

# Literature

- Acharya, K. (2016). *Opening the electrome*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Adriaan Ianus, K. (2005). For Inspiration Only: Designer Interaction with Informal Collections of Visual Material. [s.n.].
- Ahde-Deal, P. (2013). *Women and jewelry: A social approach to wearing and possessing Jewelry*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Aicher, O. (2009). The Bauhaus and Ulm. In P. Oswalt (Ed.), *Bauhaus conflicts, 1919–2009: Controversies and counterparts* (pp. 174–186). Ostfildern: Hatje Cantz.
- Alexander, C. (1971). The state of the art in design methods. *DMG Newsletter*, 5(3), 3–7.
- Archer, B. (1995). The nature of research. *Co-Design Journal*, 2, 6–13.
- Archer, L. B. (1979). Design as a discipline. *Design Studies*, 1, 17–20.
- Bang, A. L. (2011). *Emotional value of applied textiles*. Kolding: Kolding School of Design.
- Bang, A. L., & Eriksen, M. A. (2014). Experiments all the way in programmatic design research. *Proceedings of Nordic Design Research Conference*, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.14434/artifact.v3i2.3976>.
- Bang, A. L., Peter, K. G., Ludvigsen, M., & Markussen, T. (2012). The role of hypothesis in constructive design research. In *Proceedings of the art of research 2012*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Bass, J., & Kirkham, P. (2011). *Saul Bass: A life in film and design*. London: Laurence King Publishing.
- Battarbee, K. (2004). *Co-experience: Understanding user experiences in social interaction*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design Helsinki.
- Battarbee, K., & Koskinen, I. (2005). Co-Experience: User Experience as Interaction. *CoDesign* 1: 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880412331289917>.
- Baudrillard, J. (1994). *Simulacra and simulation*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Beaver, J., Kerridge, T., & Pennington, S. (2009). *Material beliefs*. London: Goldsmiths, Interaction Research Studio.
- Bertola, P. (Ed.). (2009). *Sistema design Milano / Milan design system*. Milano: Abitare Segesta.
- Bierut, M. (2007). *Seventy-nine short essays on design*. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.
- Biggs, M. (2000). The foundations of practice-based research: Introduction. In *Working papers in art and design 1*. Hertfordshire: University of Hertfordshire. <http://www.herts.ac.uk/artdes/1/research/papers/wpades/voll/vollintro.html>.
- Binder, T., De Michelis, G., Ehn, P., Linde, P., Jacucci, G., & Wagner, I. (2011). *Design things*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Binder, T., & Redström, J. (2006, November 1–4). Exemplary design research. *DRS Wonderground Conference*, Lisbon.

- Blessing, L., & Chakrabarti, A. (2009). *DRM, a design research methodology*. London: Springer.
- Blomberg, J., Giacomi, J., Mosher, A., & Swenton-Wall, P. (1993). Ethnographic field methods and their relation to design. In D. Schuler & A. Namioka (Eds.), *Participatory design: Principles and practices* (pp. 123–155). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Bossen, C., Dindler, C., & Iversen, O. S. (2010). User gains and PD aims: Assessment from a participatory design project. In *Proceedings of participatory design conference* (pp. 141–150). New York: ACM.
- Bødker, S., & Kyng, M. (2018). Participatory design that matters — Facing the big issues. *ACM Transaction on Computer-Human Interaction*, 25(1), 1–31.
- Bødker, S., Kyng, M., Ehn, P., Kammergaard, J., & Sundblad, Y. (1987). *A utopian experience – on design of powerful computer-based tools for skilled graphic workers*. Aldershot: Gower Publishing.
- Borges, A. (2011). *Design+craft: The Brazilian path*. Sao Paulo: Terceiro Nome.
- Borgmann, A. (1995). The depth of design. In R. Buchanan & V. Margolin (Eds.), *Discovering design* (pp. 13–22). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Botero, A. (2013). *Expanding design spaces. Design in communal endeavors*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Bowers, J. (2012). The logic of annotated portfolios: Communicating the value of research through design. In *Proceedings of the designing interactive systems conference* (pp. 68–77). New York: ACM.
- Box, G. E. P. (1979). Robustness in the strategy of scientific model building. In R. L. Launer & G. N. Wilkinson (Eds.), *Robustness in statistics* (pp. 201–236). New York: Academic.
- Box, G. E. P. (1976). Science and statistics. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 71, 791–799.
- Brandt, E. (2006). Designing exploratory design games: A framework for participation in participatory design? In *Proceedings of the ninth conference on participatory design expanding boundaries in design*. New York: ACM Press.
- Brandt, E., & Binder, T. (2007). Experimental design research: Genealogy, intervention, argument. *Proceedings of International association of societies of design research*, Hong Kong.
- Branzi, A. (2010). Design come Professione Di Massa. *Disegno Industriale*, 7(42/43).
- Branzi, A. (2013). Seven degrees of separation. In *The New Italian design* (pp. 14–17). Milano: Triennale di Milano.
- Buchanan, R. (2001). Design research and the new learning. *Design Issues*, 17, 3–23.
- Bunge, M. (1977). *Treatise on basic philosophy: Ontology I: The furniture of the world*. London: Springer.
- von Busch, O. (2008). *Fashion-able: Hacktivism and engaged fashion design*. Göteborg: School of Design and Crafts (HDK), Faculty of Fine, Applied and Performing Arts, University of Gothenburg.
- Carroll, J., & Kellogg, W. A. (1989). Artifact as theory-Nexus: Hermeneutics meets theory-based design. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 7–14). New York: ACM.
- Cash, P., Stanković, T., & Štorga, M. (2014). Using visual information analysis to explore complex patterns in the activity of designers. *Design Studies*, 35, 1–28.
- Collins, H. M. (1985). *Changing order: Replication and induction in scientific practice*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage.
- Cross, N. (1984). *Developments in design methodology*. New York: Wiley.
- Cross, N. (1995). *Discovering design ability*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Cross, N. (1999). Design research: A disciplined conversation. *Design Issues*, 15, 5–10.
- Cross, N. (2006). *Designerly ways of knowing*. London: Springer.
- Cross, N. (2018). Developing Design as a Discipline. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 29, 691–708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544828.2018.1537481>.
- Dalsgaard, P. (2014). Pragmatism and design thinking. *International Journal of Design*, 8, 143–155.

- Dalsgaard, P. (2010). Research in and through design. An interaction design research approach. In *Proceedings of the 22nd conference of the computer-human interaction special interest group of Australia on computer-human interaction* (pp. 200–203). New York: ACM.
- Deckers, E., Hummels, C., Feijs, L., & Wensveen, S. (2013). *Perceptive qualities in systems of interactive products*. Eindhoven: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven. <http://repository.tue.nl/753907>.
- Desmet, P. (2002). *Designing emotions*. Delft: Delft University.
- Dindler, C. (2010). *Fictional space in participatory design of engaging interactive environments*. Aarhus: Aarhus University.
- Dindler, C., Iversen, O. S., & Krogh, P. G. (2011). Engagement through mixed modalities. *Interactions*, 18(4), 34–39.
- DiSalvo, C. (2012). *Adversarial design*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Djajadiningrat, J. (1988). *CUBBY: What you see is where you act*. Delft: Delft University, the Netherlands.
- Djajadiningrat, T., Matthews, B., & Stienstra, M. (2007). Easy Doesn't Do It: Skill and expression in tangible aesthetics. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 11(8), 657–676.
- Dorst, K. (2015). *Frame innovation: Create new thinking by design*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Dreyfus, H. (1972). *What computer still can't do: A critique of artificial reason*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Dunne, A. (2006). *Hertzian Tales: Electronic products, aesthetic experience, and critical design*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Dunne, A., & Raby, F. (2001). *Design noir: The secret life of electronic objects*. Basel: August/ Birkhäuser.
- Dunne, A., & Raby, F. (2013). *Speculative everything: Design, fiction, and social dreaming*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Durbin, P. (Ed.). (1991). *Critical perspectives on nonacademic science and engineering*. Bethlehem: Lehigh University Press.
- Ehn, P., & Kyng, M. (1991). Cardboard computers: Mocking-it-up or hands-on the future. In J. Greenbaum & M. Kyng (Eds.), *Design at work: Cooperative design of computer systems* (pp. 169–195). Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ehn, P. (1988). *Work-oriented design of computer artifacts*. Stockholm: Arbetslivscentrum.
- Emerson, R. M., & Pollner, M. (1989). On the uses of members responses to researchers accounts. *Human Organization*, 47, 189–198.
- Fallman, D. (2007). Why research-oriented design Isn't design-oriented research. On the tensions between design and research in an implicit design discipline. *Knowledge, Technology & Policy*, 20, 193–200.
- Feldman, R. (2003). *Epistemology*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
- Fogtmann, M. H. (2011). *Designing with the body in mind: Kinesthetic, empathy, interaction*. Aarhus: Arkitektskolen Aarhus.
- Fogtmann, M. H., & Kinch, S. (2011). The design researcher in quandary: The conflicting roles of being both a designer and a design researcher. In *Proceedings of the second conference on creativity and innovation in design DESIRE* (Vol. 11, pp. 193–196). New York: ACM.
- Forlizzi, J., & Battarbee, K. (2004). Understanding experience in interactive systems. In *Proceedings of designing interactive systems* (pp. 261–268). New York: ACM.
- Frampton, K. (1992). *Modern architecture: A critical history*. London: Thames and Hudson.
- Frankel, L., & Racine, M. (2010). The complex field of research: For design, through design, and about design. In *Design research society conference design and complexity*. Montreal: Design Research Society.
- Frayling, C. (1993). Research in art and design. In *Royal college of art research papers* (Vol. 1, pp. 1–5). London: RCA. <http://researchonline.rca.ac.uk/384/>.
- French, W. L., & Bell, C. H. (1999). *Organization development: Behavioral science interventions for organization improvement* (6th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Frens, J. (2006). *Designing for rich interaction: Integrating form, interaction, and function*. Eindhoven: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

