

Mathematics for Economists: Lecture 2

Juuso Välimäki

Aalto University School of Business

Spring 2021

Content of Lecture 2

- ▶ In Lecture 1, a gentle introduction to a linear model of market equilibrium.
- ▶ This Lecture:
 1. Gaussian elimination via an example
 2. Economic application 1: input-output model (Leontieff)
 3. Linear equations without full rank
 4. Economic application 2: linear model of exchange (Gale)
 5. Connections from applications to other models

Gaussian Elimination

- ▶ Consider the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned}2x_1 - x_2 &= 0 \\-x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 &= 0 \\-x_2 + 2x_3 - x_4 &= 0 \\-x_3 + 2x_4 &= 5\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Write this in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Gaussian Elimination

- ▶ The augmented matrix corresponding to this system is:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 5 \end{array} \right).$$

- ▶ Step 1: Eliminate all terms a_{j1} below the first *pivot* a_{11} (i.e. first non-zero element in column 1). In this case, just multiply the first row by $\frac{1}{2}$ and add to second row.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 5 \end{array} \right)$$

Gaussian Elimination

- ▶ Step 2: Eliminate all terms below the second pivot: add the second row multiplied by $\frac{2}{3}$ to the third row.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 5 \end{array} \right)$$

Gaussian Elimination

- ▶ Step 3: Eliminate the term below the third pivot: add row 3 multiplied by $\frac{3}{4}$ to row 4.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{4} & 5 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Step 4: At this point, the matrix is in *row echelon form*, i.e. each row starts with more zeros than the previous row.
- ▶ Last row reads: $\frac{5}{4}x_4 = 5$ or $x_4 = 4$. You can substitute this back to row 3 that says $\frac{4}{3}x_3 - x_4 = 0$ to get $x_3 = 3$. From row 2, $x_2 = 2$ and from row 1, $x_1 = 1$.
- ▶ In Gauss-Jordan elimination, one eliminates all elements above and below the pivot in these steps.
- ▶ This requires more eliminations, but avoids the substitutions in the last step

Gaussian Elimination: Second Example

- ▶ Consider another example:

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$$

$$x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

- ▶ In matrix form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Gaussian Elimination: Second Example

- ▶ Step 1:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Notice that now the second pivot is in row 3. Swapping rows 2 and 3 does not change the solution to an equation. So Step 2: Swap rows 2 & 3:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Now you can read $x_3 = 1$, $x_2 = 0$, and $x_1 = 1$.

Gaussian Elimination: General Principles

- ▶ Three *elementary row operations* that leave the solutions to systems of equations unchanged:
 1. Multiplying a row by a real number
 2. Adding rows to other rows
 3. Swapping rows
- ▶ Every matrix can be transformed to its row echelon form by elementary row operations.
- ▶ The *rank* of a matrix is the number of non-zero rows in its row echelon form.
- ▶ A linear system of equations $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution if and only if the rank of the coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} is equal to the rank of the augmented matrix $(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$.
- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b}) = n$, the solution is unique, if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b}) < n$, then the system has infinitely many solutions.

Economic Application 1: Linear Input-Output Model

- ▶ Suppose (as Leontieff in the 1950's did) that an economy consists of a few aggregated sectors, for simplicity: Manufacturing, Agriculture and Services.
- ▶ If you have access to national accounts, you can compute the following: how much (say in monetary terms) each sector produces final consumer product denoted by $\mathbf{b} = (b_A, b_M, b_S)$.
- ▶ You can also compute how much each sector uses the products of the three sectors as intermediate goods or inputs in the production of the output:
 - ▶ For $i, j \in \{A, M, S\}$ denote by a_{ij} the amount of sector i product needed to produce one unit in sector j .
- ▶ Let's assume that production is linear:
 - ▶ To produce x_j units in sector j , you need $a_{ij}x_j$ units of sector i product.
- ▶ Can you describe this economy via a system of linear equations?

