - P) \\ &= n_H \ln P + (N - n_H) \ln(1 - P)\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

- Now do the differentiation and solve for P .

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- The ML estimate of P , \hat{P}^{ML} , is:

$$\hat{P}^{ML} = \frac{n_H}{N} = \hat{P}^{LS} \quad (6)$$

- Note: the ML estimate is not always equal to the LS estimate.

3. Constructing the likelihood function

- The idea underlying ML: construct the likelihood function.
- Ask: what parameter values are the likeliest to have lead to the data we observe?

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- Thus far we did not have any explanatory variables, i.e., observable characteristics of the observation units.
- To extend our coin example, assume that instead of tossing a single coin N times, you toss N different coins once each.
- Assume further that you observe some characteristics of each coin i . Denote the characteristics with \mathbf{X} .
- Let suppose you want to study how characteristics \mathbf{X} affect the probability of getting heads.

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- By now you know how to build a linear probability model for this setting.
- How could you introduce the explanatory variable into our ML setup?

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- By building on what we studied in the previous lecture.
- Step #1: Assume that

$$y_i = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i \geq 0$$

$$y_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i < 0$$

- Step #2: assume a distribution for ϵ . Let's denote the CDF of ϵ with $F(\cdot)$. Let's further assume it is symmetric.

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- Step #3: Now (due to the symmetry of $F(\cdot)$) the probability of observing $y_i = 1$ is

$$1 - F(-\mathbf{X}_i\beta) = F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)$$

- Notice that this is not that different from assuming the probability of observing $y_i = 1$ is P .
- Indeed, I can replace P with $F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)$ in the likelihood function we just worked with.
- The difference is that the unknown parameters are now β , not P .

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- We can now write the likelihood and the log likelihood functions as:

$$L = Pr(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_N = y_N) = \prod_i F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)^{y_i} [1 - F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)]^{1-y_i} \quad (7)$$

$$\ln L = \sum_i \{y_i \ln F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta) + (1 - y_i) \ln [1 - F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)]\} \quad (8)$$

- The marginal effect (wrt. to the k^{th} expl. variable X_k) is now given by:

$$\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)}{\partial X_k} = f(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)\beta_k \quad (9)$$

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- Key question: What is $F()$?
- Obviously, $F()$ is a cdf and hence $[0, 1]$.
- $F()$ need not be symmetric (around 0), but most of the time is.

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- $F()$ could come from:
 - 1 Theory (= assumptions).
 - 2 Data (non- / semi-parametric regression).
 - 3 Past practice.

4. ML estimation with observable characteristics

- Does the choice matter $F()$ empirically?
- Experience shows that in most (“well-behaved”) data sets and as long as $F(.)$ symmetric, makes essentially no difference to marginal effects.
- Key for being “well-behaved”; mean of the dependent variable neither “very” large nor “very” small.

5. Estimation

- If we assume that the error term has a normal distribution, then we are estimating a **probit** model.
- Another popular model is the **logit** model where error term has an extreme value distribution. This yields the following expression for the probability that $y_i = 1$:

$$Pr(Y = 1 | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}\beta)}{\exp(0) + \exp(\mathbf{x}\beta)} = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}\beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}\beta)}$$

- Note that the $\exp(0)$ in the denominator is the exponential of the utility from choosing the outside good, which has been normalized to be zero.

5. Estimation

- One cannot estimate probit or logit with OLS.
- One needs either
 - ① maximum likelihood (this is what the Stata probit / logit functions do).
 - ② nonlinear least squares (usually not used)
 - ③ generalized method of moments (sometimes used).
- Let's estimate the VI decision of cinema's in Gil's data with OLS, probit and logit.
- Unlike OLS, where we can solve for the coefficients using matrix algebra, ML models require (numerical) optimization.

How to calculate the ME?

- 1 The derivative is going to depend on X .
- 2 Different ME for each possible value of X .
- 3 How to average?

How to calculate the ME?