- Froukje, S. V. (2009). *Bringing the everyday life of people into design*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.
- Gadamer, H.-G. (1975). *Truth and method*. London: Sheed & Ward.
- Gamper, M. (2010). *100 chairs in 100 days and its 100 ways*. London: Dent-De-Leone.
- Garcia, C. G. (2017). *Design as Freedom*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Gaver, W. (2002, November). Presentation about cultural probes. Powerpoint presentation, Helsinki. <http://smart.uiah.fi/luotain/pdf/probes-seminar/GaverPROBES.pdf/>. Accessed 18 April 2009.
- Gaver, W., & Bowers, J. (2012). Annotated portfolios. *Interactions*, 19(4), 40–49.
- Gaver, W. (2012). What should we expect from research through design? In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 937–946). New York: ACM.
- Gaver, W. W., Hooker, B., and Dunne, A. (2001). The Presence Project. RCA Computer Related Design Research, London.
- Presence Project, Gaver, W., Hooker, B., Dunne, A., & Farrington, P. (2001). *CRD projects series*. London: RCA.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gentes, A. (2017). *The In-discipline of design*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Goffman, E. (1978). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Goldschmidt, G. (1992). Criteria for design evaluation: A process-oriented paradigm. In Y. E. Kalay (Ed.), *Evaluating and predicting design performance* (pp. 67–75). New York: Wiley.
- Goldschmidt, G., & Tatsa, D. (2005). How good are good ideas? Correlates of design creativity. *Design Studies*, 26, 593–611.
- Habermas, J. (2015). *Knowledge and human interests*. New York: Wiley.
- Hallnäs, L., & Redström, J. (2006). *Interaction design: Foundations, experiments*. Borås: University of Borås.
- Hallnäs, L., & Redström, J. (2001). Slow technology — Designing for reflection. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 5, 201–212.
- Hamilton, J., & Jaaniste, L. O. (2009). The effective and the evocative: Practice-led research approaches across art and design. In R. Woodrow (Ed.), *Interventions in the public domain*. Brisbane: ACUADS Publishing, Queensland College of Art, Griffith University.
- Härkösalmi, T. (2008). *Runkokuituja lyhytkuittumenetelmin: kohti pellavan ja hampun ympäristömyötäistä tuoteistamista*. Taideteollinen korkeakoulu: Helsinki.
- Hasdell, P. (2016). Participatory design: Re-evaluation as a socio-material assembly. In *Proceedings of Ethnographic Praxis in industry conference* (pp. 313–326).
- Hatchuel, A., & Weil, B. (2008). Entre concepts et connaissances: éléments d’une théorie de la conception. In A. H. e. B. Weil (Ed.), *Les Nouveaux Régimes de la Conception: Langues, Théories, Métiers*. Paris: Vuibert/Cerisy.
- Hatchuel, A., & Weil, B. (2011). *Experts in organizations: A knowledge-based perspective on organizational change*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Hauser, S., Oogjes, D., Wakkary, R., & Verbeek, P.-P. (2018). An annotated portfolio on doing Postphenomenology through research products. In *Proceedings of designing interactive systems conference* (pp. 459–471). New York: ACM.
- Hassenzahl, M. (2004). The interplay of beauty, goodness, and usability in interactive products. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 19, 319–349.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33, 61–83.
- Heiskanen, I. (1976). How to skin an eel with too sharp a knife and without proper sand on hand (or personal experiences in applying the results of organizational research). *Interfaces*, 6, 93–97.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 28, 75–105.
- Hummels, C. (2000). Gestural design tools: Prototypes. In *Experiments and scenarios*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.

- Husserl, E. (1965). *Phenomenology and the crisis of philosophy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Ihde, D. (2006). The designer fallacy and technological imagination. In J. R. Dakers (Ed.), *Defining technological literacy* (pp. 121–131). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Isohanen, T. (2006). *Arabia, Arabia: taiteellinen toiminta osana asuinympäristön suunnittelua, tapaus Arabianranta, Helsinki*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design. 'Arabia, Arabia: Artistic Activity in Planning a Housing District, Case Arabianranta, Helsinki, Finland', in Finnish.
- Jacobs, J. (1961). *The death and life of great American cities*. New York: Random House.
- Jacucci, G. (2005). *Interaction as performance*. Oulu: University of Oulu.
- Jones, C. (1991). *Designing designing*. London: Architecture Design and Technology Press.
- Jones, J. C. (1992). *Design methods*. New York: Wiley.
- Jucide, A. (2014). *Design for hope*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Kasanen, E., Lukka, K., & Siitonen, A. (1993). The constructive approach in management accounting research. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 5, 241–246.
- Kensing, F., & Blomberg, J. (1988). Participatory design: Issues and concerns. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 7(3–4), 167–185.
- Kettunen, I. (2013). *Mielekkyyden muotoilu*. Kuusamo: Aatepaja.
- Kinch, S. (2014). *Designing for atmospheric experiences — Taking an architectural approach to interaction design*. Aarhus: Aarhus School of Architecture.
- Koskinen, I. (2003). Empathic Design in Methodic Terms. In I. Koskinen, K. Battarbee, & T. Mattelmäki (Eds.), *Empathic design*. Helsinki: IT Press.
- Koskinen, I. (2016). Agonistic, Convivial, and Conceptual Aesthetics in New Social Design. *Design Issues*, 32: 18–29. [https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI\\_a\\_00396](https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00396).
- Koskinen, I., Mikkonen, J., Ahde, P., Eckoldt, K., Helgason, T., Hänninen, R., Jiang, J., Niskanen, T., & Schultz, B. (2009). Hacking a car: Re-embodying the design classroom. In *Proceedings of Nordic design research conference*. Available at <http://nordes.org>.
- Koskinen, I., Zimmerman, J., Binder, T., Redström, J., & Wensveen, S. (2011). *Design research through practice. From the lab, field, and showroom*. Waltham: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Koskinen, I. (2015). Four cultures of analysis in design research. In P. A. Rodgers & J. Yee (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to design research*. London: Routledge.
- Koskinen, I., & Krogh, P. G. (2015). Design accountability: When design research entangles theory and practice. *International Journal of Design*, 9, 121–127.
- Koskinen, I., & Frens, J. (2017). Research Prototypes. *Archives of Design Research*, 30, 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.15187/adr.2017.08.30.3.5>.
- Krogh, P. G., Markussen, T., & Bang, A. L. (2015). Ways of drifting—Five methods of experimentation in research through design. In *Proceedings of ICoRD15 — Research into design across boundaries* (pp. 39–50). New Delhi: Springer.
- Krogh, P. G., Petersen, M. G., O'Hara, K., & Groenbaek, J. E. (2017). Sensitizing concepts for socio-spatial literacy in HCI. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 6449–6460). New York: ACM.
- Krogh, P., Martin, L., & Lykke-Olesen, A. (2004). Help Me Pull That Cursor' A Collaborative Interactive Floor Enhancing Community Interaction. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v11i2.126>.
- Kuhn, T. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kurvinen, E. (2007). *Prototyping social action*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design Helsinki.
- Kuutti, K. (1996). Activity theory as a potential framework for human-computer interaction research. In B. A. Nardi (Ed.), *Context and consciousness. Activity theory and human-computer interaction*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Lakatos, I. (1970). Falsification and the methodology of scientific research programmes. In *Criticism and the growth of knowledge* (pp. 91–196). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lakatos, I. (1974). The role of crucial experiments in science. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science*, 4, 309–325.

