

CHAPTER 11

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis

When an analyst begins to perform a risk analysis, he/she first must determine what exactly they are analyzing. For this chapter we must first determine what we consider a failure. Is a failure the total loss of a spacecraft, aircraft, ship, or chemical plant? Or is it the failure to ensure there are enough funds in an account before using a debit card? On 2 November 2006 the NASA Mars Global Surveyor last communicated with Earth. Up to that point the spacecraft that had been launched in 1996 had operated four times as long as the design life and sent back huge amounts of geographical data on the Red Planet. Therefore, the mission was a great success. However, on 2 November 2006 after the spacecraft was directed to perform a routine adjustment of its solar panels, it sent back that it had experienced a series of alarms. The spacecraft then indicated that it had stabilized. However, that was its final transmission. Next, the spacecraft reoriented to an angle that exposed one of two batteries carried on the spacecraft to direct sunlight. This caused the battery to overheat and ultimately led to the loss of both batteries. The communication antenna was not oriented correctly and kept the orbiter from telling controllers its status. The system's programmed safety response did not include making sure the spacecraft orientation was thermally safe, and it failed (1).

However, since it had already outperformed its original mission, had it truly failed? We all would like things we buy to live longer than we expect. The B-52 is an example of an aircraft that has far outlived its design life. In 1952 when the first B-52 flew, no one would have expected it to still be a major player in the second decade of the 2000s. So, as originally stated, we have to have a firm understanding of what is a failure before we begin an analysis.

11.1 INTRODUCTION

This section provides the basic instructions for performing a failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) and a failure mode, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA) for the purpose of analyzing procedures for risk. Also provided are examples of symbols and tables commonly used in the analysis process. An example of how these techniques are used for analyzing procedures is also provided.

11.1.1 Description

An FMEA is a detailed document that identifies ways in which a process or product can fail to meet critical requirements. It is a living document that lists all the possible causes of failure from which a list of items can be generated to determine types of controls or where changes in the procedures should be made to reduce or mitigate risk. The FMEA also allows procedure developers to prioritize and track procedure changes (2).

11.1.2 Why Is a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Effective?

The process is effective because it provides a very systematic process for evaluating a system or a procedure, in this instance. It provides a means for identifying and documenting:

1. Potential areas of failure in process, system, component, or procedure.
2. Potential effects of the process, system, component, or procedure failing.
3. Potential failure causes.
4. Methods of reducing the probability of failure.
5. Methods of improving the means of detecting the causes of failure.
6. Risk ranking of failures, allowing risk informed decisions by those responsible.
7. A starting point from which the control plan can be created.

11.1.3 Types of Failure Mode and Effects Analyses

1. Procedure: Documents and addresses failure points and modes in procedures.
2. Process: Documents and addresses failure modes associated with the manufacturing and assembly process.
3. Software: Documents and addresses failure modes associated with software functions.
4. Design: Documents and addresses failure modes of products and components long before they are manufactured and should always be completed well in advance of prototype build.

5. System: Documents and addresses failure modes for system and subsystem level functions early in the product concept stage.
6. Project: Documents and addresses failures that could happen during a major program.
7. This document focuses on using the FMEA process for analyzing procedures.

11.1.4 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Process

An FMEA is somewhat more detailed than a PHA and is conducted more on a step-by-step basis. Table 11.1 shows an example of an FMEA table. Note that a great deal of what is contained in a PHA is also contained in an FMEA. Therefore, this section will focus on the process of performing an FMEA.

The following constitutes the steps of an FMEA. These steps will be illustrated by the use of an example.

The first step is to create a flow diagram of the procedure. This is a relatively simple process in which a table or block diagram is constructed that shows the steps in the procedure. Table 11.2 shows the simple steps of starting a manual lawn mower. Note that this is a reasonable analysis and not an exhaustive analysis.

Table 11.3 shows the potential failure modes for each of the steps.

Table 11.4 shows the effect of the potential failures.

Table 11.5 lists the potential causes of the failures.

The basic process is complete once these four steps are completed. However, the next step in the FMEA process is very important for the procedure development process. This is providing a column listing the control measures for each of the potential failure causes. This step ensures that control measures are present and/or are adequate for each cause. It is very important to ensure that causes are not dismissed until there is an adequate control measure in place. Table 11.6 shows a listing of the control measures for each cause.

