

CS-C3240 – Machine Learning D

Stephan Sigg

Department of Communications and Networking Aalto University, School of Electrical Engineering stephan.sigg@aalto.fi

Version 1.0, February 2, 2022



Understand the concepts of

- multilayer perceptron
- backpropagation
- convolution
- pooling







Deep Learning CNN (basics)





Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 3 / 33







Neural networks are also known as multilayer perceptrons





Neural networks are also known as multilayer perceptrons

→ However, the model comprises multiple layers of logistic regression models (with continuous nonlinearities) rather than multiple perceptrons (with discontinuous nonlinearities)

(Important, since the model is therefore differentiable which will be required in the learning process)





For the input layer, we construct linear combinations of the input variables x_1, \ldots, x_{D_1} and weights $w_{11}, \ldots, w_{D_1 D_2}^{(1)}$

$$z_j^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{D_1} w_{ij}^{(1)} x_i + w_{0j}^{(1)}$$

Each value $a_j^{(I)}$ in the hidden and output layers $I, I \in \{2, ..., L\}$ is computed from $z_j^{(I)}$ using a differentiable, non-linear activation function

$$m{a}_{j}^{(l)}=m{f}_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{act}}^{(l)}\left(m{z}_{j}^{(l)}
ight)$$





Input layer linear combinations of x_1, \ldots, x_{D_1} and $w_{11}, \ldots, w_{D_1D_2}$

$$z_j^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{D_1} w_{ij}^{(1)} x_i + w_{0j}^{(1)}$$

Activation function: Differentiable, non-linear

$$a_j^{(2)} = f_{ ext{act}}^{(2)}\left(z_j^{(2)}
ight)$$

 $f_{\rm act}(\cdot)$ is usually a sigmoidal function or tanh





Values $a_i^{(2)}$ are then linearly combined in hidden layers:

$$Z_k^{(3)} = \sum_{j=1}^{D_2} w_{jk}^{(2)} a_j^{(2)} + w_{0k}^{(2)}$$

with $k = 1, ..., D_L$ describing the total number of outputs

Again, these values are transformed using a sufficient transformation function f_{act} to obtain the network outputs

 $f^{(3)}_{\mathrm{act}}(z^{(3)}_k)$





Combine these stages to achieve overall network function:

$$h_{k}(\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{w}) = f_{act}^{(3)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_{2}} w_{jk}^{(2)} f_{act}^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_{1}} w_{ij}^{(1)} x_{i} + w_{0j}^{(1)} \right) + w_{0k}^{(2)} \right)$$

(Multiple hidden layers are added analogously)





Combine these stages to achieve overall network function:

$$h_{k}(\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{w}) = f_{act}^{(3)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_{2}} w_{jk}^{(2)} f_{act}^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_{1}} w_{ij}^{(1)} x_{i} + w_{0j}^{(1)} \right) + w_{0k}^{(2)} \right)$$

(Multiple hidden layers are added analogously)

We speak of Forward propagation since the network elements are computed from 'left to right'





Combine these stages to achieve overall network function:

$$h_{k}(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = f_{act}^{(3)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_{2}} w_{jk}^{(2)} f_{act}^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D_{1}} w_{ij}^{(1)} x_{i} + w_{0j}^{(1)} \right) + w_{0k}^{(2)} \right)$$

(Multiple hidden layers are added analogously)

We speak of Forward propagation since the network elements are computed from 'left to right'

This is can be seen as logistic regression where features are learned in the first stage of the network





Classification

2 classes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_{-1}

- Output interpreted as conditional probability $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_1 | \vec{x})$
- Analogously, we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_{-1}|\overrightarrow{x}) = 1 \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_{1}|\overrightarrow{x})$

K classes C_1, \cdots, C_K

- Binary target variables $y_k \in \{0, 1\}$
- Network outputs are interpreted as $h_k(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = \mathcal{P}(y_k = 1 | \vec{x})$





Notable results

With linear activation functions of hidden units \Rightarrow Always find equivalent network without hidden units

(Composition of successive linear transformations itself linear transformation)





Notable results

Number of hidden units < number of input or output units \Rightarrow not all linear functions possible

(Information lost in dimensionality reduction at hidden units)





Notable results

Neural networks are Universal approximators^{1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8} \Rightarrow 2-layer linear NN can approximate any continuous function