- Latour, B. (2004). How to talk about the body? The normative dimension of science studies. *Body and Society*, 10, 205–229.
- Latour, B. (1987). *Science in action: How to follow scientists and engineers through society*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, B., & Woolgar, S. (2013). *Laboratory life: The construction of scientific facts*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Lawson, B., & Dorst, K. (2013). *Design expertise*. London: Routledge.
- Lee, J.-J. (2012). *Against method: The portability of method in human-centered design*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Lewin, K. (1946). Action research and minority problems. *Journal of Social Issues*, 2, 34–46.
- Ludvigsen, M. (2006). *Designing for social interaction: Physical, co-located social computing*. Aarhus: Aarhus School of Architecture.
- Lynch, M. (1993). *Scientific practice and ordinary action: Ethnomethodology and social studies of science*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lynggaard, A. B. (2012). *Homing interactions: Tactics and concepts for highly mobile people*. Aarhus: Aarhus School of Architecture.
- Magrisso, S., Mizrahi, M., & Zoran, A. (2018). Digital joinery for hybrid carpentry. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems*. New York: ACM.
- Mäkelä, M. (2003). *Saveen piirtyvia muistoja*. Helsinki: Aalto University. [‘Memories Written in Clay’, in Finnish].
- Mäkelä, M., & Routarinne, S. (2006). *The art of research: Research practices in art and design*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design Helsinki.
- Maldonado, T. (1972). *Design, nature and revolution: Toward a critical ecology* (trans: Domandi, M.). New York: Harper and Row.
- Maldonado, T. (1984) Ulm Revisited. *Rassegna*, Anno 19/3, settembre. (trans: Sparado, F.).
- Manzini, E. (2015). *Design, when everybody designs: An introduction to design for social innovation*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Manzini, E. (2016). Design culture and dialogic design. *Design Issues*, 32, 52–59.
- Markussen, T., & Bang, A. L. (2012). Dynamic research sketching. A new explanatory tool for understanding theory construction in design research. In *Proceedings of the 8th international design research society conference*. Thailand: Chulalongkorn University Bangkok.
- Markussen, T., Krogh, P. G., & Bang, A. L. (2015). On what grounds?: An intra-disciplinary account of evaluation in research through design. In *Proceedings of 6th international association of societies of design research conference* (pp. 1415–1429). Brisbane.
- Mattelmäki, T. (2006). *Design probes*. Aalto University.
- Mattelmäki, T., Kirsikka, V., & Ilpo, K. (2013). What happened to empathic design? *Design Issues*, 30(1), 67–77. [https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI\\_a\\_00249](https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00249).
- Mattelmäki, T., & Visser, F. S. (2011). Lost in Co-X: Interpretations of co-design and co-creation. In *Proceedings of the 4th conference on design research* (pp. 1–12).
- Mayo, D. (1996). *Error and the growth of experimental knowledge*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- McCullough, M. (2005). *Digital ground: Architecture, pervasive computing, and environmental knowing*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- McIntyre, J. (1995). The department of design research at the royal college of art: Its origins and legacy 1959–1988. In C. Frayling (Ed.), *Design of the times: One hundred years of the royal college of art* (pp. 58–62). Shepton Beauchamp: Somerset.
- Mendini, A., Weiss, P., & Nollert, A. (2012). *Alessandro Mendini*. Nürnberg: Verlag für moderne Kunst.
- Meroni, A. (2017). *Creative communities: People inventing sustainable ways of living*. Milan: Edizioni Polidesign.
- Meroni, A., & Sangiorgi, D. (Eds.). (2011). *Design for services*. Adelshot: Gower Publishing.
- Miettinen, S. (2007). *Designing the Creative Tourism Experience*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design.
- Mikkonen, J. (2016). *Prototyping interactions*. Tampere: Tampere Univrsity of Technology.



- Mitcham, C. (1986). Computers: From Ethos and Ethics to Mythos and Religion. Notes on the new frontier between computers and philosophy. *Technology in Society*, 8, 171–201.
- Mitchell, W. J. (2000). *E-Topia: 'Urban life, Jim — But not as we know it*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Mogensen, P. (1992). Towards a prototyping approach in systems development. *Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems*, 4, 31–53.
- Moggridge, B. (2007). *Designing Interactions*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Moran, D. (2002). *Introduction to phenomenology*. London: Routledge.
- Narotzky, V. (2000). A different and new refinement' design in Barcelona, 1960–1990. *Journal of Design History*, 13, 227–243.
- Nelson, H. G., & Stolterman, E. (2003). *The design way: Intentional change in an unpredictable world*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Niedderer, K. (2004). *Designing the performative object: A study in designing mindful interaction through artefacts*. Plymouth: University of Plymouth.
- Niedderer, K., & Roworth-Stokes, S. (2007). The role and use of creative practice in research and its contribution to knowledge. In *Proceedings of IASDR*. Hong Kong SAR.
- Niinimäki, K. (2011). *From disposable to sustainable: The complex interplay between design and consumption of textiles and clothing*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Nowotny, H., Scott, P., Gibbons, M., & Scott, P. B. (2008). *Re-thinking science: Knowledge and the public in an age of uncertainty*. London: Polity.
- Odom, W., Wakkary, R., Lim, Y.-k., Desjardins, A., Hengeveld, B., & Banks, R. (2016). From research prototype to research product. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 2549–2561). New York: ACM.
- O'Neil, C. (2017). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. London: Penguin Books.
- Overbeeke, K., Wensveen, S., & Hummels, C. (2006). Design research: Generating knowledge thorough doing. In *Proceedings of third symposium of design research* (pp. 51–69). Swiss Design Network: Geneva.
- Paavilainen, H. (2013). *Dwelling with design*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Paul F. M. (1954). The Information Capacity of the Human Motor System in Controlling the Amplitude of Movement. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47, 381–391. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0055392>.
- Peirce, C. S. (1979). Reviews, correspondence, and bibliography. 3. Print. In C. Hartshorne et al. (Eds.), *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce* (Vol. 8). Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard Univ. Press.
- Peteri, V. (2017). Bad enough ergonomics: A case study of an office chair. *SAGE Open*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244016685135>.
- Petersen, M., Krogh, P. G., Ludvigsen, M., & Lykke-Olesen, A. (2005). Floor interaction HCI reaching new ground. In *Extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems*. New York: ACM.
- Petersen, M. G., Iversen, O. S., Krogh, P. G., & Ludvigsen, M. (2004). Aesthetic interaction: A pragmatists aesthetics of interactive systems. In *Proceedings of designing interactive systems* (pp. 269–276). New York: ACM.
- Pihl, G. T., Oebro, L. F., Ammentorp, J., & Osther, P. (2018). From active surveillance to active survivor: A pilot study. *European Urology Supplements*, 17(2), e91.
- Plowman, L., Rogers, Y., & Ramage, M. (1995). What are workplace studies for? In *Proceedings of European conference on computer-supported cooperative work* (pp. 309–324). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Poggenpohl, S. (2009). Time for change: Building a design discipline. In S. Poggenpohl & K. Sato (Eds.), *Design integrations: Research and collaboration* (pp. 3–22). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Polanyi, M. (2009). *The tacit dimension*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Popper, K. (2002). *The logic of scientific discovery*. London: Routledge.

