

Project portfolio selection under incomplete information of future scenarios and utility

Johannes Mäkinen Presentation 11 22.10.2021

> MS-E2191 Graduate Seminar on Operations Research Fall 2021

The document can be stored and made available to the public on the open internet pages of Aalto University. All other rights are reserved.

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basics
- 3. Modelling incomplete information
- 4. Dominance structures
- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



Introduction

- □ Multiple investment projects:
- Each project can have: multiple attributes, several resource constraints, project interdependencies,...
 - One-time investment can be large, we have to assess risk
- In addition, exogenous uncertainties (which are not influenced by the projects) e.g. macroeconomic developments
 - Rate of industry growth: "[Finnish forest] ... The growth target supports climate objectives and creates opportunities for the use of wood resources". –Business Finland
 - Raw material shortages: "And right now, there just aren't enough of [microchips] to meet industry demand. As a result, many popular products are in short supply". BBC
 - Pandemics...



1. Introduction

2. Basics

- 3. Modelling incomplete information
- 4. Dominance structures
- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



Basics

□ Possible investment projects $X^0 = \{x^1, ..., x^m\}$

One-time investments that require only initial budgeting

 \Box Each project has an outcome in *n* disjoint scenarios $\Omega = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$

• Value of project x^j in scenario s_i is $x^j(s_i)$

 \Box Portfolio value in scenario s_i

•
$$X(s_i) = \sum_{x^j \in X} x^j$$
 (s_i), where $X = \{X \mid X \subseteq X^0\}$



Basics

 \Box The probability of scenario s_i is p_i

• Scenario probabilities $p = (p_1, ..., p_n)^T$ belong to the set $P^0 = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n | p_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$

 \Box The expected value of portfolio X is $\mathbb{E}_p[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i X(s_i)$

□ The optimization problem is thus:

$$\max_{X \in X_F} \mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] = \max_{z \in \{0,1\}^{\wedge} m} \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i u\left(\sum_{j=1}^m z_j x^j(s_i)\right) | Az \le B\}$$
$$z(X) \in \{0,1\}^m \text{ is such that } z_j(X) = 1 \text{ iff } x^j \in X$$



22.10.2021 6

The problem statement visualised Expert estimates on actions' scenario values and relative costs.

| j | Action title | Uncertain supply of plantation wood | Wood has no use in energy production | Uncertain/ volatile wood prices | Molecule level high-tech paper products | Nano-science has failed | All wood is certified | Wild wood markets dominate | Strong environmental regulation | Cost a _j |
|----|----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | $x^{j}(s_{1})$ | $x^{j}(s_{2})$ | $x^{j}(s_{3})$ | $x^{j}(s_{4})$ | $x^{j}(s_{5})$ | $x^{j}(s_{6})$ | $x^{j}(s_{7})$ | $x^{j}(s_{8})$ | |
| 1 | CO2 technology (T) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2.5 |
| 2 | Flexible production (O) | 6 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 3 | Less price sensitive (O) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Focus on South America (O) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | Vertical integration (O) | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 6 | Deinked pulp (O) | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | Erasable paper (T) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 8 | Production in China (O) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 9 | Multi-fibre units (T) | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| 10 | Bio refineries (O) | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 11 | Smart papers (T) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 12 | Hybrid media (T) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 13 | Broad portfolio (O) | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 14 | Small mills (O) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 15 | Fusions (S) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 16 | Secure raw material (S) | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| 17 | Technology company (S) | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 18 | Traditional technology (T) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2.5 |
| 19 | Adaptable production (O) | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 20 | Mass-customisation (O) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Mini-mills (O) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 22 | Brand paper (O) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | Region portfolio (O) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2.5 |
| 24 | Paper collection (S) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5 |

Source: Liesiö, J., & Salo, A. (2012). Scenario-based portfolio selection of investment projects with incomplete probability and utility information.