- ²G. Cybenko: Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. Mathematics of control, signals and systems, 2, 304-314, 1989
- ³K. Hornik, M. Sinchcombe, H. White: Multilayer feed-forward networks are universal approximators. Neural Networks, 2(5), 359-366, 1989
- ⁴N.E. Cotter: The stone-Weierstrass theorem and its application to neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 1(4), 290-295, 1990

⁵Y. Ito: Representation of functions by superpositions of a step or sigmoid function and their applications to neural network theory. Neural Networks 4(3), 385-394, 1991

¹K. Funahashi: On the approximate realisation of continuous mappings by neural networks, Neural Networks, 2(3), 183-192, 1989

⁶K. Hornik: Approximation capabilities of multilayer feed forward networks: Neural Networks, 4(2), 251-257, 1991

⁷Y.V. Kreinovich: Arbitrary non-linearity is sufficient to represent all functions by neural networks: a theorem. Neural Networks 4(3), 381-383, 1991 ⁸P. P. Dickey, Pattern Passer, and Neural Networks, Acceleration of the State and Acceleration of the State and Neural Networks 4(3), 381-383, 1991

⁸B.D. Ripley: Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks. Cambridge University Press, 1996

Loss function for Logistic regression

$$L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i (\log h(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) (\log (1 - h(x_i))) \right] \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j^2$$

Loss function for Neural networks





Loss function for Logistic regression

$$L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \left(\log h(x_i) \right) + (1 - y_i) \left(\log \left(1 - h(x_i) \right) \right) \right] \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j^2$$

Loss function for Neural networks

$$\begin{split} L[(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}),h(\cdot)] &= \\ -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1-y_{ic}) \log(1-(h(x_i))_c) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{D_l} \sum_{j=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{ji}^{(l)})^2 \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}[(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}),h(\cdot)] &= \\ &-\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1-y_{ic}) \log(1-(h(x_i))_c) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2 \end{split}$$





$$L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w^{(l)}_{vu})^2$$

- m Number of training samples
- C Number of classes (output units)
- L Count of layers
- D₁ Number of units at layer /





$$L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w^{(l)}_{vu})^2$$

- m Number of training samples
- C Number of classes (output units)
- L Count of layers
- D₁ Number of units at layer /

One cost function for each respective output (class)



$$L[(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}),h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1-y_{ic}) \log(1-(h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$$





Neural networks – Loss function $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{v=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$

Aim minimise $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] (\min_{W} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$





Neural networks – Loss function $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$

Aim minimise $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] (\min_{W} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$ Required $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{u_{i}}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)]$





Neural networks – Loss function $\mathcal{L}[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$

Aim minimise $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] (\min_{W} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$

Required $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{vu}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)]$

Backpropagation (effectively compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$

$$\delta_u^{(I)}$$
 Error of node *u* in layer *I*
Layer *L* $\delta_u^{(L)} = a_u^{(L)} - y_u \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y}$



Neural networks – Loss function $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$

Aim minimise $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] (\min_{W} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$

Required $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{vu}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)]$

Backpropagation (effectively compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{w}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$

$$\delta_{u}^{(l)} \text{ Error of node } u \text{ in layer } l$$
Layer L

$$\delta_{u}^{(L)} = a_{u}^{(L)} - y_{u} \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y}$$
Layer l

$$\overrightarrow{\delta^{(l)}} = (W^{(l)})^{T} \overrightarrow{\delta^{(l+1)}} \circ f'_{\text{act}}(\overrightarrow{z^{(l)}})$$



Neural networks – Loss function $\mathcal{L}[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{ic} \log(h(x_i))_c + (1 - y_{ic}) \log(1 - (h(x_i))_c) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \sum_{u=1}^{D_l} \sum_{v=1}^{D_{l+1}} (w_{vu}^{(l)})^2$

Aim minimise $L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)] (\min_{W} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$ Required $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{*}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)]$

Backpropagation (effectively compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{v_{ij}}^{(l)}} L[(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), h(\cdot)])$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{u}^{(I)} & \text{Error of node } u \text{ in layer } I \\ \text{Layer } L & \delta_{u}^{(L)} = a_{u}^{(L)} - y_{u} \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y} \\ \text{Layer } I & \overrightarrow{\delta^{(I)}} = \left(W^{(I)} \right)^{T} \overrightarrow{\delta^{(I+1)}} \circ f_{\text{act}}' (\overrightarrow{z^{(I)}}) \\ & (\circ \rightarrow \text{Hadamard product (Element-wise multiplication)}) \\ & (f_{\text{act}}' \rightarrow \text{Derivative of the activation function}) \end{split}$$