An additional technique used in FMEAs is to add the dimension of probability and criticality. This is known as an FMECA. An FMECA is an especially important technique for the assessment of risks in procedures because it can aid in:

1. The prioritization of steps/sections of procedures that need to be changed or the process changed to reduce risk.
2. Pointing out where warnings, cautions, or notes need to be added in procedures.
3. Pointing out where special precautions need to be taken or specialized teams/individuals need to perform tasks.

The criticality is mainly a qualitative measure of how critical the failure to the process really is. It is usually based on subject matter experts' opinion but can also be based on probability of occurrence and/or on the consequence or effect.

TABLE 11.1
Example FMEA Table

| Item | Potential failure mode | Cause of failure | Possible effects | Probability | Criticality | Prevention |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Step in procedure, part, or component | How it can fail: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failures can be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Pump not working ◦ Stuck valve ◦ No money in a checking account ◦ Broken wire ◦ Software error ◦ System down ◦ Reactor melting down | What caused the failure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Broken part Electrical failure Human error Explosion Bug in software | Outcome of the failures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing System crash Explosion Fire Accident Environmental release | How possible is it: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can use numeric values: 0.1, 0.01, or 1E-5 Can use a qualitative measure: negligible, low probability, high probability | How bad are the results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can use dollar value: \$10., \$1 000., or \$1 000 000 Can use a qualitative measure: nil, minimal problems, major problems | What can be done to prevent either failures or results of the failures? |

TABLE 11.2
Process Steps For Starting a
Lawn Mower

| |
|-----------------------------|
| FMEA, starting a lawn mower |
| Process steps |
| Check gas and oil |
| Fill as necessary |
| Set controls |
| Initiate starter |

TABLE 11.3
Failure Modes Associated With Process Steps

| FMEA, starting a lawn mower | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes |
| Check gas and oil | Unable to remove gas cap |
| | Unable to remove oil plug |
| | Unable to determine depth of oil |
| | Oil or gas spill |
| Fill as necessary | No oil available |
| | Gas station closed |
| | No gas container |
| | Overfill gas |
| | Overfill oil |
| Set controls | Water in gas or oil |
| | Controls broken |
| | No instruction available |
| Initiate starter | Controls out of adjustment |
| | Starter malfunction |
| | Cord broken |
| | Engine flooded |
| | Ignition system malfunction |

For the purposes of an FMECA, rough calculations can be developed using:

- Historical data
- A Delphi-like technique (3)
- Accident data
- Subject matter expert(s)
- Best estimate

Table 11.7 presents a way to calculate criticality based on probability.

TABLE 11.4
Effect of Potential Failures

| FMEA, starting a lawn mower | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes | Potential failure effects |
| Check gas and oil | Unable to remove gas cap | Delay in process or personal injury |
| | Unable to remove oil plug | Delay in process |
| | Unable to determine depth of oil | Delay in process or the potential to overfill oil level |
| | Oil or gas spill | Environmental damage or potential for fire |
| Fill as necessary | No oil available | Delay in process |
| | Gas station closed | Delay in process |
| | No gas container | Delay in process |
| | Overfill gas | Potential for a fire or environmental damage |
| | Overfill oil | Environmental damage |
| | Water in gas or oil | Delay in process or engine damage |
| Set controls | Controls broken | Delay in process |
| | No instruction available | Delay in process |
| | Controls out of adjustment | Delay in process or engine damage |
| Initiate starter | Starter malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary |
| | Cord broken | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary |
| | Engine flooded | Delay in process |
| | Ignition system malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary |

Note that the probability numbers in Table 11.5 provide an indication of the level of criticality and not an absolute failure probability.

Organizations have also developed risk matrices that can also be used to indicate criticality. Table 11.8 shows such a matrix. Note that these matrices provide a way to combine probability of occurrence with severity of consequence. Also note that these matrices are subjective in nature but do provide a way to systematically assess risk.

The following example (Table 11.9) shows all the elements of an FMECA developed for assessing the steps in the lawn mower-starting example. Note that probability can also be included. The first step in this process is to determine what does “criticality” mean in this context. Is it how bad might the consequences be or how critical the step is in the operation of the system? For this process we will make the assumption that criticality means how bad might the consequences be if we don’t perform the step correctly.