Aalto University School of Science

22.10.2021 7

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basics
- 3. Modelling incomplete information
- 4. Dominance structures
- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



Modelling incomplete information

 \Box Feasible probabilities $P \coloneqq \{p \in P^0 | A_p p \le B_p\}$

- A_p and B_p are derived from statements about the scenario probabilities
 - \succ s₁ more probable than s₂ → p₁ ≥ p₂

 - $\triangleright \quad \underline{p_i} \le p_i \le \overline{p_i}$
- □ If multiple experts give different probability estimates, the set *P* can be defined as the convex hull of the estimates



Modelling incomplete information

□ Feasible utilities $U \subseteq U^0$, $U^0 = \{u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1] | u(t) \ge u(t') \forall t \ge t'\}$

• Elicitation of incomplete information is easier than standard elicitation:



Though, again the experts can give differing preferences

 \Box The information set is $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{U}$



22.10.2021 10

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basics
- 3. Modelling incomplete information

4. Dominance structures

- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



Dominance structures

Definition. Portfolio *X* dominates *X*'with regard to information set $S = P \times U$, denoted $X \succ_S X'$ if

 $\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] \ge \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')] \forall (p, u) \in S \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] > \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')] \text{ for some } (p, u) \in S \end{cases}$

Portfolio dominates another if

- 1. its expected utility is at least as high for all feasible scenario probabilities and utility functions
- 2. there exist some scenario probabilities and a utility function for which it has a strictly higher expected utility



Dominance structures

Theorem 1: Let $P \subseteq P^0$, $U \subseteq U^0$ and choose portfolios $X, X' \in \chi$. Then *i*. $X \succ_{P \times U} X' \leftrightarrow X \succ_{ext(P) \times U} X'$, *ii*. $X \succ_{P^0 \times U} X' \leftrightarrow X(s_i) \ge X'(s_i) \forall i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, Where at least one of the inequalities in (*ii*) is strict for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$

Portfolio dominance can be checked by comparing the expected utilities at the extreme points of the set of feasible scenario probabilities
 If no constraints on probabilities (P = P⁰), every extreme point occurs with a probability of 1



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basics
- 3. Modelling incomplete information
- 4. Dominance structures
- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



□ First, we can discard all dominated portfolios and determine the set $X_N(P^0 \times U^0)$, because $X_N(P \times U) \subseteq X_N(P^0 \times U^0)$

□ Pareto-optimal solutions to the MOZOLP problem

 $\max_{z} \{Cz | Az \leq B, z \in \{0,1\}^{m} \}$ Where $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ with $[C]_{ij} = x^{j}(s_{i})$ contains the projects' scenariospecific values.



22.10.2021 15

□ Second, with our information set $S = P \times U \subseteq P^0 \times U^0$, we can determine the min. and max. expected utility differences for each pair of portfolios *X* and *X'* at each <u>extreme point</u> $p \in ext(P)$

$$\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i u(X(s_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i u(X'(s_i))$$

□ Now,
$$X \succ_S X'$$
 iff:
1. min. { $\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')]$ } ≥ 0 ∀ $p \in ext(P)$
2. max. { $\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')]$ } > 0 for some $p \in ext(P)$



22.10.2021 16

□ Consider a two-scenario problem:

• Constrain $P = \{p = (p_1, p_2) \in P^0 | p_1 \in [0.4, 0.5]\}$ $\rightarrow ext(P) = \{(0.4, 0.6), (0.5, 0.5)\}$



• Set sorted values to $\hat{v} = (2,3,5) \& \hat{u} = u(\hat{v}), \hat{u} \in [0,1]^3, \hat{u}_j \le \hat{u}_{j+1}$

Now, at extreme point (0.4,0.6), the expected utility difference is: $\mathbb{E}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}[u(X')] = 0.4u(5) + 0.6u(3) - 0.4u(2) - 0.6u(5)$ = -0.4u(2) + 0.6u(3) - 0.2u(5)

For increasing utility functions, the above attains its minimum -0.2 at $\hat{u} = (0,0,1)^T \rightarrow X$ does not dominate X'



