

## **Lecture 1: What exactly is a plasma?**

## **Today's menu**

- Saha equation and definition of plasma
- Debye length & plasma sheath
- Plasma frequency
- Concept of quasineutrality
- Plasma parameter
- Weakly and strongly coupled plasmas
- Examples of plasma



## **Different states of matter**

Consider  $H_2O$ :

- $T < 0^{\circ}C$   $\rightarrow$  ice = solid state
- $0^{\circ}C < T < 100^{\circ}C$   $\rightarrow$  water = liquid state
- $T > 100^{\circ}C$  → vapor = gaseous state

Moving from one state to another happens via *phase transitions* where energy is either released or absorbed by the system: *latent heat*



### **Is 3 states of matter the best we can do?**

What happens if we further heat the system = pump energy into it?

i.e., is there a possibility of moving yet to another, *qualitatively different* state of matter?

What can happen to a material that has already been broken to its basic constituents, i.e., atoms?

… atoms are *not* basic constituents of matter…

So let's go a step deeper in …



# **Qualitative considerations**



**Aalto University School of Science** 

## **From gas to plasma**

- In each state of matter, the constituents are bound together with different interactions that are broken by additional energy introduced to the system.
- In gas, there are no binding interactions between the constituents or,what we have so far *considered* basic consitutents !
- Consider the simpliest element, hydrogen:



Atom  $= e + i$ on

Ionization energy =  $13.6$  eV



The gas would thus need to be heated to 16 000 K to rip off the electrons ...



## **Note: the temperature unit in plasma physics**

For units of temperature, eV is the natural one because it is the *energy* that is relevant, not temperature as we experience it

- Ionization energies
- Maxwellian distribution
- Conversions:
	- $1 \text{ eV} \approx 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ J}$
	- $k_B \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^{-23}$  J/K  $\approx 8.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$  eV/K

Thus we shall replace  $k_B T$  by just  $T$  – and understand that it is in eV



## **The Saha equation**

- If the temperature is not far above that corresponding to ionization energy, the competing process, *recombination*, makes the matter consist of both neutral and charged particles, i.e., be *partially ionized*.
- The degree of ionization is given by the Saha equation:

$$
\frac{n_i}{n_n} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{27} T^{3/2} n_i^{-1} e^{-U_i/T}
$$

where  $U_i = ionization$  energy and  $[T] = eV$ .



## **The physics of Saha**

- Ionization requires strong head-on collisions
- Velocity distribution in a gas = Maxwellian
	- $\rightarrow$  # of particles with  $E_{kin} > U_i$  depends exponentially on *T*
- Recombination rate depends on # of electrons  $n_e \sim n_i$ 
	- $\rightarrow$   $1/n_i$  dependence due to recombination
		- $\rightarrow$   $n_i$  starts to rapidly increase when  $T \rightarrow U_i$ , but is limited by 'itself', i.e., by recombination







Usual air (mostly nitrogen) in room temperature, *T =* 20∘ *:*

- 20∘ *~ 0,03 eV:*
- $U_i(N) \sim 14,5 \text{ eV}$   $\rightarrow n_i$
- $n_n \sim 3 \times 10^{25} \text{ m}^{-3}$

Interstellar (hydrogen) *plasma*:

- *T ~* 10 − 20∘ *~ 0,002 eV*
- $n_n \sim 1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$
- → ionization is rare, but recombination is even rarer!!! → plasma



$$
\Rightarrow n_i/n_n \sim 10^{-122} \sim 0
$$

Lagoon nebula *(ESO)*



## **Intuitive look at plasma as a state of matter**

Why consider plasma as a separate state of matter? Isn't it just one kind of gas?

What distinguishes different states of matter:

*nature of interactions !!!*

- 1. Solid:
	- Fixed structure due to *strong* bonds = ES interactions between *nearest* neighbors: strong means *Ekin << Ubond*





## **... Fluids …**

- 2. Liquid:
	- $E_{kin} \rightarrow U_{bond} \rightarrow$  some mobility but still sticking together
- 3. Gas:
	- $E_{kin} > U_{bond}$  independent (neutral) constituents, interactions via head-on collisions
- 4. Plasma:
	- $E_{kin} > U_i$   $\rightarrow$  Charged particles  $\rightarrow$  *Coulomb interactions* with *infinite range, 1/r*
- $\rightarrow$  In this sense plasma is more fundamentally different from the other states of matter than those are from each other!





**STATE OF MATTER** 



## **The concept of a** *fluid*

Why then is it common to lump plasmas together with liquids & gases and call them *fluids?*

Consider and attractive 'girl/man/person' in a pub.

If you enter the pub after her, you probably won't notice her/him/ $X - (s)$ he is surrounded by other 'men/women/persons'  $\rightarrow$  (s)he is *shielded*.