- Radice, B., & Sottsass, E. (1993). *Ettore Sottsass: A critical biography*. Milan: Rizzoli International Publications.
- Rancière, J. (2004). *The politics of aesthetics: The distribution of the sensible*. (trans: Rockhill, G.). London: Continuum.
- Rasmussen, M. K. (2015). *Changing the shape of interaction: Shape-changing interfaces*. Aarhus: Department of Computer Science, Aarhus University.
- Rasmussen, M. K., Pedersen, E. W., Petersen, M. G., and Hornbæk, K. (2012). Shape-Changing Interfaces: A Review of the Design Space and Open Research Questions. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Annual Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. USA: ACM Press. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2207781>.
- Rastier, F. (2001). *Arts et sciences du texte*. Paris: Presses universitaires de France.
- Redström, J. (2017). *Making design theory*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Redström, J. (2011). Some notes on programme-experiment dialectics. In *Proceedings of Nordic design research conference*. <http://www.nordes.org/opj/index.php/n13/article/view/91>.
- Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4, 155–169.
- Rogers, Y. (2011). Interaction design gone wild: Striving for wild theory. *Interactions*, 18, 58–62.
- Rogers, Y., & Marshall, P. (2017). Research in the wild. *Synthesis Lectures on Human-Centered Informatics*, 10, 1–97. <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00764ED1V01Y201703HCI037>.
- Ross, P. (2008). *Ethics and aesthetics in intelligent product and system design*. Eindhoven: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- Rothman, L. (2017). Manhattan Almost Had a Highway Running Across It. Here's What It Would Have Looked Like. Time. Accessed March 11, 2019. <http://time.com/4742520/jane-jacobs-robert-moses-drawings/>.
- RTD Ethos. <https://www.researchthroughdesign.org/rtdethos/>. Accessed 30 May 2018.
- Ruskin, J. (1989). *The seven lamps of architecture*. New York: Dover Publications.
- Rust, C., Mottram, J & Till, J. (2007). *AHRC review of practice-led research in art design & architecture*. Arts and Humanities Research Council, Sheffield Hallam University, Nottingham Trent University & University of Sheffield. <http://archive.org/details/ReviewOfPractice-ledResearchInArtDesignArchitecture>.
- Saad-Sulonen, J. (2014). Combining Participations. Expanding the Locus of Participatory E-Planning By Combining Participatory Approaches in the Design of Digital Technology and in Urban Planning. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Säde, S. (2001). Cardboard Mock-ups and Conversations. Helsinki: University of Art and Design.
- Sanders, E. B.-N. (2005). Information, Inspiration and Co-Creation. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference of the European Academy of Design*. University of the Arts Bremen.
- Sanders, E. B.-N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign*, 4, 5–18.
- Schmid, A.-F. (2018). The philosophical underpinnings of design theory. In P. Vermaas & S. Vial (Eds.), *Advancements in the philosophy of design*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Schön, D. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Schuler, D., & Namioka, A. (1993). *Participatory design: Principles and practices*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Seago, A., & Dunne, A. (1999). New methodologies in art and design research: The object as dis-course. *Design Issues*, 15, 11–17.
- Sevaldson, B. (2010). Discussions & movements in design research. *FORMakademisk*, 3(1).
- Siikamäki, R. (2006). *Glass can be recycled forever: Utilisation of end-of-life cathode ray tube glasses in ceramic and glass industry*. Aalto University.
- Simon, H. (1969). *The sciences of the artificial*. Cambridge, MA.
- Snow, C. P. (1959). *The two cultures and the scientific revolution*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sottsass, E. (2018). *Triennale Exhibition: Sagottanta arredamenti Srl*. <https://www.sag80.com/en/news/ettore-sottsass-triennale.html>. Accessed 5 July 2018.



- Stappers, P. (Jan 2007). Doing design as a part of doing research. In R. Michel (Ed.), *Design research now* (pp. 81–91). Basel: Birkhäuser.
- Stappers, P. J., & Giaccardi, E. (2017). The Encyclopedia of Human-Computer Interaction, 2nd Ed. <https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/book/the-encyclopedia-of-human-computer-interaction-2nd-ed/research-through-design>
- Stappers, P. J., Sleeswijk Visser, F., & Keller, I. (2014). The role of prototypes and frameworks for structuring explorations by research through design. In P. Rodgers & J. Yee (Eds.), *Routledge Companion to Design Research* (pp. 163–174). Florence: Routledge., 2014.
- Steffen, D. (2013). Characteristics and interferences of experiments in science, the arts and in design research. *Proceedings of Nordic Design Research Conference*, Copenhagen. <http://nordes.org>.
- Steinø, N., & Markussen, T. (2011). Design research between design and research. In J. Dehs, M. W. Esbensen, & C. P. Pedersen (Eds.), *When architects and designers write, draw, build?* Aarhus: Aarhus School of Architecture. 2011.
- Stolterman, E. (2008). The nature of design practice and implications for interaction design research. *International Journal of Design*, 2, 55–65.
- Suppes, P. (1960). *A comparison of the meaning and uses of models in mathematics and the empirical sciences*. Technical report no. 33. Stanford: Institute for Mathematical Studies in the Social Sciences of Applied Mathematics and Statistical Laboratories.
- Summatavet, K. (2005). *Folk tradition and artistic inspiration: A womans life in traditional Estonian jewelry and crafts as told by Anne and Roos*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design Helsinki.
- The Art of Research. (2017). [http://artofresearch2017.aalto.fi/previous\\_events.html](http://artofresearch2017.aalto.fi/previous_events.html). Accessed 30 May 2018.
- Thomsen, J. R., Krogh, P. G., Schnedler, J. A., & Linnet, H. (2018). Interactive interior and proxemics thresholds: Empowering participants in sensitive conversations. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems*. New York: ACM.
- Thomsen, J., & Schnedler, J. (2017). *Designing interactive interiors for value-driven healthcare informed by socio-spatial concerns*. Aarhus: Aarhus University. Master thesis.
- Tilley, A., & Dreyfuss, H. (2002). *The measure of man and woman: Human factors in design*. New York: Wiley.
- Trotto, A. (2011). *Rights through making: Skills for pervasive ethics*. Eindhoven: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- Vaajakallio, K. (2012). *Design games as a tool, a mindset and a structure*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Valtonen, A. (2007). *Redefining industrial design: Changes in the design practice in Finland*. Helsinki: University of Art and Design Helsinki.
- Verganti, R. (2009). *Design-driven innovation: Changing the rules of competition by radically innovating what things mean*. Cambridge: Harvard Business Press.
- Wallace, J. (2007). *Emotionally Charged: A Practice-Centred Enquiry of Digital Jewellery and Personal Emotional Significance*. Sheffield: Sheffield-Hallam University.
- Wallace, J., Rogers, J., Shorter, M., Thomas, P., Skelly, M., & Cook, R. (2018). The SelfReflector: Design, IoT and the high street. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems*. New York: ACM. 2018.
- Wallace, J., Wright, P. C., McCarthy, J., Green, D. P., Thomas, J., & Olivier, P. (2013). A designed inquiry into personhood in dementia. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 2617–2626). New York: ACM.
- Wasson, C. (2002). Collaborative work: Integrating the roles of ethnographers and designers. In S. Squires & B. Byrne (Eds.), *Creating breakthrough ideas: The collaboration of anthropologists and designers in the product development industry* (pp. 71–91). Westport: Bergin Garvey.
- Wensveen, S. (2005). *A tangibility approach to affective interaction*. Delft: Technical University of Delft.

- Wilson, F. R. (1999). *The Hand: How its use shapes the brain, language, and human culture*. New York: Vintage.
- Wolf, T. V., Rode, J. A., Sussman, J., & Kellogg, W. A. (2006). Dispelling 'design' as the black art of CHI. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 521–530). New York: ACM.
- Worbin, L. (2010). *Designing dynamic textile patterns*. Borås: University of Borås.
- Wu, Y. (2017). *Bicycles and Plants*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Ziman, J. (2000). *Real science: What it is and what it means*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zimmerman, J., & Forlizzi, J. (2008). The role of design Artifacts in design theory construction. *Art*, 2, 41–45.
- Zimmerman, J., Forlizzi, J., & Evenson, S. (2007). Research through design as a method for interaction design research in HCI. In *Proceedings of conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 493–502). New York: ACM.
- Zimmerman, J., Stolterman, E., & Forlizzi, J. (2010). An analysis and critique of research through design: Towards a formalization of a research approach. In *Proceedings of designing interactive systems* (pp. 310–319). New York: ACM.
- Zivanovic, A., Auger, J., & Loizeau, J. (2009). Carnivorous domestic entertainment robots. In *Proceedings of tangible and embedded interaction* (pp. 127–130). New York: ACM Press.

