Survey: Questions and data analysis



Solo dwellers and domestic spatial needs in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area, Finland

Anne Tervo & Jukka Hirvonen

To cite this article: Anne Tervo & Jukka Hirvonen (2019): Solo dwellers and domestic spatial needs in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area, Finland, Housing Studies

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02673037.2019.1652251</u>



Published online: 14 Aug 2019.

Housing Design: Research and Practice Jukka Hirvonen & Anne Tervo 1.11.2021

Background: Why solo dwellers, why questionnaire?

- 1. Why this topic?
 - i. Growth of solo-living
 - ii. However, only few studies discussing solo dwellers' domestic spatial needs (focusing on dwelling features and housing design practices)
 - iii. Terminology: one-person households, solo dwellers and solo-living
- Research question: How much space and what type of domestic space is needed by solo dwellers? Focusing on working aged solo dwellers in the HMA.
- Testing and deepening findings of an interview study (Tervo & Lilius, 2016): Methodological goals!

Open web-based survey

=

in Helsingin Sanomat, national newspaper

••• • •

HS

How to start collaboration with the media?

0 1 0 +

What is it like at your home? Do you have guests? Do you clean? Is it nice to come home?

HS-kysely: Milaista sinun kotonastoikeasti on: Käykö vieraita, sivoatko, onko kiva

hs.fi

Nyt halutaan saada todellinen kuva suomalaisten kodeista. Onko teillä siivouspäivä, käykö vieraita, saatko päättää kodin asioista? Jos saisit lisää tilaa, mihin sen käyttäisit? Vastaa kyselyyn ja auta tutkijoita.

Alternatives to implement a survey for the residents

Remember to add data collection costs to your budget!

- 1. Open web survey (inexpensive, but data is not representative)
- 2. Postal survey for a representative sample (more expensive)
- 3. Survey by phone for a representative sample (better response rate but even more expensive)
- 4. Panel survey (a group of people that have been assembled, filled out a profile, and are willing to share their opinions, close to postal survey costs)

Example of a postal survey: Environmental Attitude Survey 2017 in Helsinki

- Simple random sample of 3 000 persons: 17–74 years old population in Helsinki
- After one reminder, sample was almost 1 000 responses, response rate 33 %
- Source: Hirvonen, Jukka & Vanhatalo, Maaria (2018). Ympäristöasenteet ja kaupunkikehitys Helsingissä ja Vantaalla. Research Series 2018:1. City of Helsinki, Executive Office, Urban Research and Statistics.

Survey (our case)

- 1. Multidisciplinary research team (interests: wellbeing, habits, housing)
- 2. 10 themes and 42 questions (mainly multiple-choice questions)
- 3. Themes: (1) Home routines, (2) eating habits, (3) use of social media at home, (4) work and home, (5) visitors, (6) pets, (7) power of decision, (8) satisfaction towards home, (9) how does your home feel like (two open-ended questions) and (10) dream home.
- 4. We used small amount of data that covered questions connected to housing design practices

Questions used in the analysis

- 1. What would be the ideal number of rooms needed by your household? Give the number of rooms excluding the kitchen.
- 2. What would be the ideal apartment size needed by your household?
- **3.** What kitchen type do you consider to be the best? Multiple-choice question (separate kitchen that is sufficiently large to accommodate a dining table, open plan kitchen connected to the living room or other space and kitchenette).
- 4. If you would receive additional ten square meters in your current dwelling, how would you use them? Choose all the spaces in which you would use these extra square metres. Multiple-choice question (living room, kitchen, dining space, bedroom, work space, bathroom, sauna, hallway, walk-in closet or other storage space and I do not need extra space.
- 5. I experience a shortage of space at home. Five-level scale ranging from completely agree (value 1) to completely disagree (value 5).

Defining survey questions

- 1. Ask questions that are relevant for your interests: surveys become easily too long and you lose respondents!
- Preliminary ideas about the analysis: Hypothesis (do your homework = 1. examine what has already been studied meaning ask what is NOT already known and 2. build your understanding on the existing knowledge)

OUR SURVEY:

- 1. Questions related to housing design practices were defined based on preceding interview study (N = 68)
 - a. Significance of the kitchen type
 - b. Shortage of space (more than one room)
- 2. Survey enabled to test the findings of interview study with a bigger sample (N = 1.453)

Background information (our case)

- 1. Related to analysis: Try to figure out what you need.
- 2. In addition to typical background information (age, gender, household size and composition, number of underaged children, postal code, education, income, position in labour market, dwelling type and number of rooms/apartment type, apartment size) we asked kitchen type (open-plan kitchen, separate kitchen with a dining table, separate kitchen without dining table, kitchenette, no kitchen).
- 3. What we should have asked: Year of construction.