11.2 SUMMARY

FMEA and FMECA are very effective tools. They can be applied to a broad range of applications and industries and are effective in elucidating the vulnerabilities of a system and its subsystems. Like PHA, FMEA and FMECA are applied early in the

TABLE 11.5**Failure Mode And Effects Analysis With Potential Causes Of Failures Listed**

| FMEA, Starting a Lawnmower | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes | Potential failure effects | Potential causes of failures |
| Check gas and oil | Unable to remove gas cap | Delay in process or personal injury | Cap rusted or broken |
| | Unable to remove oil plug | Delay in process | Operator error or plug cross threaded |
| | Unable to determine depth of oil | Delay in process or the potential to overfill oil level | Operator error or poor lighting |
| | Oil or gas spill | Environmental damage or potential for fire | Operator error |
| Fill as necessary | No oil available | Delay in process | Lack of planning |
| | Gas station closed | Delay in process | Lack of planning |
| | No gas container | Delay in process | Lack of planning |
| | Overfill gas | Potential for a fire or environmental damage | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error |
| | Overfill oil | Environmental damage | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error |
| Set controls | Water in gas or oil | Delay in process or engine damage | Poor practices |
| | Controls broken | Delay in process | Was not proper used on prior occasion |
| | No instruction available | Delay in process | Instructions not properly stored on prior occasion |
| Initiate starter | Controls out of adjustment | Delay in process or engine damage | Controls not properly maintained |
| | Starter malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance |
| | Cord broken | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance |
| | Engine flooded | Delay in process | Improper use of controls |
| | Ignition system malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance |

TABLE 11.6
Complete Table

| FMEA, starting a lawn mower | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes | Potential failure effects | Potential causes of failures | Control measure |
| Check gas and oil | Unable to remove gas cap | Delay in process or personal injury | Cap rusted or broken | Cap maintenance program |
| | Unable to remove oil plug | Delay in process | Operator error or plug cross threaded | Operator training |
| | Unable to determine depth of oil | Delay in process or the potential to overfill oil level | Operator error or poor lighting | Operator training and provide additional lighting |
| | Oil or gas spill | Environmental damage or potential for fire | Operator error | Operator training |
| Fill as necessary | No oil available | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Ensure adequate oil is available |
| | Gas station closed | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Ensure fuel supply is available |
| | No gas container | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Provide equipment to minimize spill potential |
| | Overfill gas | Potential for a fire or environmental damage | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error | Provide equipment to minimize spill potential |
| | Overfill oil | Environmental damage | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error | Ensure fuel and oil containers are not exposed to sources of water |
| Set controls | Water in gas or oil | Delay in process or engine damage | Poor practices | |
| | Controls broken | Delay in process | Inspection and periodic maintenance | Institute inspection and periodic maintenance program |
| | Lack of labeling on the controls | Delay in process | Instructions not properly stored on prior occasion | Ensure controls are adequately labeled |
| Initiate starter | Controls out of adjustment | Delay in process or engine damage | Controls not properly maintained | Institute inspection and periodic maintenance program |
| | Starter malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | |
| | Cord broken | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | |
| | Engine flooded | Delay in process | Improper use of controls | |
| | Ignition system malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | |

TABLE 11.7
Criticality Based on Probability

| FMECA criticality | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Criticality | Relative probability rates | Probability rates |
| Very high: Failure is almost inevitable | 1 in 3 to 1 in 2 | 0.33 to >0.50 |
| High: Generally associated with processes similar to previous processes that have failed | 1 in 20 to 1 in 8 | 0.05 to 0.125 |
| Moderate: Generally associated with processes that have experienced occasional failures | 1 in 2 000 to 1 in 80 | 0.005 to 0.0125 |
| Low: Isolated failures associated with similar processes | 1 in 15 000 | 0.000 067 |
| Very low: Only isolated failures associated with almost identical processes | 1 in 150 000 | 0.000 006 7 |
| Remote: Failure unlikely. No failure ever associated with an almost identical processes | 1 in 1 500 000 | 0.000 000 67 |