Linear Input-Output Model

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_A, x_M, x_S)$ denote the total production vector for all sectors.
- ▶ We have the basic accounting identities (e.g. here for agriculture):

$$x_A = a_{AA}x_A + a_{AM}x_M + a_{AS}x_S + b_A.$$

- ▶ On the left-hand side is the total agricultural production and on the right hand-side, we have the uses of those products as intermediate products needed in the other sectors and as final consumption.
- ▶ We have three simultaneous linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x_A &= a_{AA}x_A + a_{AM}x_M + a_{AS}x_S + b_A, \\x_M &= a_{MA}x_A + a_{MM}x_M + a_{MS}x_S + b_M, \\x_S &= a_{SA}x_A + a_{SM}x_M + a_{SS}x_S + b_S.\end{aligned}$$

Linear Input-Output Model

- ▶ Write in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_{AA} & -a_{AM} & -a_{AS} \\ -a_{MA} & 1 - a_{MM} & -a_{MS} \\ -a_{SA} & -a_{SM} & 1 - a_{SS} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_A \\ x_M \\ x_S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_A \\ b_M \\ b_S \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Or more concisely as:

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b},$$

where \mathbf{I} is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and \mathbf{A} is the matrix of coefficients a_{ij} .

- ▶ The system has a unique solution for all \mathbf{b} if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = n$. But is this good enough? Shouldn't we also have

$$\mathbf{x} \geq 0?$$

Linear Input-Output Model: Bad Numerical Example

- ▶ Suppose that to produce $a_{ij} = 0$ and $a_{ji} = 1$ for $i \neq j$.
- ▶ Then we have the augmented matrix ($I - \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{b}$):

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & -1 & b_A \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & b_M \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & b_S \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Elimination using the first pivot gives:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & -1 & b_A \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & b_M + b_A \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & b_S + b_A \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ You see immediately from the last two lines above that in any solution to the system, $x_M, x_S < 0$ for positive final consumptions and we conclude that $I - \mathbf{A}$ is not a valid input-output matrix.

Linear Input-Output Model: Positive solutions

- ▶ Is there a reasonable condition that would guarantee the existence of positive solutions?
- ▶ We say that a matrix \mathbf{D} is a *dominant diagonal* matrix if
 1. $d_{ij} > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
 2. $d_{ij} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$,
 3. $\sum_{i=1}^n d_{ij} > 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ The first condition just says that the production of any sector needs less of its own product as input than it gets as output.
- ▶ The second says that each sector produces a single output.
- ▶ The third condition means that each sector produces a positive value added (since we use the dollar values for inputs and outputs from the national accounts).

Linear Input-Output Model: Positive solutions

Proposition

If \mathbf{D} is an $n \times n$ dominant diagonal matrix, then the equation system

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

has a unique solution $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{b} \geq 0$.

A proof is provided at the end of these lecture notes for those interested in seeing how these models work.

Linear Input-Output Model: Good Numerical Example

Suppose we have the the following input-output matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_A \\ x_M \\ x_S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & .2 & .6 \\ .3 & 0 & .1 \\ .5 & .4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_A \\ x_M \\ x_S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_A \\ b_M \\ b_S \end{pmatrix}.$$

Is it possible to produce $(b_A, b_M, b_S) = (1, 1, 1)$ The augmented matrix $(I - \mathbf{A}|\mathbf{b})$ for this input-output system is:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -.2 & -.6 & 1 \\ -.3 & 1 & -.1 & 1 \\ -.5 & -.4 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Linear Input-Output Model: Good Numerical Example

Eliminating the first column gives:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -.2 & -.6 & 1 \\ 0 & .94 & .28 & 1.3 \\ 0 & -.5 & .7 & 1.5 \end{array} \right)$$

For numerical ease, eliminate the middle element in the third column with the last equation to get:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -.2 & -.6 & 1 \\ 0 & 1.14 & 0 & .7 \\ 0 & -.5 & .7 & 1.5 \end{array} \right)$$

Now you can solve: $x_M = \frac{.7}{1.14} = .61$, by substituting, you get $x_S = 2.58$, and $x_A = 2.67$

Input-Output Model: How to use it?