Variables used in the analysis

Background information :

- Age, income, apartment size (floor area), apartment type (room number, kitchen excluded), household size (persons), kitchen type, postal code

Ideal/ preferred dwelling features:

- Dwelling type, dwelling size, kitchen type

Derived variables:

- Desired extra rooms
- Desired extra space (square meters)
- Dwelling density (space per person)
- Desired extra dwelling density

Small amount of variables can provide significant results.

Responses and analysis were based on the current housing situation.



Some methods for survey analysis

- In our article, we used only quite simple statistical methods: Calculating **means**, **medians** and other statistics, **cross tabulations**.
- Often these are quite sufficient for survey analysis. But, depending on research questions, sometimes also more complex methods are needed.
- Statistical models: e.g. how a set of explanatory variables (independent variables) predict one dependent variable. Various models depending on types of variables:
- 1. Linear regression: a dependent variable continuous, independent variables continuous/dichotomous
- 2. Logistic regression: a dependent variable dichotomous, independent variables continuous/dichotomous
- 3. ANOVA (analysis of variance): dependent variable continuous, independent variables categorical.

As the analysis tool we used **SPSS-program** – a good tool for survey data analysis. Other alternatives: SAS, Stata

Analyzing representativeness Comparison: Research data vs population statistics

- Before the analysis we explored the representativeness of the data: comparing features of the data with population statistics (Helsingin seudun aluesarjat, Statistics Finland)
- Highly important when not having representative sample (validity)

Age groups in our data:

- Age groups under 40 years were overrepresented and age groups over 50 years under-represented
- The age group of 40–49 years is "correctly" represented



Analyzing representativeness – continued



Location and gender in our data:

- Residents of Helsinki were over-represented
- Women were over-represented: in population 51 % women, in survey data 77 %.
- Women are typically over-represented in surveys. Young men are difficult to catch.

Self-selected sample and generalization of results

- Our data was self-selected sample (i.e. not representative sample), which is always biased.
- Therefore, resulted statistics (means, percentage values etc.) cannot be generalized for the whole population.
- However, this type of data allowed to study interdependencies of variables, e.g. current apartment size vs. experienced shortage of space.

Example of correction of nonresponse bias

- In a case of a random sample: possible to correct nonresponse bias by weighting responses.
- In the Environmental Attitude Survey 2017:
 - Young age groups were under-represented and older age groups over-represented.
 - We calculated for each age group a weight, which compensated this bias.
 - When analyzing the data every response
 were weighted → distributions and statistics
 were more reliable (better generalization for the population).



age group	weight
18-29	1,42
30-39	1,27
40-49	1,18
50-59	0,92
60-69	0,59
70-74	0,60

Current and ideal dwelling

"What would be the ideal number of rooms needed by your household? Give the number of rooms excluding the kitchen."



- Majority of solo respondents (85%) lived in apartments with one or two rooms (kitchen is not counted as a room).
- One-room apartments were inhabited by 42 per cent, but perceived as ideal by only 3 per cent.
- Three-room apartments were inhabited by 12 per cent, and perceived as ideal apartment type by 44 per cent.

Desires concerning extra rooms: a gap between a current and an ideal dwelling



- In an optimal situation, 48 per cent would like to have one extra room, and 23 per cent even two or more extra rooms.
- 27 per cent of the solo respondents already lived in their ideal apartment types with a majority (57%) of these respondents occupied two-room apartments.

Current and ideal dwelling size by income level

- Income level predicts strongly the current living space (not surprising)
- Also, dependency between the income level and ideal living space, but not linear.
- In general, somewhat realistic dreams and hopes (mainly one extra room): "Ideals" are realistic.



