

**COMMENTS FOR THE PROPOSALS OF THE MID REVIEW 26.11.2021, /Anne Kangasniemi-Kuikka,
Liisa Mäkitalo, Kaisa Niilo-Rämä**

In general:

- Transparency especially in the wall structures
- Main attention on the roof, it's architecture and wooden structures. Also the functionality (water, snow)
- Cost-effectiveness
- Lightning (highlights)
- Installing / fastening the glass walls
- Paying attention on the elements of the park such as the memorial, trees and electricity transformer
- The intention is not to let people go inside the shelter or to build a meeting shelter.

Anastasyia Volkova

- Nice and simple enough
- More innovative ideas for the roof? Pent roof (open to south)?
- Back wall could be less transparent -> more contrast between different sides of the shelter
- Pays well attention to the park

Bunta Morikawa

- Too massive compared to the space available
- Water element expensive and difficult to maintain
- Wood architecture?
- Goal is not to get a hang around place
- Using logs as a part of the structure is a nice idea
- Roof, is there one? Shelter from the weather for the locomotive is the main goal of this project

Ed Paisley

- Very nice wood structure
- Roof type could be different (only other side, so the beautiful structure would be more visible)
- Window frames too massive
- Intention is not to let people inside the shelter, observation platform should be outside the shelter
- Pays well attention to the park

Hector Silva

- Elegant
- Ramp nice idea, but too massive?
- Needs simplification

- Installing / fastening the glass walls?
- Attachment of the slope/platform needs more detailed observation. "The wall" covers too much in the west side
- Relation to the other elements in the park?

Iina Neittamo

- Glazing?
- Stability of the structure?
- Interesting, elegant and light design
- Details should be checked

Joachim Daetz

- Maybe a bit too massive design
- Doesn't pay attention to the rest of the park
- Wood structure???
- Transparency?
- Aim is not to get new venue
- Good observation of the vicinity and basis of the area
- Details?

Kanae Takahashi

- Good analysis
- Sitting space inside the shelter not needed
- Wood architecture?
- Maybe a bit too big
- Simple enough

Marfa Ivanova

- Conflict between two complex structures, the shelter and the locomotive
- Would be a nice cafe
- Idea and design is still very good
- Needs simplifying and more transparency on the walls

Marie d'Oncieu

- A better than B
- Transparent and solid sides other way around?
- Very nice design, simple, elegant
- Huomioi puuston hyvin
- Strong idea

- Both material choices (solid / rimoitus) work well

Mitsuki Naruse

- Not enough transparency. One cannot see the locomotive.
- Steam idea nice. Could it be used in the ceiling?
- This idea could work better in s 10 times bigger building
- Simplification the structure is needed

Yuxin Wu

- Nice basic idea
- How to block people getting into locomotive?
- Expensive structure
- Too massive for the space available
- The shape is not very natural to wooden structure, rather for concrete