The same happens in plasmas: free charges are shielded,  $\Phi_{Coulomb} \propto \frac{e}{\tau}$  $-\frac{r}{1}$  $\lambda_{D}$  $\boldsymbol{r}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  In some considerations the plasma can be treated almost like a regular gas, i.e., forget the long range interactions



## **INTERMEDIATE NOTE:** *do not sneer at people in the past…*

See how far the ancient Greeks got without advanced math and modern measuring instruments …

*Do not under-estimate the power of thinking !*





#### Earth

**Water** 





#### Air



## **Fire**





16

## **Any gas be partially ionized… when should it be considered a plasma?**

The definition of a plasma is not given as a critical number for the Saha equation but, rather, in a more complicated manner:

*"A plasma is a quasineutral gas of charged particles which exhibits collective behaviour"*

- *Collective behaviour* = motions that depend not only on the local conditions but also on the state of the plasma in more remote regions
- *Quasineutrality:* over-all neutrality allowing local charge non-uniformities



# **Getting more quantitative …**



**Aalto University School of Science** 

## **Debye length**

- We already saw what happens to an attractive *person* in a pub.
- The 'shielding distance'  $\lambda_D$ , is called the *Debye length.*
- This shielding is also important in *plasma diagnostics*, e.g. when measuring something with metal probes inserted to plasma.





## **From Debye length to plasma sheath**

- Assume 'cold' plasma:
	- Here 'cold' means *no thermal motion*
	- $\rightarrow$  Shielding charges just sit there
	- $\rightarrow$  Perfect shielding
- $T \neq 0$ 
	- Allow thermal motion
	- $\rightarrow$  Potentials of the order of  $k_B T$  can leak into the plasma
	- $\rightarrow$   $\boldsymbol{E} \neq 0$  within the *sheath region* ...





## **Width of the sheath region?**

- For simplicity, take a 1D situation
- *m<sup>i</sup> /m<sup>e</sup> ~ 2000*

 $\rightarrow$  assume ions fixed, electrons mobile

- Poisson equation:  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{c}$  $\varepsilon_0$  $\leftrightarrow -\frac{d^2\Phi}{d^2x}$  $d^2x$  $=\frac{1}{2}$  $\varepsilon_0$  $e(n_i - n_e)$
- Electron velocity distribution when electrostatic potential Φ is present:

$$
f(x, v) = Ae^{-E_{tot}/T} = Ae^{-(\frac{1}{2}mv^2 - e\Phi(x))/T}
$$

• Infinitely far from the probe  $\Phi$ =0  $\blacktriangleright$   $n_e(\infty)$  =  $A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-({\frac{1}{2}})}$  $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$ )/T  $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}mv^2)/T} dv = n_i \equiv n_0$ −∞





## **Sheath = Debye!**

So we have  $n_e(x) = n_0 e^{e\Phi(x)/T}$ 

'Far enough' from the plate (finding the range of electric field):  $\frac{e\Phi(x)}{x}$  $\overline{T}$ ≪ 1

$$
\varepsilon_0 \frac{d^2 \Phi}{d^2 x} \approx en_0 \left(1 + \frac{e \Phi(x)}{T} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e \Phi(x)}{T}\right)^2 + \dots - 1\right) ; \text{ Taylor expansion}
$$

Keep only the 1st order:

$$
\varepsilon_0 \frac{d^2 \Phi}{d^2 x} \approx e n_0 \frac{e \Phi(x)}{T} \implies \Phi(x) \approx \Phi_0 e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda_D}}
$$

Where  $\lambda_D^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 T}{e^2 n}$  $e^2n_0$ is the *Debye length*(\*) *–* and the extent of the *plasma sheath*

(\*) *(also obtained for the girl-in-the-pub: HW)*



## **Observations on Debye length/sheath**

$$
\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 T}{e^2 n_0}}
$$

- Debye length/sheath is large when
	- temperature is high  $\rightarrow$  thermal motion allows for large excursions
	- Density is small  $\rightarrow$  need large distance to accumulate the enough electrons to cause the shielding
- Debye length/sheath is small when
	- *Reverse the above arguments*



## **Usefulness of Debye length**

Charge imbalances thus occur only in the scale of  $\lambda_D$ 

- A collection of charged particles behave like a plasma only if  $\lambda_D \ll L$ , where  $L$  is the size of the plasma/scale of the phenomenon
	- Any local charge concentrations and/or external potentials are shielded out within  $\lambda_D \ll L$
	- $\rightarrow$  Bulk of the plasma is free of large scale potential differences:

 $\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{\rho}{c}$  $\varepsilon_0$  $\approx 0 \rightarrow n_e \approx n_i$  ; difference typically of the order  $10^{-6}$ 