Aalto University School of Electrical Engineering



Element-wise multiplication

Hadamard product

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} b_{11} & a_{12} b_{12} & a_{13} b_{13} \\ a_{21} b_{21} & a_{22} b_{22} & a_{23} b_{23} \\ a_{31} b_{31} & a_{32} b_{32} & a_{33} b_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_u^{(I)}$) $\delta_u^{(I)}$ Error of node *u* in layer *I* Layer *L* $\delta_u^{(L)} = a_u^{(L)} - y_u \Rightarrow \overline{\delta^{(L)}} = \overline{a^{(L)}} - \overline{y}$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$) $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$ Error of node *u* in layer *I* Layer *L* $\delta_{u}^{(L)} = \mathbf{a}_{u}^{(L)} - \mathbf{y}_{u} \Rightarrow \overline{\delta^{(L)}} = \overline{\mathbf{a}^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_u^{(I)}$) $\delta_u^{(I)}$ Error of node *u* in layer *I* Layer *L* $\delta_u^{(L)} = a_u^{(L)} - y_u \Rightarrow \overline{\delta^{(L)}} = \overline{a^{(L)}} - \overline{y}$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$) $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$ Error of node *u* in layer *I* Layer *L* $\delta_{u}^{(L)} = \mathbf{a}_{u}^{(L)} - \mathbf{y}_{u} \Rightarrow \overline{\delta^{(L)}} = \overline{\mathbf{a}^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$) $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$ Error of node u in layer ILayer L $\delta_{u}^{(L)} = a_{u}^{(L)} - y_{u} \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y}$ Layer I $\overrightarrow{\delta^{(I)}} = (W^{(I)})^{T} \overrightarrow{\delta^{(I+1)}} \circ f'_{act}(\overrightarrow{z^{(I)}})$







Backpropagation (computation of the $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$) $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$ Error of node u in layer ILayer L $\delta_{u}^{(L)} = a_{u}^{(L)} - y_{u} \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y}$ Layer I $\overrightarrow{\delta^{(I)}} = (W^{(I)})^{T} \overrightarrow{\delta^{(I+1)}} \circ f'_{act}(\overrightarrow{z^{(I)}})$







Backpropagation (computation of the
$$\delta_{u}^{(I)}$$

 $\delta_{u}^{(I)}$ Error of node *u* in layer *I*
Layer *L* $\delta_{u}^{(L)} = a_{u}^{(L)} - y_{u} \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{\delta^{(L)}} = \overrightarrow{a^{(L)}} - \overrightarrow{y}$
Layer *I* $\overrightarrow{\delta^{(I)}} = (W^{(I)})^{T} \overrightarrow{\delta^{(I+1)}} \circ f'_{act}(\overrightarrow{z^{(I)}})$










Remarks

Initialisation of weights

 w_{ij} <u>have to</u> be initialised randomly !

 $w_{ij} = \mathbf{0} || w_{ij} = w_{kl} \forall i, j, j, l \Rightarrow \delta_u^{(l)}$ will be identical $\forall u$

































































Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 20 / 33















Neural networks

Deep Learning CNN (basics)





Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 21 / 33

Deep Learning introduction

Successes of DNNs

In recent years, deep neural networks have let to breakthrough results for various pattern recognition problems such as computer vision or voice recognition.

- Convolutioal neural networks had an essential role in this success
- CNNs can be thought of having many identical copies of the same neuron
 - \rightarrow lower number of parameters

Imagenet

Introduced CNNs which largely improved on existing image classification results at that time ^{*a*}



^aKrizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks.





What is convolution?



 $\begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ g_{j} \\ \end{array} (f \neq g)(\overline{z}) = \sum_{\overline{a'} + \overline{a'} = \overline{z'}} f(\overline{a'}) \circ g(\overline{b'}) \\ \overline{a' + \overline{a'} = \overline{z'}} \end{array}$ f(a) q(5[°])





How to use convolution with images?

Example: Blur images

We can blur parts of images by averaging a box of pixels: $g(\cdot) \rightarrow \gamma$











How to use convolution with images?