# Index

## A

Abductive reasoning, 61  
 Academic discipline, viii, 2  
 Accountability, 2, 17, 29, 30, 34, 44, 45, 53, 74, 80–83, 108  
 Accountable, 2, 17, 20, 30, 44, 45, 49, 50, 58, 80, 81, 88, 108, 121  
 Acknowledging complexity, 63, 70  
 Action research, 6, 88  
 Activist reforming of practice, 73  
 Advancing programs, 45  
 Adversary, 56  
 Aesthetic, 5, 16–18, 20, 26, 32, 34, 45, 53, 57, 60, 63, 71, 75, 102, 103, 108, 114, 117, 119, 124  
 Aesthetic accountability, 17, 34, 53, 108  
 Aesthetically, 5, 20, 32, 45, 103  
 Aesthetic quality, 32, 75  
 Affective alarm clock, 6  
 Affordances, 35  
*A fortiori*, 66  
 Aicher, O., vii  
 Alexander, C., 17, 121  
 Ambiguity, 16, 37, 103  
 Analytic induction, 80, 114  
 Analyze, vi, vii, 4, 5, 45, 59, 68, 84, 108  
 Annotated portfolios, 53, 54, 56, 79, 97  
 Anthropological, 39  
 Anthropology, 7, 39, 95, 103, 119  
 Architecture, 15, 20, 31–33, 84, 116  
 Arguments, vii, viii, 4, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, 34, 39, 43–45, 55, 58, 59, 66, 68, 69, 71, 75, 81, 91, 99, 103, 108, 111, 112, 114–116

Art, 4, 13, 19, 20, 27, 30, 34, 44, 45, 53, 74, 75, 77, 78, 81, 96, 99, 100, 102, 114, 119  
 Art and design, 6, 20, 30, 96  
 Art based practices, 4  
 Art-based ways of working, 2  
 Artefacts, 1, 5, 12, 18–22, 24, 33, 37, 40–42, 47, 50, 51, 53, 54, 57, 62, 64, 67–69, 101, 103, 108, 109, 112, 118, 120  
 Artifacts, 11, 15, 18–21, 25, 29, 30, 119  
 Artistic, 15, 25, 31–33, 45, 58, 66, 71, 73, 74, 76, 81, 86, 102, 103, 108, 120  
 Artistic explorations, 15, 103  
 Artistic judgment, 86  
 Art objects, 77, 78  
 Arts and crafts, 2, 3, 6, 16, 66  
     based design, 2, 3  
     based institutions, 2  
     oriented, 6, 66  
 Art works, 74, 99, 102  
 Asian context, 98  
 Auto-ethnographic  
     auto-fiction, 75

## B

Baudrillard, J., 1  
 Behaviorist theory, 78  
 Being-in-the-world  
     Heidegger, vi, 115  
 Biologists, 11, 64  
 Black art, 34  
 Blessing, L., and Chakrabarti, A., 16  
     descriptive study, 16  
     desk research, 16  
     prescriptive study, 16

- Blomberg, 7  
 Bødker, S., 24  
 Box, G.  
     all models are wrong, but some are  
     useful, 11  
 Branzi, A., 8, 83  
 Brief  
     counter brief, 7, 9, 42  
     design brief, v, 7, 9, 12, 40, 48, 60, 74  
 Buchanan, R., 9, 47
- C**  
 Carroll, J., and Kellogg, W.A.  
     artifacts are theory-nexuses, 18  
 Challenge, v, vii, 4, 5, 24, 49, 58, 59  
 Classic explication, 10  
 Clothing, 54  
 Co-construct, 41  
 Co-creation, 12  
 Co-design, 12, 23, 40–42, 44, 91, 92, 102, 119  
 Co-designing, 23  
 Co-experience, 67, 102, 110, 112  
 Co-imagine, 41  
 Collective action, 38, 113, 119  
 Colonialism, 116  
 Commercial, 1, 5, 7, 120  
 Community, vii, 1, 2, 5, 7, 17, 18, 25, 29, 38,  
     40, 43, 55, 57, 58, 78, 79, 81, 88, 90,  
     91, 103, 104, 108, 118, 120, 124  
 Comparative evaluation, 77  
 Concept creation, 4, 92  
 Conceptual scaffolding, 99, 109–112, 123  
 Connotations, 2, 5, 13, 78  
 Constituent components, 18  
 Constructive design research, 1, 15, 29, 47, 59,  
     73, 83, 95, 107  
 Context, 1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 15, 23, 29, 30, 33, 35,  
     38, 39, 44, 45, 51, 58, 61, 62, 65, 66,  
     74, 76, 88, 89, 91, 98, 103, 108, 118,  
     119, 121, 123  
 Context of justification, 2, 29, 35  
 Context of production, 45  
 Contribution, vii, 9, 10, 19, 27, 30, 35, 37, 45,  
     50, 51, 54, 55, 57, 58, 65, 66, 68, 70,  
     71, 73, 74, 76, 77, 80–82, 85, 89, 90,  
     93, 103, 105, 107, 109, 111, 112,  
     114, 115  
 Controlled experiments, 41, 70, 88  
 Controlled lab settings, 73  
 Conversation with the material  
     Schön, D., 50  
 Convictions, 5, 41  
 Cooperative Design, 23, 24, 41
- Corpus, vii, 2, 4, 10, 11, 20, 22, 23, 31, 35, 40,  
     49, 59, 61, 73, 79, 85, 98, 112, 119,  
     123, 124  
 Correctness, 15  
 Counter-brief, 7, 9, 42  
 Counter-practice, 31  
 Craft and carpentry, 18  
 Craft-based, 55, 56  
 Craft objects, 77, 78  
 Craft research, 12, 20, 124  
 Crafts, vii, 2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 20, 25,  
     34, 41, 55, 56, 66, 73–75, 77, 78, 81,  
     86, 92, 108, 112, 117, 118, 124  
 Craft, we usually mean skilled practice, 12  
 Creative communities, 38  
 Creative process, 2, 7, 16, 74, 85, 88  
 Creativity, 30, 35, 48, 56, 92  
 Critical design, 10, 76, 113  
 Critique, v, 21, 55, 88, 96, 100  
 Cross, N., 49, 82, 83  
 Cultural probes, 6, 7, 31, 45, 87, 90, 98, 102,  
     119, 121  
 Culture, vi, vii, 11, 30, 42, 44, 45, 76, 80–82,  
     93, 96, 98, 116, 117
- D**  
 Decision-making patterns, 20  
 Decisive experiments, 95  
 De-contextualizing phenomena, 6  
 Deductive reasoning, 83  
 Democratize, 23, 42  
 Descartes, R., vi  
 Design  
     approaches, 23  
     artefacts, 1, 12, 20, 37, 40, 47, 54, 57, 68,  
     101, 103, 112  
     communities, vii, 26  
     discipline, 2, 115  
     epistemology, 1  
     experimentation, 4, 50, 61, 65, 70, 71, 107,  
     113, 123  
     fashion, 8, 11, 44, 115  
     fields, 6  
     graphic, 2, 8, 116  
     industrial, 101  
     objects, 12, 13, 20, 24, 37, 50, 53–55, 65,  
     74, 76, 78, 81, 86, 89, 97, 99, 104, 105,  
     108, 111, 113, 118  
     practice, vi, 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19, 21, 24,  
     25, 29, 30, 44, 48–50, 53, 54, 58, 60,  
     61, 82–84, 88, 92, 96, 99, 101–104,  
     107, 109–111, 113, 120, 121  
     practitioners, v, 103, 108, 121