This common density  $n_e \approx n_i \equiv n_0$  is called the **plasma density** 



## **The concept of quasineutrality**

Plasma is *quasineutral*, which means that

*Plasma is neutral enough to assume*  $n_e \approx n_i \equiv n_0$  *but not so neutral as to eliminate all electromagnetic forces*

This can be satisfied when  $0 < \lambda_D \ll L$ : then potentials  $\Phi \sim T$  can easily be introduced by small charge imbalances



## **Weakly and strongly coupled plasmas**

Criterion for a plasma includes the size of the plasma… inconvenient → Let's look at a collection of charged particles in a different way:

- Inter-particle distance :  $r_d = n_0^{-1/3}$
- 'interaction' distance = distance of classical closest approach,  $r_c$ : 1 2  $mv^2 =$  $e^2$  $4\pi\varepsilon_0r_C$  $\rightarrow$   $r_C =$  $e^2$  $4\pi\varepsilon_0T$  $; \, < E_{kin} > 0$ 
	- $\bullet$   $\frac{r_d}{r_d}$  $r_{\mathcal{C}}$  $\ll$  1: particles closer than  $r_{C}$  of each other  $\rightarrow$  continuous *strong* interaction
	- ◆ Strongly coupled plasma (only in some astrophysical objects)
	- $\bullet$   $\frac{r_d}{r_d}$  $r_{\mathcal{C}}$  $\gg$  1: only occasional (strong) interaction,  $r_{\mathcal{C}}$  has some relevance
	- *Weakly coupled plasma* (dominated by *small-angle* Coulomb scattering …)



### **Plasma parameter**

Let us introduce a new parameter,

$$
\Lambda \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left(\frac{r_d}{r_c}\right)^{3/2}
$$

You will show that this can also be written as

$$
\Lambda = \frac{4}{3} n_0 \pi \lambda_D^3,
$$

i.e.,  $\Lambda$  gives the # of particles in a Debye sphere!

- Weakly coupled plasma: Λ ≫ 1, *'genuine'* plasma
- Strongly coupled plasma:  $\Lambda \ll 1$ , resembles liquids

#### $\rightarrow$  size-independent plasma criteria:  $\Lambda \gg 1$



## **As if this wasn't enough…**

Recall the definition of plasma: two things are required

- 1. Quasineutrality (which we just addressed), and
- 2. *collective phenomena…*

Phenomenologically, what sets a plasma apart from the other states of matter is its ability to generate and sustain *collective phenomena.*



#### **Example of a collective phenomenon**

- Move a slab of electrons by  $\delta x$ .
- $\rightarrow$  At the faces of the 'deprived' region there will be a surface charge  $+\sigma$  (right) and  $-\sigma$  (left):  $\sigma = en_0 \delta x$
- Use Gauss' law to obtain  $E_x : E_x = \sigma/\varepsilon_0$
- $\rightarrow$  Restoring force for each electron in the slab:

$$
m_e \frac{d^2 \delta x}{dt^2} = -eE_x \leftrightarrow \frac{d^2 \delta x}{dt^2} = -\frac{e^2 n_0}{m_e \varepsilon_0} \delta x
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \delta x = \delta x_0 \sin \omega_p t \text{ or } \delta x_0 \cos \omega_p t
$$

Plasma responds by oscillating at *plasma frequency*  $\omega_p^2 \equiv$  $e^2 n_0$  $m_e \varepsilon_0$ 





### **Yet another requirement for plasma…**

For a plasma to behave like a plasma,  $\omega_p$  has to be its highest frequency. Plasma oscillations (= collective phenomenon) is inhibited (= screwed up) if *collision frequency* is higher than the plasma frequency

 *For a collection of charges to be called a plasma, the collisions have to* occur on a time scale slower than  $\omega_p{}^{-1}$ .

Otherwise the dynamics is collision dominated and no collective phenomena can occur due to randomization by collisions.

This is why, for instance, the ionized gas in a jet exhaust is *not* a plasma.



#### **Prerequisites to be called a plasma**

1.  $\lambda_D \ll L$ 2.  $\Lambda \gg 1$ 3.  $\omega_p \tau_{coll} \gg 1$ 



## **Distinguishing features of plasma state**

- Electrically conductive, can generate electrical currents and magnetic fields
- Responds strongly to electromagnetic fields
- Each particle influences *simultaneously* many nearby particles leading to collective behaviour

#### *Plasma is very different from a regular gas*



#### **Gas vs plasma in a nutshell**





# **Examples of plasmas**



**Aalto University School of Science** 

## **99% of the universe …**

- **lightning**
- welding torch
- plasma ball
- fusion plasmas
- magnetosphere around Earth
- solar wind
- sun & other stars
- interstellar space