- Many solutions:
 - 1 Only at the mean of X (and other variables).
 - 2 At some interesting value of X .
 - 3 Some avg example: weighted avg.

Stata commands for OLS, probit and logit

Stata code

```
1  regr vi_ever capacity_1000 , robust
2  probit vi_ever capacity_1000
3  margins
4  logit vi_ever capacity_1000
5  margins
```

```
. regr vi_ever capacity_1000, robust
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs   =      393
F(1, 391)       =    108.07
Prob > F        =    0.0000
R-squared       =    0.1844
Root MSE       =    .44887
```

vi_ever	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
capacity_1000	.1841115	.0177105	10.40	0.000	.1492917	.2189313
_cons	.1281997	.0355462	3.61	0.000	.0583142	.1980853

Probit results

```
. probit vi_ever capacity_1000
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -269.08833
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -229.07358
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -228.75776
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -228.75752
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -228.75752
```

```
Probit regression                Number of obs   =       393
                                LR chi2(1)           =       80.66
                                Prob > chi2          =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -228.75752      Pseudo R2       =       0.1499
```

vi_ever	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
capacity_1000	.5689945	.0698458	8.15	0.000	.4320993	.7058897
_cons	-1.108613	.1320205	-8.40	0.000	-1.367369	-.8498576

```
. margins
```

```
Predictive margins                Number of obs   =       393
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

	Delta-method		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Margin	Std. Err.				
_cons	.4314227	.0225493	19.13	0.000	.3872268	.4756185

Logit results

```
. logit vi_ever capacity_1000
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -269.08833
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -228.60832
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -228.44027
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -228.44013
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -228.44013
```

```
Logistic regression                Number of obs   =       393
                                   LR chi2(1)          =       81.30
                                   Prob > chi2         =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -228.44013         Pseudo R2      =       0.1511
```

vi_ever	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
capacity_1000	.9644566	.1268482	7.60	0.000	.7158387	1.213075
_cons	-1.843564	.2296731	-8.03	0.000	-2.293715	-1.393413

```
. margins
```

```
Predictive margins                Number of obs   =       393
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

	Delta-method				
	Margin	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_cons	.4351145	.0224736	19.36	0.000	.3910671 .4791619

Stata commands different marginal effects

Stata code

```
1  probit vi_ever capacity_1000
2  margins
3  margins , atmeans
4  logit vi_ever capacity_1000
5  margins
6  margins , atmeans
```

Probit results

```
. margins
```

```
Predictive margins          Number of obs   =          393
```

```
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

	Delta-method		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Margin	Std. Err.				
_cons	.4314227	.0225493	19.13	0.000	.3872268	.4756185

```
. margins , atmeans
```

```
Adjusted predictions          Number of obs   =          393
```

```
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

```
at           : capacit=1000   =    1.667005 (mean)
```

	Delta-method		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Margin	Std. Err.				
_cons	.4364026	.026794	16.29	0.000	.3838872	.4889179

Logit results

```
. margins
```

```
Predictive margins          Number of obs   =          393
```

```
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

	Delta-method		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Margin	Std. Err.				
_cons	.4351145	.0224736	19.36	0.000	.3910671	.4791619

```
. margins , atmeans
```

```
Adjusted predictions          Number of obs   =          393
```

```
Model VCE      : OIM
```

```
Expression   : Pr(vi_ever), predict()
```

```
at           : capacit~1000   =    1.667005 (mean)
```

	Delta-method		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Margin	Std. Err.				
_cons	.4413192	.0280628	15.73	0.000	.3863171	.4963214

- One can use any cumulative density function (cdf).
- Most popular are probit and logit.
- Differences in ME between probit and logit small. If you only are interested in ME (and especially with large data), OLS works OK.
- Choice may depend on convenience / prior practice.

Why not LPM?

- Sometimes you are interested in the actual parameters, not only the ME.
- Example: estimating the demand for a good in order to understand substitution patterns.