Example: Detect edges

We can detect edges in images by taking the values -1 and 1 in two adjacent pixels and 0 everywhere else:

Similar adjacent pixels: $y \approx 0$

Different adjacent pixels: |y| large



University of Electrical











Convolution in Neural Networks

Convolution function

Deviate from fully connected input layer

•
$$z_k^{(2)} = f_{\text{act}} \left(w_{0k}^{(2)} + \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^{(2)} x_{k+i} \right)$$







Convolution in Neural Networks

Convolution function

Deviate from fully connected input layer

•
$$z_k^{(2)} = f_{\text{act}} \left(w_{0k}^{(2)} + \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^{(2)} x_{k+i} \right)$$







Convolution in Neural Networks

Convolution function

Deviate from fully connected input layer

•
$$z_k^{(2)} = f_{\text{act}} \left(w_{0k}^{(2)} + \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^{(2)} x_{k+i} \right)$$

here:
$$z_k^{(2)} = f_{\text{act}} \left(w_{0k}^{(2)} + w_{11}^{(2)} x_k + w_{12}^{(2)} x_{k+1} \right)$$

1	٤
×	1
×	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$-7Z_{1}$
מ	
1	>
/	





#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>W</b> 1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	]
	<i>W</i> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	<b>W</b> _{2,3}	<i>W</i> _{2,4}	
W =	<i>W</i> _{3,1}	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<i>W</i> _{3,4}	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
		• • •	• • •	• • •	·. ]

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$  sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid



#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>w</b> 1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	]
	<i>W</i> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	<b>W</b> _{2,3}	<i>W</i> _{2,4}	
W = 1	<i>W</i> _{3,1}	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<i>W</i> _{3,4}	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
					•.
l		• • •	• • •	• • •	· · ]

## CNN weight matrix (here)

	<b>W</b> 1,1	<b>₩</b> 1,2	0	0	
<b>W</b> =	0	$W_{1,1}$	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	0	
	0	0	W _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	
	0	0	0	W1,1	
					•.
		• • •	• • •	• • •	·

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$  sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid





#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>w</b> 1,1	<i>W</i> 1,2	<i>W</i> 1,3	<i>W</i> _{1,4}	]
	<b>W</b> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	<b>W</b> _{2,3}	<b>W</b> _{2,4}	
W = 1	<i>W</i> _{3,1}	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<i>W</i> _{3,4}	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
l		•••	• • •	• • •	· · ]

## CNN weight matrix (here)

	<b>W</b> 1,1	<b>₩</b> 1,2	0	0	
<b>W</b> =	0	<i>w</i> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	0	
	0	0	<b>W</b> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	
	0	0	0	<b>W</b> 1,1	
					•.
		• • •			•

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$ sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid





#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>W</b> 1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	
	<i>W</i> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	<b>W</b> _{2,3}	<b>W</b> _{2,4}	
W =	<i>W</i> _{3,1}	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<b>W</b> 3,4	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
					•.
		• • •	• • •	• • •	•

## CNN weight matrix (here)

	[ w _{1,1}	<b>W</b> 1,2	0	0	
<b>W</b> =	0	<i>W</i> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	0	
	0	0	<b>W</b> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	
	0	0	0	<b>W</b> 1,1	
					۰.
	L				•

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

## Multiplying CNN weight matrix $\equiv$ sliding a function [..., 0, $w_{11}$ , $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the $x_i$

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid



#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>w</b> 1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	]
	<i>W</i> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	<b>W</b> _{2,3}	<i>W</i> _{2,4}	
W = 1	<i>W</i> _{3,1}	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<i>W</i> _{3,4}	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
					•.
l		• • •	• • •	• • •	· · ]

## CNN weight matrix (here)

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$ sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

# Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid



#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

	<b>w</b> 1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	]
	<b>W</b> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	W _{2,3}	<i>W</i> _{2,4}	
W = 1	<b>W</b> 3,1	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<b>W</b> 3,4	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
					·.
l		• • •	• • •	•••	•

## CNN weight matrix (here)

	<b>W</b> 1,1	<b>₩</b> 1,2	0	0	
<b>W</b> =	0	<i>w</i> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	0	
	0	0	<b>W</b> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	
	0	0	0	<b>W</b> 1,1	
					•.
		• • •			•

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$ sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