- ▶ So far we have talked about the quantities side of production.
- ▶ What about prices and value added?
- ▶ Let v_i be the value added per unit of production in sector i and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$.
- ▶ Then v_i is the i^{th} element in the row vector $\mathbf{p}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$, where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) > 0$ is the price vector for the goods. (Exercise: Can you show that for each $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$, such a price vector exists?)
- ▶ One fundamental identity for national accounts is that the total value added in the economy equals the value of final consumption or $\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{b}$.
- ▶ This follows from the fact that they both equal $\mathbf{p}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x}$.

Economic Application 2: A Linear Model of Exchange

- ▶ Economics: What is the simplest imaginable model of international trade?
- ▶ Mathematics: Should we ever be interested in matrices without full rank?
- ▶ Imagine n countries.
- ▶ Country j spends fraction a_{ij} of its income on goods from country i .
- ▶ Let $x_i(t)$ be the income of country i in trading round t .
- ▶ No income enters the system from the outside and all income from round t is spent on goods from the n countries in round $t + 1$.

A Linear Model of Exchange

- ▶ If all income is spent, this means that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} = 1$ for all j .
- ▶ Let $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$. Then we have:

$$\mathbf{x}(t+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t),$$

where \mathbf{A} is the exchange matrix with ij^{th} element a_{ij} .

- ▶ Does a stable distribution of income exist?
- ▶ With this we ask if an $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ exists such that:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}.$$

A Linear Model of Exchange

- ▶ by writing the left hand side as $I\mathbf{x}$, we see that this is the same having:

$$(I - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

- ▶ Non-zero solutions to a homogenous equation exist if and only if the matrix on the left hand side does *not* have full rank.
- ▶ Consider the following exchange matrix:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} .2 & .2 & .6 \\ .2 & .4 & .1 \\ .6 & .4 & .3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ For the sake of some variety, let's check the rank of this matrix by computing its determinant
- ▶ $\det \mathbf{A} = .2(.12 - .04) - .2(.06 - .24) + .6(.02 - .24) = .016 + .036 - .132 \neq 0$
so it has full rank.

A Linear Model of Exchange

- ▶ What about $(I - \mathbf{A})$?

$$(I - \mathbf{A}) = \begin{pmatrix} .8 & -.2 & -.6 \\ -.2 & .6 & -.1 \\ -.6 & -.4 & .7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ You can see that the third row is the sum of the first two rows multiplied by minus 1 and the rank is not full.
- ▶ You may recall from Matrix Algebra that we say that 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} .
- ▶ If you eliminate the first column with the first pivot, you get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} .8 & -.2 & -.6 \\ 0 & .55 & -.25 \\ 0 & -.55 & .25 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A Linear Model of Exchange

- ▶ Eliminating using the second pivot gives the row echelon form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} .8 & -.2 & -.6 \\ 0 & .55 & -.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ This shows that any vector of the form $x_3(\frac{5}{44} + .75, \frac{5}{11}, 1)$ satisfies the homogenous equation.
- ▶ We say that $(\frac{5}{44} + .75, \frac{5}{11}, 1)$ is an eigenvector of **A**.
- ▶ Since x_3 is arbitrary, it is often nice to normalize the incomes to sum to 1:

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(\frac{38}{102}, \frac{20}{102}, \frac{44}{102} \right)$$

solves the equation.

Connections etc. for your information

- ▶ In week 6, we shall analyze the dynamics of $\mathbf{x}(t + 1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t)$.
- ▶ By repeated substitution, you see that $\mathbf{x}(k) = \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{x}(0)$ so we see again that the key is to understand what happens to matrices when you raise them to powers.
- ▶ In Problem Set 1, you can relate this mathematical structure to popularity rankings.
- ▶ The most important real world application of this is Google Pagerank for ranking web sites.
- ▶ In that case, a_{ij} is the fraction of outward links from site j linking to i .
- ▶ There \mathbf{x} solving $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} = 0$ is the vector of site ranks.

