



Aalto University

# Network Security: RSA handshake (TLS 1.2 and earlier)

Tuomas Aura, Aalto University

CS-E4300 Network security

# Public-key encryption of session key

- Public-key encryption of the session key:

1.  $A \rightarrow B$ :  $A, B, PK_A$

2.  $B \rightarrow A$ :  $A, B, E_A(SK)$

$PK_A$  = A's public key


$SK$  = session key

$E_A(\dots)$  = encryption with A's public key

Note:  
The protocol  
is not secure  
like this. Please  
read further.

# Impersonation and MitM attacks


- Unauthenticated key exchange with public-key encryption suffers from the same **impersonation** and **man-in-the-middle** attacks as DH



- A has a shared secret, but with whom?

# Impersonation and MitM attacks

- Impersonating A is similarly possible because B does not know whether the public key really belongs to A:



- B has a shared secret, but with whom?

# Authenticated key exchange

- Authenticated key exchange with public-key encryption:

1.  $A \rightarrow B$ :  $A, B, N_A, \text{Cert}_A$

2.  $B \rightarrow A$ :  $A, B, N_B, E_A(KM), S_B(\text{"Msg2"}, A, B, N_A, N_B, E_A(KM)), \text{Cert}_B, \text{MAC}_{SK}(A, B, \text{"Responder done."})$

3.  $A \rightarrow B$ :  $A, B, \text{MAC}_{SK}(A, B, \text{"Initiator done."})$

$SK = h(N_A, N_B, KM)$

Somewhat realistic protocol (compare with TLS\_RSA)

Why nonces and not  $SK = KM$ ?

$KM$  = random key material (random bits) generated by B

$\text{Cert}_A, E_A(\dots)$  = A's certificate and public-key encryption to A

$\text{Cert}_B, S_B(\dots)$  = B's certificate and signature

$\text{MAC}_{SK}(\dots)$  = MAC with the session key

To match with the previous slide:  
A = Server, B = Client

# TLS\_RSA handshake

Client

Server

**ClientHello**

1. Parameter negotiation

2. Server certificate

**ServerHello**  
**Certificate\***  
**CertificateRequest\***  
**ServerHelloDone**

**Certificate\***  
**ClientKeyExchange**  
**CertificateVerify\***  
**ChangeCipherSpec**  
**Finished**

3. RSA encryption of key material

4. Client authentication with signature (typically omitted)

5. Key confirmation

**ChangeCipherSpec**  
**Finished**

[Application data]

[Application data]

6. Protected session data

# TLS\_RSA handshake

1. C → S: Versions,  $N_C$ , SessionId, CipherSuites
2. S → C: Version,  $N_S$ , SessionId, CipherSuite  
 $Cert_S$ , [ Root CAs ]
3. C → S: [  $Cert_C$  ]  
 $E_S(\text{pre\_master\_secret})$ ,  
[  $Sign_C(\text{all previous messages including})$  ]  
ChangeCipherSpec  
 $MAC_{SK}$  ("client finished", all previous messages)
4. S → C: ChangeCipherSpec  
 $MAC_{SK}$  ("server finished", all previous messages)

$E_S$  = RSA encryption (PKCS #1 v1.5) with S's public key from  $Cert_S$

$\text{pre\_master\_secret}$  = random byte string chosen by C

$\text{master\_secret} = h(\text{pre\_master\_secret}, \text{"master secret"}, N_C, N_S)$

# TLS\_RSA handshake

1. C → S: Versions,  $N_C$ , SessionId, CipherSuites
2. S → C: Version,  $N_S$ , SessionId, CipherSuite  
 $Cert_S$ , [ Root CAs ]
3. C → S: [  $Cert_C$  ]  
 $E_S(\text{pre\_master\_secret})$ ,  
[  $Sign_C(\text{all previous messages including})$  ]  
ChangeCipherSpec  
 $MAC_{SK}$  ("client finished", all previous messages)
4. S → C: ChangeCipherSpec  
 $MAC_{SK}$  ("server finished", all previous messages)

## Which security properties?

- Secret, fresh session key
- Mutual or one-way authentication
- Entity authentication, key confirmation
- Perfect forward secrecy (PFS)
- Contributory key exchange
- Downgrading protection
- Identity protection
- Non-repudiation
- Plausible deniability
- DoS resistance

$E_S$  = RSA encryption (PKCS #1 v1.5) with S's public key from  $Cert_S$

$\text{pre\_master\_secret}$  = random byte string chosen by C

$\text{master\_secret} = h(\text{pre\_master\_secret}, \text{"master secret"}, N_C, N_S)$