# A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs $x_{ij}$ in a 2D grid



#### **Convolution in Neural Networks**

## Traditional weight matrix

<i>W</i> =	W1,1	<b>W</b> 1,2	<b>W</b> 1,3	<b>W</b> 1,4	]
	<b>W</b> _{2,1}	<b>W</b> _{2,2}	W _{2,3}	<i>W</i> _{2,4}	
	<b>W</b> 3,1	<i>W</i> 3,2	<b>W</b> 3,3	<b>W</b> 3,4	
	<b>W</b> 4,1	<b>W</b> 4,2	<b>W</b> 4,3	<b>W</b> 4,4	
					·.
l		• • •	• • •	•••	•

## CNN weight matrix (here)

	[ w _{1,1}	<b>W</b> 1,2	0	0	
<b>W</b> =	0	<i>w</i> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	0	
	0	0	<b>W</b> _{1,1}	<i>W</i> _{1,2}	
	0	0	0	<b>W</b> 1,1	
					·.,
		• • •		• • •	•

Neurons exclusively defined by their weights  $\rightarrow$  same weights  $\equiv$  identical copies of a neuron

Multiplying CNN weight matrix  $\equiv$  sliding a function [..., 0,  $w_{11}$ ,  $w_{12}$ , 0, ...] over the  $x_i$ 

Analogous to reuse of functions in programming: Learn neuron once and apply in multiple places

A 2D conv. layer (image classification) canonically over inputs  $x_{ij}$  in a 2D grid



## **CNN overview**

#### Different types of layers in a CNN



## Interpretation: Convolution and pooling used as activation functions





## **CNN overview**

#### Feature maps – Kernels







## **CNN overview**

Pooling layers – Pooled feature maps

Pooling reduces the dimension of an input representation

Allows to make assumptions about features contained in the binned sub-regions

Common types of pooling

Max pooling pick the maximum Min pooling pick the minimum







Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 30 / 33



## Speech prediction from audio samples Input evenly spaced samples

Symmetry Audio has local properties (frequency, pitch, ...) that are useful everywhere in the input → group neurons that look at small time segments to compute features

- Activation the output of each *convolutional layer* is fed into a fully-connected layer
- Stacking Higher-level, abstract features found by stacking convolutional layers
- Pooling Pooling layers *zoom out* to allow later layers to operate on larger

sections







Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 31 / 33

#### Speech prediction from audio samples

nput evenly spaced samples

- Symmetry Audio has local properties (frequency, pitch, ...) that are useful everywhere in the input  $\rightarrow$  group neurons that look at small time segments to compute features
  - Activation the output of each *convolutional layer* is fed into a fully-connected layer
  - Stacking Higher-level, abstract features found by stacking convolutional layers
  - Pooling Pooling layers *zoom out* to allow later layers to operate on larger

sections







Stephan Sig February 2, 2022 31 / 33

#### Speech prediction from audio samples

Symmetry Audio has local properties (frequency, pitch, ...) that are useful everywhere in the input → group neurons that look at small time segments to compute features

Activation the output of each *convolutional layer* is fed into a fully-connected layer

Stacking Higher-level, abstract features found by stacking convolutional layers

Pooling Pooling layers *zoom out* to allow later layers to operate on larger

sections







Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 31 / 33

#### Speech prediction from audio samples

Symmetry Audio has local properties (frequency, pitch, ...) that are useful everywhere in the input → group neurons that look at small time segments to compute features

- Activation the output of each *convolutional layer* is fed into a fully-connected layer
- Stacking Higher-level, abstract features found by stacking convolutional layers

Pooling Pooling layers *zoom out* to allow later layers to operate on larger sections







Stephan Sigg February 2, 2022 31 / 33

#### Speech prediction from audio samples

Input evenly spaced samples Symmetry Audio has local properties (frequency, pitch, ...) that are useful everywhere in the input → group neurons that look at small time segments to compute features

- Activation the output of each *convolutional layer* is fed into a fully-connected layer
  - Stacking Higher-level, abstract features found by stacking convolutional layers
  - Pooling Pooling layers *zoom out* to allow later layers to operate on larger sections




## **Questions?**

Stephan Sigg stephan.sigg@aalto.fi

> Si Zuo si.zuo@aalto.fi





## Literature

- C.M. Bishop: Pattern recognition and machine learning, Springer, 2007.
- R.O. Duda, P.E. Hart, D.G. Stork: Pattern Classification, Wiley, 2001.