Next Lecture

- ▶ Non-linear economic models: utility functions and production functions
- ▶ Partial derivatives and total derivatives
- ▶ Derivative as a linear approximation of a non-linear function

Extra Material: Proof for Dominant Diagonal Matrices

Proposition

If \mathbf{D} is an $n \times n$ dominant diagonal matrix, then the equation system

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

has a unique solution $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{b} \geq 0$.

Proof We prove this Proposition by induction on the size of the matrix. For $n = 1$ the claim is true by condition 1 for dominant diagonal matrices.

The induction hypothesis is that for all $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ dominant diagonal matrices \mathbf{D}' , the equation system $\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique positive solution.

Extra Material: Proof for Dominant Diagonal Matrices

Need to show that if the claim is true for $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ dominant diagonal matrices, then it is also true for $n \times n$ dominant diagonal matrices.

In the first step, eliminate the first column of \mathbf{D} by using the first pivot $d_{11} > 0$.

After the elimination, the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix \mathbf{D}_{-11} consisting of rows and columns $\{2, \dots, n\}$ is:

$$\mathbf{D}_{-11} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{22} - \frac{d_{21}}{d_{11}} d_{12} & \cdots & d_{2j} - \frac{d_{21}}{d_{11}} d_{1j} & \cdots & \cdots & d_{2n} - \frac{d_{21}}{d_{11}} d_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ d_{i2} - \frac{d_{i1}}{d_{11}} d_{12} & \cdots & d_{ij} - \frac{d_{i1}}{d_{11}} d_{1j} & \cdots & \cdots & d_{in} - \frac{d_{i1}}{d_{11}} d_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ d_{n2} - \frac{d_{n1}}{d_{11}} d_{12} & & d_{nj} - \frac{d_{n1}}{d_{11}} d_{1j} & & & d_{nn} - \frac{d_{n1}}{d_{11}} d_{1n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Linear Input-Output Model: Positive solutions

We need to show that this is a dominant diagonal matrix, i.e. we need to verify conditions 1-3 for D_{-11} .

The diagonal elements of D_{-11} are positive (exercise) and the off-diagonal elements are negative.

To prove the claim we need to verify that the sum of the elements in column j is positive for all $j \geq 2$.

Summing the elements in column j , we get:

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (d_{ij} - \frac{d_{i1}}{d_{11}} d_{1j}).$$

Since the original matrix D is by assumption a dominant diagonal matrix, we have:

$$\sum_{i=2}^n d_{ij} > -d_{1j}, \text{ and } \sum_{i=2}^n -\frac{d_{i1}}{d_{11}} d_{1j} > d_{1j}.$$

Hence the claim follows by summing.

Extra Material: Proof for Dominant Diagonal Matrices

For the augmented matrix $(\mathbf{D}|\mathbf{b})$, the rightmost column entries remain positive after the elimination step.

By the induction hypothesis, for each positive \mathbf{b}' , there is a unique positive vector (x_2, \dots, x_n) solving

$$\mathbf{D}_{-11}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}'$$

Substituting into the first row of the original matrix \mathbf{D} gives that x_1 is uniquely determined and positive. This proves the claim. \square

Extra Material on the Input-Output Model

- ▶ Consider the following reasoning: A final goods vector \mathbf{b} generates intermediate goods demand for production $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}$.
- ▶ To produce the intermediate goods $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}$, generates production demand $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})$.
- ▶ Continuing this line of thinking for K rounds you need $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 + \dots + \mathbf{A}^K)\mathbf{b}$ of resources to satisfy the final demand and all the intermediate stages.
- ▶ By multiplying out $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 + \dots + \mathbf{A}^K) = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{K+1})$, you see that $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{A}^k = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ if $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{A}^K = 0$.
- ▶ Notice that whenever $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{A}^K = 0$, we see immediately that all elements in $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ are positive since all elements in \mathbf{A} are positive.
- ▶ Hence also $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ is positive for all positive \mathbf{b} .