We can introduce additional constraints to restrict utility functions to be concave:

$$\frac{\hat{u}_j - \hat{u}_{j-1}}{\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_{j-1}} \ge \frac{\hat{u}_{j+1} - \hat{u}_j}{\hat{v}_{j+1} - \hat{v}_j} \longleftrightarrow \frac{u(3) - u(2)}{3 - 2} \ge \frac{u(5) - u(3)}{5 - 3} \longleftrightarrow -2u(2) + 3u(3) - u(5) \ge 0$$

$$u(2) = 0, u(5) = 1 \rightarrow u(3) \ge \frac{1}{3}$$

The expected utility difference is minimised when $u(3) = \frac{1}{3}$, (and maximised when u(3) = 1)

$$p = (0.4,0.6): \mathbb{E}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}[u(X')] = -0.4u(2) + 0.6u(3) - 0.2u(5) = 0$$
$$p = (0.5,0.5): \mathbb{E}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}[u(X')] = -0.5u(2) + 0.5u(3) = \frac{1}{6}$$

 \rightarrow X dominates X'



22.10.2021 18

Quick recap on what we just did:

- 1. Find non-dominated portfolios without any information on probabilities or utilities
- 2. Use our information set to narrow down the set of non-dominated portfolios
 - We only need to look at the extreme points of the probability space!
- 3. Add constraints to our utility functions to express the risk preferences



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basics
- 3. Modelling incomplete information
- 4. Dominance structures
- 5. Computation of non-dominated portfolios
- 6. Application



22.10.2021 20

Explore the possible future operation environments of the global forest industry

D Experts estimated:

- 24 actions (possible investment projects)
- 8 different scenarios
- Cost of each action
- Value of each completed action in each scenario

□ Portfolio cost is constrained $\leq \frac{1}{3}$ · (sum of all actions)



Expert estimates on actions' scenario values and relative costs.

| j | Action title | Uncertain supply of plantation wood | Wood has no use in energy production | Uncertain/ volatile wood prices | Molecule level high-tech paper products | Nano-science has failed | All wood is certified | Wild wood markets dominate | Strong environmental regulation | Cost a _j |
|----|----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | $x^{j}(s_{1})$ | $x^{j}(s_{2})$ | $x^{j}(s_{3})$ | $x^{j}(s_{4})$ | $x^{i}(s_{5})$ | $x^{j}(s_{6})$ | $x^{j}(s_{7})$ | $x^{j}(s_{8})$ | |
| 1 | CO2 technology (T) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2.5 |
| 2 | Flexible production (O) | 6 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 3 | Less price sensitive (O) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Focus on South America (O) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | Vertical integration (O) | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 6 | Deinked pulp (O) | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | Erasable paper (T) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 8 | Production in China (O) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 9 | Multi-fibre units (T) | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| 10 | Bio refineries (O) | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 11 | Smart papers (T) | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 12 | Hybrid media (T) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 13 | Broad portfolio (O) | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 14 | Small mills (O) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 15 | Fusions (S) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 16 | Secure raw material (S) | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| 17 | Technology company (S) | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 18 | Traditional technology (T) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2.5 |
| 19 | Adaptable production (O) | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 20 | Mass-customisation (O) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Mini-mills (O) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 22 | Brand paper (O) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | Region portfolio (O) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2.5 |
| 24 | Paper collection (S) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5 |

Source: Liesiö, J., & Salo, A. (2012). Scenario-based portfolio selection of investment projects with incomplete probability and utility information.



Aalto University School of Science

22.10.2021 22

□ Five different information sets were constructed using

Rank ordering:

 $P^{R} = \{ p \in P^{0} | p_{3} \ge p_{2} \ge p_{4} \ge p_{8} \ge p_{5} \ge p_{7} \ge p_{6} \ge p_{1} \}$

• Incomplete rank ordering:

$$P^{IR} = \{ p \in P^0 | p_{i_a} \ge p_{i_b} \ge p_{i_c} \ge p_{i_d} \forall i_a \in \{2,3\}, \\ i_b \in \{4,8\}, i_c \in \{5,7\}, i_d \in \{6,1\} \}$$

• Centroid set:

$$\hat{p} = \sum_{p \in ext(P^R)} p/n$$

- Linear utility $\{u_L\}$
- Strictly increasing concave utility U^A







77 Aalto University School of Science

22.10.2021 24

MS-E2191 Graduate Seminar on Operations Research: "Introduction to Portfolio Decision Analysis and Efficiency Analysis"

Summary

- □ The framework produces non-dominated portfolios with incomplete information on scenario probabilities and/or risk preferences
- Interactive exploration of non-dominated portfolios by adding more elicited information
- □ Sensitive to point estimated probabilities



References

Liesiö, J., & Salo, A. (2012). Scenario-based portfolio selection of investment projects with incomplete probability and utility information. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *217*(1), 162-172.