- processes, 23, 27, 48, 65, 80, 96, 102
- product, 2, 10, 11, 22, 48, 49, 88
- as a subject, 4, 12, 33, 63
- textile, 2, 10, 65
- as a topic, 4
- Design activism, 56
- Design anthropology, 7, 39, 103
- Design critiques, 55, 96, 100
- Design driven innovation, 1
- Designerly, 38, 61, 85
- Designerly experience, 85
- Designers, 1, 15, 29, 49, 60, 75, 83, 96, 108
- Design experiments, 4, 9–11, 50, 51, 58–71, 73, 76, 77, 80, 82, 89, 95, 97–101, 103, 107, 112, 113, 123
- Design exploration, 19
- Design for Debate, 56
- Design guidelines, 25, 77
- Design implications, 25, 70
- Designing Pleasurable Products and Interfaces*, 20
- Design knowledge, 18, 71, 100, 112, 113
- Design methods, 16, 17, 24, 34, 44, 64, 90, 96, 98, 99, 120
- Design methods movement, 16, 17, 34, 96
- Design objects, 12, 13, 20, 24, 37, 50, 53–55, 65, 74, 76, 78, 81, 86, 89, 97, 99, 104, 105, 108, 111, 113, 118
- Design options, 6
- Design outcome, 20, 27, 68, 88
- Design pieces, v, 65
- Design practice, vi, 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19, 21, 24, 29, 30, 44, 48–49, 53, 54, 58, 60, 61, 82–84, 88, 92, 96, 99, 101–104, 107, 109–111, 113, 120, 121
- Design process, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16, 23, 24, 27, 31, 34, 35, 40, 42, 48, 52, 54, 56, 59, 65, 67, 76, 80, 84, 87, 88, 90–92, 96, 97, 102
- Design research, 1, 15, 29, 47, 59, 73, 83, 95, 107, 123
- Design research community, 18, 29
- Design research practice, 13, 98
- Design sensitivity, 31
- Design thinking, 19
- Design world, 7, 8, 29, 31, 56, 82, 85, 87, 96, 103, 108, 119
- Development model
  - frame innovation model, 48–49
  - fuzzy front-end, 48
  - iterative, 48
  - waterfall, 48
- Deviant case, 6
- Dialectic, 1, 12, 27, 30, 40–45, 50, 56, 57, 73, 78–81, 90–92, 95, 119
- Dialogical design, 12
- Digital technologies, 24, 31, 42
- Disciplines, vi, vii, viii, 2–5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17, 22, 26, 29, 45, 47, 49, 50, 55, 56, 58, 71, 80, 83, 92, 95, 107–110, 114–116, 119–121
- Discourses, vi, 1, 8, 10, 17, 21–22, 25, 30, 40, 43–45, 54–56, 77, 81, 89, 90, 92, 96, 97, 100–108, 110, 112, 116, 117
- Disruptive aesthetics
  - Ranciere, J., 57
- Disruptive innovations, v, 92
- Dorst, K.
  - re-framing, 58
- Drifting, v, vi, vii, 1–13, 15–17, 22–25, 29–45, 58–71, 73, 80–83, 85, 93, 104–105, 107, 108, 110–112, 121, 124
  - ways of drifting, 4, 11, 59–71, 73, 85
- Drifting by intention, 1, 83
- Dunne, A., 19, 87
- E**
- Eclectic, 66, 74
- Ecological psychology, 22, 49, 91, 92, 109, 110
- Emancipate, 42
- Embodiment, vii, 54
- Empathic design, 12, 23, 24, 101–104, 124
- Empathic, participatory design, 12, 23, 24, 26, 40, 41, 124
- Empirical, vi, vii, 10, 17, 60, 67, 71, 95
- Empirical research, 95
- Empirical work, 10
- Enabling predictability, 45
- Enactment of everyday life, 45
- Engaging experiences, 41
- Engineering, v, vii, viii, 1, 8, 16, 17, 21, 27, 30, 34, 35, 39, 45, 48, 53, 108, 114
- Envelope, 7
- Epistemic, epistemological traditions, 4, 73
- Epistemic traditions, 1, 27, 29–58, 73–82, 84, 85, 92, 93, 107–110, 124
- Epistemological and aesthetic accountability, 17, 34, 53
- Epistemologically, vii, 17, 20, 45, 88
- Epistemology, v, vi, vii, 1, 12, 80
- Ergonomics, vi, 23, 26
- Errors, 5, 9, 81, 88, 89
- Essential elements, 5, 110
- Ethnographic inspired research, 86
- Ethnography, vii, 7, 38, 75, 86, 90, 103, 115

- Ethnomethodological, 65, 80  
 Ethnomethodologists, 98, 100  
 Ethology, 95  
 Eurocentricism, 116–118  
 Evaluating, 6, 30, 50, 57, 75, 81, 92  
 Evaluation, 4, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 31, 45, 49–51, 58, 59, 61, 73–83, 91, 95, 107, 112, 113  
 Evidence, 12, 15–17, 33, 35, 81, 102, 119  
 Exemplars, 4, 6, 12, 15, 16, 37, 61, 81, 109  
 Exhibitions, 41, 74, 75, 79, 81, 86, 92, 96, 102, 103, 117  
 Experiential, 1, 25, 27, 29–34, 45, 53, 54, 64, 66, 74–76, 85–87, 92, 93, 123  
 Experiment  
     design experiment, 4, 9–11, 50, 51, 58–71, 73, 76, 77, 80, 82, 89, 95, 97–101, 103, 107, 112, 113, 123  
 Experimentalism, 9, 10, 95–105, 112–114  
 Experimental protocols, 95  
 Experimental psychology, 6  
 Experimentation, 4, 9, 10, 12, 13, 50, 59, 61–65, 70, 71, 73, 95, 96, 99, 101, 104, 105, 107, 113, 123  
 Experimenting, 6, 45, 57, 92  
 Experiments, 4, 35, 50, 59, 73, 83, 95, 107, 123  
 Expert, 5, 27, 38, 41, 57, 58, 75, 87, 91, 92, 118, 119  
 Explication, vii, viii, 10, 99, 112, 114, 115  
 Explicit evidence, 35  
 Explorations, 7, 12, 15, 19, 25, 56, 65, 68, 92, 93, 103, 114, 124  
 Expressional practice, 86
- F**
- Facilitator, 27, 41  
 Falsification, 35, 88, 96  
 Falsifying, 77  
 Field studies, 74, 79, 102  
 Field testing, 13, 96  
 Flexible in his practice, 6  
 Forlizzi, J., 21, 37, 55  
 Form language, vi, 15, 41, 56, 70, 91  
 Form-properties, 35, 123  
 Forms, v, vi, vii, 1, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22, 35, 41, 47, 49, 56, 58–60, 64, 65, 68, 70, 73, 74, 76–78, 80, 91, 92, 96–98, 100, 101, 109, 110, 112–114, 116–118  
 Framework, 6, 8, 10, 21, 22, 25–27, 30, 35–40, 45, 55, 56, 62, 63, 68, 70, 78, 80, 81, 83, 89, 91, 97–99, 101, 103, 104, 108–110, 112, 119  
 Framing, v, 1, 7, 8, 15, 21, 57, 58, 60, 61, 68, 70, 83
- Freire, P. 23, 119  
 Frayling, C., 18–21, 34, 37, 40, 82, 124  
 Furniture, 19, 89, 90, 103, 104, 115  
 Furniture-like, 19
- G**
- Gaver, B.  
     aesthetic accountability, 17, 53, 108  
     epistemological accountability, 17  
*Geisteswissenschaften*, 26, 112  
 Genealogy, 4, 9  
 Geographers, 64  
 Geologist, 5, 6  
 Geology, 5, 95  
 Gibson, J.J.  
     ecological psychology, 22, 109  
 Graphic design, 2, 8, 116  
 Graphics, x, 2, 8, 47, 50, 57, 84, 86, 97, 100, 116  
 Guidelines of restoration, 31
- H**
- Habermas, J., 16  
     emancipation, 16  
     power structures, 16  
 Hacktivism, 66, 74, 75, 119  
 Heidegger, M., vi, 115  
 Hermeneutical circle, 114  
     Gadamer, 114  
 Hermeneutic gap, 83–93, 107  
 Hermeneutic phenomenology, 78  
 Heroic, v, 7, 8, 84, 92  
 History of design, 17, 48, 100  
 Homing tactics, 65, 80  
 Human-computer interaction (HCI), viii, 6, 10, 16, 18, 19, 21, 89, 101, 120, 124  
 Humanities, 25, 27, 73, 77, 112, 114, 115, 123, 124  
 Hummels, C., 47, 54, 88  
 Hypothesis, 2, 4, 9, 12, 13, 30, 31, 33, 47–59, 61, 77, 84, 88, 92, 95, 98, 107, 112, 124  
 Hypothesis creation, 4  
 Hypothesizing, 6, 45, 50, 57, 58, 60
- I**
- iFloor*, 37, 38, 113  
 Ihde, D.  
     the Designer Fallacy, 21  
 Imagination, 23, 45, 56, 81  
 Impact, 40, 50, 51, 55, 58, 61, 62, 66, 80, 81, 116