Terminology: Lessons learnt

- 1. Small things may cause big problems.
- 2. Example: using "ideal" (ideal apartment size, ideal number of rooms)
 - i. We used the Finnish word "ihanteellinen" that is not exactly "ideal".
 - ii. Translation: Think in advance how the words used in survey (applies to other data collection methods as well) can be translated. Important in non-English surveys!
- 3. Choose the terminology used in the field
 - i. Diminishes misunderstandings
 - ii. Makes it easy to define terminology/ key concepts
 - iii. In order to do this, it is necessary to considered analysis and even publishing processesbefore staring data collection (Significance of the literature review)
- 4. Respondents' (lay persons) language vs. Professionals' jargon

The experienced shortage of space by respondents' age



- More than a quarter of respondents in the age groups 20–49 experienced shortage of space (completely/somewhat agree the statement).
- In the age group 60–69 years this share was only 8 %.
- Statistics describing shortage of space (overcrowded) exclude one-person households (Norm 4)

Experienced shortage of space in relation to solo respondents' current dwelling size

- In dwellings (apartments) less than 31 m², 50 % experienced shortage of space.
- Even in dwellings less than 21 m² about half of respondents did not experience a shortage of space → Experiences seem to be very subjective and individual.
- In dwellings more than 50 m² almost nobody experienced shortage of space.



Subjective experience and dwelling density

- Interdependence between **measured dwelling density** (m² per person) and **experienced shortage of space** is different between the solo respondents and other respondents.
- In multi-person households, only 20 % experienced shortage of space when the dwelling density is 26–30 m², whereas almost a half of the solo respondents did so.
- The explanation: all apartments contain communal spaces (kitchen, bathroom, hallway), which are not necessarily notably different in size in different apartment types and sizes.
- The conclusion: it is not reasonable to compare dwelling density per capita between different household types, because solo dwellers lack the opportunity to share domestic spaces.



Desires concerning extra dwelling density – solo dwellers and multi-person households



When current dwelling density was controlled, we find a regularity: solo dwellers desired roughly 10 m² more extra space than two-person households, and roughly 12–13 m² more extra space than 3–4 persons households.

Overcrowding norm in Finnish statistics vs subjective experience of a shortage of space

- Finnish statistical norm of overcrowding: more than 1 person per room (a kitchen not included).
- As per norm, solo dwellers never live in overcrowded dwellings. Still, 22 % of solo respondents experienced a shortage of space.
- As per the norm, a third of 5- persons households and a half of 6-persons household live over-crowded. Still, much fewer of them (22–28 %) experienced a shortage of space (in our survey).
- Also other norms exit, e.g. EU-norm, which is quite different than the Finnish norm.



The overcrowding norm, "subjective overcrowding" and the number of rooms

Share of respondents who experienced a shortage of space, by a number of rooms and a number of residents

	Number of residents in a dwelling					
Number of	1	2	3	4	5	
rooms	person	persons	persons	persons	persons	
1	39 %	<mark>46 %</mark>	75 %			
2	15 %	29 %	59 %	65 %	67 %	
3	5 %	12 %	22 %	53 %	68 %	
4	4 %	6 %	12 %	28 %	31 %	
5		5 %	4 %	14 %	17 %	
6		6 %	0 %	1 %	16 %	

Yellow area = overcrowding according to the norm

- The norm does not support very well with respondents' subjective feeling.
- In one-room apartments, 39 % of solo respondents experienced a shortage of space.
- As per the norm, a 4-room dwelling with a 5-person family is overcrowded. However, almost 70 % of them did not experience a shortage of space (in our survey).

Some methodology related findings: Apartment type, kitchen type and shortage of space

- 1. 36% experienced shortage of space in 1-room apart. with an open-plan kitchen
- 2. 19% experienced shortage of space in 1-room apart. with separate kitchens
- 3. Apartment size did not explain the difference completely: 1-room apart. with an openplan kitchen, approx. 32m² and 1-room apart. with separate kitchens approx. 38m².



Figure 8. Floor plan diagrams of one-room apartments that illustrate the difference between kitchen types (open plan kitchen and separate kitchen).

Main points/ Conclusion

- 1. Background information and survey questions: What are you going to need in the analysis? Variables used in the analysis.
- 2. Difference between self-selected and representative sample
- 3. Terminology (language issues, field-specific terms and concepts)
- 4. Difference ways of conducting survey (resources: time and costs)

Networking: Find experts to work with (and who know different things than you do).