Finland updates national forest strategy, (2019, February 18), Business Finland, https://www.businessfinland.fi/en/whats-new/news/2019/finland-updates-nationalforest-strategy

Chris Baraniuk (2021, August 27), 'Why is there a chip shortage?', BBC, https://www.bbc.com/news/business-58230388

COVID-19 frontpage, World Health Organisation, https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019



22.10.2021 26

Homework

Task 1

- Describe <u>briefly</u> a decision making problem where you are faced with <u>exogenous</u> uncertainties.
 - Slides 21-22 but way simpler, 3-5 investment projects (actions) and 3-5 scenarios
 - Remember to explain why the scenarios could affect the actions

Task 2

- List at least three (3) reasons why the framework should be used in project portfolio selection
 - There are multiple good reasons listed in the paper

Send the answers to *johannes.makinen@aalto.fi* with title "MS-E2191_HW_11" by 29.10.2021 9.00



22.10.2021 27

Why do we need to check only the extreme points?

Theorem 1. Let $P \subseteq P^0$, $U \subseteq U^0$ and choose portfolios $X, X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$(i)X \succ_{P \times U} X' \iff X \succ_{ext(P) \times U} X',$$

$$(ii)X \succ_{P^0 \times U} X' \iff X(s_i) \ge X'(s_i) \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where at least one of the inequalities in (ii) is strict for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Liesiö, J., & Salo, A. (2012). Scenario-based portfolio selection of investment projects with incomplete probability and utility information.



Proof of Theorem 1. Part (i) ' \Leftarrow ': Assume $X \succ_{ext(P) \times U} X'$ which implies

$$\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] \ge \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')] \forall p \in \{p^1, \dots, p^t\}, \quad u \in U,$$

where $\{p^1, \ldots, p^t\} = \text{ext}(P)$. Any $p \in P$ is a linear combination of these extreme points, i.e., $p = \sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k p^k$, where $\alpha_k \ge 0$. For any $(p, u) \in P \times U$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{p}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{p}[u(X')] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \left[u(X(s_{i})) - u(X'(s_{i})) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \alpha_{k} p_{i}^{k} \left[u(X(s_{i})) - u(X'(s_{i})) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{t} \alpha_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{k} \left[u(X(s_{i})) - u(X'(s_{i})) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{t} \alpha_{k} (\mathbb{E}_{p^{k}}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{p^{k}}[u(X')]) \ge \mathbf{0}, \end{split}$$

since all terms of the sum are non-negative. Thus $\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] \ge \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')]$ for all $(p, u) \in (P \times U)$ and the inequality is strict for some $p \in \text{ext}(P) \subset P$ and $u \in U$, which implies $X \succ_{P \times U} X'$. \Rightarrow : Assume $X \succ_{P \times U} X'$, which implies $\mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] \ge \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')]$ for all $(p, u) \in \text{ext}(P) \times U$, since $\text{ext}(P) \subset P$. Furthermore, exists $p \in P$, $p = \sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k p^k$, such that $0 < \mathbb{E}_p[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_p[u(X')] = \sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k (\mathbb{E}_{p^k}[u(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{p^k}[u(X')])$. Thus $\mathbb{E}_{p^k}[u(X)] > \mathbb{E}_{p^k}[u(X')]$ for some $p^k \in \text{ext}(P)$ which implies $X \succ_{\text{ext}(P) \times U} X'$.

Part (ii) Since the extreme points $\{p^1, \ldots, p^n\}$ of P^0 are of the form $p_i^i = 1$, $p_j^i = 0 \quad \forall j \neq i$, i) implies that dominance $X \succ_{(P^0 \times U)} X'$ holds if and only if $u(X(s_i)) \ge u(X'(s_i)) \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, u \in U$ (with the inequality strict for some *i* and *u*). Since $U \subseteq U^0$ contains only increasing utility functions (and at least one strictly increasing) the condition is equal to $X(s_i) \ge X'(s_i) \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with a strict inequality for at least one *i*. \Box

22.10.2021 28