Increasing predictability, 55  
 Industrial designers, 7, 65, 88, 102  
 Industries, 1, 5, 7, 8, 16, 18, 25, 34, 53, 58, 66,  
     98, 100–104, 110, 116, 117, 120  
 Information science, 22  
 Information theory, 116, 117  
 Initial assumptions, 5  
 Initial perceptions, 5  
 Innovations, v, 1, 7, 8, 16, 18, 38, 48, 49, 92,  
     102, 115  
 Intangible cultural, 33  
     heritage, 33  
     values, 33  
 Intentional drifting, 24, 104, 105, 107  
 Interaction design, 2, 10, 11, 21, 22, 26, 64,  
     84, 88, 89, 101, 108, 113  
 Interactionism, 49, 91, 102, 110  
 Interactive Spaces, 38  
 Interactive systems, v, 54, 99  
 Interior design, 115, 116  
 Intermediary exploratory prototypes, 89  
 Interpretations, vii, viii, 2, 5, 16, 18, 20–22,  
     24, 29, 84, 86, 93, 100  
 Interpretive, 26, 27, 40, 43, 101, 103  
 Interpretive social research, 43  
 Intersubjective community, 17  
 Intersubjectivity, 17, 55  
 Interventions, 4, 23, 31–33, 39, 85  
 Interview, 5, 31, 32, 51, 68  
 Irrelevant, 5, 19, 45  
 Iterative, 9, 48, 62, 97

## J

Jewelry, v, 20, 31, 32, 74, 81, 89  
     contemporary jewelry design, 31  
 Joinery, vi, 104  
 Jones, C.  
     *Design Methods*, 16, 96  
     matrix, 16  
 Justification, 2, 29, 35, 91

## K

Kant, I., vi, 119  
 Kinaesthetic Empathy Interaction  
     (KEI), 63  
 Knowledge, v, vi, vii, 1–5, 9–12, 15–27,  
     29–31, 33–37, 40, 41, 43–45, 47–65,  
     70, 71, 73, 76, 81, 83, 85, 86, 88–90,  
     92, 93, 95–105, 107–123  
     knowledge creation, 1, 21, 22, 50, 95, 97,  
     101, 108, 118  
     produce knowledge, 2, 12, 19, 50, 112  
     scientific knowledge, 2, 17, 112

Knowledge-Relevance model (K-R), 4, 45,  
     47–59, 61, 67, 73, 80–82, 107, 108, 112  
 Koskinen, I.  
     field, 85  
     lab, 85  
     showroom, 85  
 Kuhn, T., vi, 95–97

## L

Laboratory setting, 6, 62, 87  
 Laboratory style, 6, 10, 113  
 Lakatos, I., 22, 95  
 Language games, 57, 82, 100  
 Latour, B., vii, 41, 91, 100  
 Liberal art, 27  
 Literature, v, vii, viii, 1, 3, 8, 12, 21, 25, 26,  
     34, 44, 47, 51, 54, 55, 60, 68, 70, 73,  
     78, 80, 87, 89, 92, 103, 112, 115, 123,  
     124, m 90  
 Local research cultures, 11  
 Locke, J., vi  
 Log books, 54  
 Logical empiricism, vi, 96  
 Logic of invention, 35  
 Lynch, M., vii, 29, 91  
     science as practical activity, 29

## M

Management, viii, 39  
 Manzini, E., 12, 38, 43, 56  
 Mass, 44, 92  
 Material (design), 61, 102  
     artefacts, 1, 5, 20, 37, 40, 57, 68, 101  
     mock-ups, 5, 54, 57, 100  
     scenarios, 5  
     screen dumps, 5  
     service blueprints, 5  
     sketches, 5  
     video prototypes, 5  
 Material hypothesis, 33  
 Materials, v, vii, vi, 2, 5, 10, 33, 50, 52, 56, 57,  
     61, 65, 66, 75, 76, 86, 89, 102–104,  
     111, 113, 116, 118, 123  
 Mathematic, v, vi, 25, 26, 36, 88, 90, 104, 118  
 Mathematical models, 25, 26, 88, 118  
 Mayo, D.  
     new experimentalism, 9, 10, 96–100, 112  
 Mechanisms, 10, 113  
 Methodic, vi, 1, 8, 10, 17, 22, 27, 30, 34–36,  
     45, 54–56, 76, 78, 79, 81, 87, 89, 92,  
     93, 98, 102, 103, 112, 121  
 Methodic practice, 30, 34  
 Methodological approach, 60, 66

- Methodological backgrounds, 6
- Methodology, vii, 1, 6, 9–12, 16, 22, 25, 45, 50, 53, 59, 60, 62, 63, 65, 66, 68–70, 74, 80, 85, 87, 90, 96–98, 100, 102, 111, 112, 114, 121
- Mindfulness, 78
- Mitcham, C., vii, 48
- Mock-ups, 5, 9, 54, 57, 64, 67, 69, 76, 100, 112
- Mode 1 and Mode 2 knowledge, 43
- Museum, 20, 41, 42, 74, 79, 80, 116
- Mutual learning, 23, 27, 30, 57
- N**
- Nelson, H., 4
- New experimentalism, 9, 10, 95–105, 112, 113
- Norm, v, 6
- Novelty, 76, 81, 104, 115
- Nowotny, H., 43
- O**
- Object of design, 09, 12, 76
- Observations, 4, 5, 16, 40, 52, 53, 68–70, 75, 76, 96, 97, 99, 104, 115, 116
- Outcomes, vii, 20, 24, 27, 29, 30, 34, 43, 49, 50, 54, 68, 70, 75, 76, 81, 87, 88, 90, 91, 112, 119
- Overbeeke, K., 22, 25, 26, 35, 47, 53, 88, 90, 92, 104, 109, 110, 118
- P**
- Paradigms (research), 97
- Participatory design, 11, 12, 23, 24, 26, 40–43, 55, 57, 64, 80, 100, 108, 119, 124
- Participatory designers, 43, 108
- Passionate use, 15
- Peer judgment, 16
- Perspective, 5, 8, 11, 20, 24, 27, 29, 37–39, 41, 53, 64, 65, 67–69, 71, 76, 77, 80, 99, 100, 110
- PhD dissertations, vii, 2, 4, 59, 61, 123
- PhD level work, 11
- Phenomenological, 67, 68, 74, 75, 109, 110
- Phenomenology, vi, 49, 78, 109, 110
- Philosophical, v, 2, 16, 26, 35, 44, 60, 67, 71, 73, 75, 91, 95, 101, 108–110, 115, 116, 118, 119, 879
- Philosophy, vi, 1, 9, 18, 20, 22, 26, 35, 40, 44, 53, 74, 75, 91, 95, 96, 98, 104, 105, 110, 115, 119
- Physical hypothesis, 26, 49, 53, 88
- Physics, 26, 44, 95
- Einstein's theory of relativity, 95
- Newton's theory of gravity, 95
- Platonic idea, 16
- Policy making, viii
- Popper, K.
- cabinet maker, 2
- context of discovery, 2
- context of justification, 2
- falsificationist philosophy of science, 35
- Post-modernism, 1, 74
- Post-phenomenological philosophy, 53
- Potential futures, 9, 42, 56, 61, 113
- Practical experience, 31
- Practice-informed approach, 32
- Practices, 1, 15, 29, 48, 60, 73, 96, 107, 124
- Pragmatic, 16, 24, 26, 65, 120, 123, 124
- Probes, vi, 6, 7, 19, 31, 39, 42, 50, 56, 86, 87, 90, 98, 102, 119, 121
- Problem solving, 19, 26, 59, 83
- Process, 2, 15, 30, 47, 59, 73, 84, 96, 107
- Product design, 2, 7, 10, 11, 22, 48, 49, 88
- Products, x, v, 1, 2, 4, 7–12, 17–20, 22, 25, 33, 35, 45, 47–50, 53, 54, 56, 58, 61–68, 71, 73, 88–91, 98–101, 103, 104, 109, 116–118, 120, 123, 124
- Profession, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15, 18, 23, 25–27, 29, 30, 42, 43, 52, 60, 66, 68, 70, 74, 75, 83, 89, 91, 99, 108–110, 120, 121
- Professional practices, 5, 18, 25, 27, 29, 83, 120, 121
- Programmatic, 1, 29, 30, 36–40, 45, 55, 56, 77, 78, 81, 89–92
- Programs, 1, 8–10, 12, 13, 21, 22, 27, 29, 30, 36, 37, 39, 40, 45, 47, 51, 53–55, 60, 61, 75, 77, 81, 89–92, 97, 98, 101–104, 108–110, 113, 120, 124
- Proof, 2, 39, 44, 100
- Props, 10, 41, 53, 87
- Protocol, 35, 91, 95, 98
- Proto-science, 88
- Prototypes, 5, 9, 13, 26, 35, 36, 38, 52–55, 59, 64, 68, 79, 89, 101–103, 109, 110, 112, 113, 121
- Prototyping, 52, 76, 77, 87, 101–103
- low and hi-fidelity, 101
- Provisional hypotheses, 61
- Provotypes, 52, 68, 69
- R**
- Raby, F., 19, 20, 87, 118
- Radical-incremental continuum, 8
- Rationalize, 35