TABLE 11.8
Example Risk Matrix

| Risk matrix | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Consequence | Probability of failure | | | | |
| No effect | Very low probability <1 in 1 000 000 | Low probability 1 in 1 000 000 to 1 in 100 000 | Moderate probability 1 in 100 000 to 1 in 10 000 | High probability 1 in 10 000 to 1 in 100 | Very high probability >1 in 100 |
| Minor consequence (repair costs less than \$100 or down time <1 h) | Low risk | Low risk | Low risk | Minor risk | Minor risk |
| Moderate consequence (repair costs from \$100 to 10 000 or down time from 1 to 24 h) | Low risk | Low risk | Minor risk | Moderate risk | High risk |
| High consequence (repair costs from \$10 000 to 100 000 or down time from 24 to 120 h or minor environmental spill or minor personal injury) | Low risk | Minor risk | Moderate risk | High risk | Very high risk |
| Severe consequence (repair costs >100 000 or down time >120 h or major environmental spill or severe injury or fatality) | Minor risk | Moderate risk | High risk | Very high risk | Severe risk |

TABLE 11.9
Criticality Analysis

| FMECA, starting a lawn mower | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes | Potential failure effects | Potential causes of failures | Control measure | Criticality of step |
| Check gas and oil | Unable to remove gas cap | Delay in process or personal injury | Cap rusted or broken | Cap maintenance program | Low criticality |
| | Unable to remove oil plug | Delay in process | Operator error or plug cross threaded | Operator training | |
| | Unable to determine depth of oil | Delay in process or the potential to overfill oil level | Operator error or poor lighting | Operator training and provide additional lighting | |
| | Oil or gas spill | Environmental damage or potential for fire | Operator error | Operator training | |
| Fill as necessary | No oil available | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Ensure adequate oil is available | High criticality if filling process is done incorrectly |
| | Gas station closed | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Ensure fuel supply is available | |
| | No gas container | Delay in process | Lack of planning | Ensure fuel supply is available | |
| | Overfill gas | Potential for a fire or environmental | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error | Provide equipment to minimize spill potential | |
| | Overfill oil | Environmental damage | Lack of adequate equipment or operator error | Provide equipment to minimize spill potential | |
| | Water in gas or oil | Delay in process or engine damage | Poor practices | Ensure fuel and oil containers are not exposed to sources of water | |

TABLE 11.9
(Continued)

| FMECA, starting a lawn mower | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------|
| Process steps | Potential failure modes | Potential failure effects | Potential causes of failures | Control measure | Criticality of step |
| Set controls | Controls broken | Delay in process | Inspection and periodic maintenance | Institute inspection and periodic maintenance program | Low criticality |
| | Lack of labeling on the controls | Delay in process | Instructions not properly stored on prior occasion | | |
| | Controls out of adjustment | Delay in process or engine damage | Controls not properly maintained | Ensure controls are adequately labeled | |
| | | | | Institute inspection and periodic maintenance program | |
| Initiate starter | Starter malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | | Low criticality |
| | Cord broken | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | | |
| | Engine flooded | | | | |
| | Ignition system malfunction | Delay in process and/or repairs necessary | Inadequate inspection or periodic maintenance | | |

The highly critical step in this process concerns adding oil or fuel. In these cases, then, warnings/cautions should be included in the procedure, or the system should be modified to include controls to prevent adding fuel to a hot engine.

design life of a system and used to ensure the system has no unidentified failure points. As with the Mars Global Surveyor, we have to determine up front what is a failure and what is success.

Self-Check Questions

1. Perform an FMEA on a small appliance.
2. In some cases an FMEA might be as detailed of a risk assessment that is needed. Why or why not?
3. Perform an FMEA on your house, apartment, or dorm room. Discuss what you found.
4. Perform an FMEA on your car, SUV, pickup, or public transportation system. Discuss what you found.
5. Do you think an FMEA should be performed on social media platform? Discuss what such an analysis might reveal.

REFERENCES

1. NASA (2007). Report Reveals Likely Causes of Mars Spacecraft Loss|NASA. http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/mgs/mgs (accessed August 2018).
2. Department of Defense (2012). *Standard Practice System Safety, Mil std 882E*. US Military.
3. Gertman, D. and Blackman, H.S. (1994). *Human Reliability and Safety Analysis Handbook*. New York: Wiley.