- Re-colonialism, 116
- Redoing, 8
- Redström, J.
  - experiment and program, 8
  - transitional theories, 56
- Reductio ad absurdum, 87
- Refocusing, 7, 8
- Reframing, v, 1, 7, 8, 15, 57
- Regionalisms, 116, 119
- Relational aesthetics, 119
- Relevance, 2, 4, 27, 31, 45, 47–59, 62, 73, 83, 107, 108, 112
- Reliability, 15
- Remaking, 8
- Repairing, 8
- Repercussive evaluation, 76
- Research, 1, 15, 29, 47, 59, 73, 83, 95, 107, 123
  - constructive design research (research-through-design), v, vii, 1, 2, 4–13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 25–27, 29, 30, 39, 40, 44, 45, 47–51, 53, 55–62, 68, 69, 71, 73, 74, 76, 77, 80–84, 86, 89, 92, 93, 95–97, 99, 101, 103, 107–109, 111, 113, 114, 116, 118–121
  - craft research, 12, 20, 124
  - design researchers, v, vii, viii, 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 21, 25, 27, 38, 45, 47, 49–51, 56, 69, 71, 73, 74, 83–86, 92, 93, 96, 101, 104, 105, 112, 115, 117, 120
  - research community, 2, 17–19, 22, 26, 29, 30, 55, 57, 88, 90, 103, 116, 124
  - research discipline, vii, 2, 4, 49, 58, 83
  - research interest, 4, 35, 38, 50, 61, 65, 70, 83
  - research techniques, theories and methods, 2, 25, 50
  - theory, 4, 6, 47
- Researcher, 1, 16, 34, 47, 60, 73, 83, 96, 107, 124
- Research perspectives, 5
- Research practice, 6, 13, 25, 45, 71, 80, 83, 96, 98, 101, 107, 117, 124
- Research program, 9, 22, 36, 47, 51, 54, 55, 60, 97, 101, 103, 120, 124
- Research pursuit, 50, 52, 66
- Research question, 45, 60, 68, 110, 123, 124
- Research-through-design, 18–20, 34, 37, 53, 60, 73, 124
- Research through design conference, 20
- Rich interaction, 35, 60, 62, 109, 119
- Ritual objects, 77, 78
- S**
- Sanders, E., 5, 12, 23
- Scandinavia, 12, 24, 26, 41, 56, 96, 117, 118
- Scandinavian, 2, 23, 41, 57, 117, 123
- Scholarly practice, 5
- Schön, D.
  - reflection-in-action, 83
  - reflection-on-action, 83
- Science, 3, 17, 29, 47, 65, 73, 83, 95, 108, 123
- Scientific, v, vi, 2, 9, 11, 16, 17, 20, 23–25, 27, 29, 34, 35, 41, 42, 44, 60, 75, 76, 88, 96, 108, 112, 114, 115
  - measurement, 6
  - model, 6
- Sculpture, 18
- Semiotics, 78, 110, 116, 117, 123
- Sensitivities, 19, 25, 31, 60
- Sensitizing device, 47
- Services, v, 5, 15, 23, 39, 47, 54, 56, 63, 81, 99, 103, 118, 120
- Shape-changing interfaces, 64, 89, 92
- Showroom, 6, 20, 74, 85, 87
- Situationism, 49, 110, 119
- Sketch, 3, 5, 54, 59, 61, 62, 68, 88, 112
- Sketching, 6, 7, 50, 61, 69, 76, 87
- Skills, vi, 2, 3, 12, 24, 31, 35, 38, 57, 76, 81, 83, 90, 91, 100, 104, 119–121
  - cognitive, 35
  - emotional, 35
  - perceptual-motor, 35
- Skills and practice of design*, 12
- Social, 6, 16, 20, 25–27, 37–40, 43, 47, 48, 51, 53, 57, 70, 73, 76–78, 80, 81, 87, 91, 98, 99, 102, 103, 112–114, 118, 123, 124
  - environment, 6
  - forms, 47
  - interaction, 37, 38, 113
  - organizations, 99
  - practices, 27, 39, 43
- Social science model, 6
- Social sciences, 6, 25, 26, 40, 47, 53, 70, 73, 77, 80, 87, 112
- Sociology, 26, 29, 43, 44, 98, 100, 117, 119
- Sociology of science, 44
- Solution oriented discipline, 5, 83
- Spaces, 10, 26, 38, 41, 47, 54, 75, 79, 80, 85, 99, 103, 113, 124
- Speculative design, 87
- Spurring imagination, 45
- Stappers, P.J., 54, 88, 97, 112
- Stochastic processes, 10, 113
- Stolterman, E., 4, 37, 83, 116

Studios, v, vi, 8, 19, 35, 39, 55, 71, 75,  
88, 90, 102  
Studying it experimentally, 6  
*Subject to design*, 12  
Supervisors, 11, 109  
Symbolic interactionism, 49, 91, 102  
Systematizing local knowledge, 65  
Systemic approaches, 42  
Systems, v, 17, 18, 23, 24, 30, 42, 47, 54, 67,  
68, 74, 75, 77, 83, 99, 101, 117, 120

## T

Tacit knowledge, 35, 115  
Polanyi, M., 115  
Take samples, 5  
Tangible interaction, 62  
Technical/analytical research theories and  
methods, 4  
Technologies, 18, 23, 24, 31, 37, 41–44, 51,  
52, 56, 57, 70, 73, 79, 89, 102, 116–118  
Temporary materialization, 42  
Theater and staging, 17  
Theoretical frameworks, 27, 55, 109  
Theoretical knowledge, 5, 48  
Theories, 2, 17, 31, 47, 60, 73, 88, 95, 109  
Theosophy, 18  
Transcend, 43  
Truth likeness, 15  
Truths, vi, 15, 57, 88  
Typologies, 11, 59, 61, 62, 69–71, 73

## U

Ultimate particulars, 4, 116  
University departments, 3

Upcycling, 8  
Usability studies, 6  
Useful, v, vii, 5, 6, 11, 68, 71, 73, 83, 84, 99,  
101, 102, 107, 112, 113, 115, 119–121  
User-centered design, 8, 13, 24, 27, 42, 44, 67,  
116, 124  
User studies, 23, 24, 40, 120

## V

Validity, 15, 17, 27, 50, 73–75, 90,  
91, 116  
Valuable, 5, 8, 12, 31, 42, 66, 73, 79, 81, 115  
van Stappers P.J., 4  
Vernacular buildings, 31

## W

Wallace, J., 31, 86  
Ways of drifting, 4, 11, 59–71, 73, 85  
accumulative, 59, 62, 64, 65, 68–70  
comparative, 59, 62, 63, 65, 67–70  
expansive, 59, 62, 64, 68–70, 91  
probing, 59, 62, 65–67, 70  
serial, 59, 62, 65, 69, 70, 91  
Wensveen, S., 6, 22, 87, 92  
Western methods, 98  
Wicked problems, 83  
Wittgenstein, L., vi, 20, 100  
*Wissenschaft*, 96, 112  
Wizard-of-Oz, 64, 89

## Z

Zimmerman, J., 21, 22, 26, 37, 49, 55, 56, 60,  
67, 70, 73, 77, 80, 99, 101