# Theory and Practice of Wet Spinning of Cellulose Solutions

**Doctoral Course**, Part 3



Herbert Sixta

March 10 – 11, 2022

## Schedule

L1	Introduction, Raw material	March 10	9:00 – 9:45
L2	Raw materials. Cellulose solvent	March 10	10:00-10:45
L3	Cellulose solvents	March 10	11:00-11:45
L4	Cellulose solvents	March 10	12:00-12:45
	Break		
L5	Cellulose dissolution	March 10	14:00-14:45
L6	Rheology	March 10	15:00-15:45
L7	Cellulose dissolution/ Coagulation and Regeneration	March 10	16:00-16:45
L8	Coagulation and Regeneration	March 11	9:00 – 9:45
L9	Coagulation and Regeneration	March 11	10:00-10:45
L10	Filament breaches	March 11	11:00-11:45
L11	Types and properties of MMCFs	March 11	12:00-12:45
L12	Q&A	March 11	13:00 -

## Outline

- 1. Introduction, history
- 2. Pulp as raw material
- 3. Cellulose solvents
- 4. Theoretical aspects of cellulose dissolution
- 5. Rheology of cellulose solutions
- 6. Coagulation and regeneration of cellulose
- 7. Filament breaches during spinning
- 8. Types of MMCFs
- 9. Properties of MMCFs

### **Cellulose solvents**

- Overview
- Direct cellulose solvents
- Alkaline aqueous solutions with&without derivatization
- Assessment of solution state

3

#### Non-derivatizing

**Cellulose solvents** 

#### Derivatizing



 $H_3PO_4 (> 85\%) + H_2O \rightarrow Cell - O - PO_3H_2$  $HCOOH + ZnCl_2 \rightarrow Cell - O - C(O)H$  $CF_3COOH + CF_3(CO)_2O \rightarrow Cell - O - CCF_3$  $N_2 O_4 \xrightarrow{DMF} Cell - O - N = O$  $\underline{Me_3SiCl} \xrightarrow{Pyridin} Cell - O - SiMe_3$  $\underline{HNO_{3}} \xrightarrow{\overline{H_{2}SO_{4}}} Cell - O - NO_{2}$  $SO_3 \xrightarrow{ClSO_3} Cell - O - SO_3H$  $CS_2 \xrightarrow{NaOH} Cell - O - CSS^-Na^+$  $CO_2(NH_2)_2 \xrightarrow{NaOH} Cell - O - C(O)NH_2$  $Ac_2O + HOAc \xrightarrow{H^+} Cell - O - C(O)CH_3$  $\underline{Me} - X \xrightarrow{NaOH} Cell - O - CH_3$  $ClCH_2COO^-Na^+ \xrightarrow{NaOH} Cell - O - CH_2COONa$  $(CH_2)_2 0 \xrightarrow{NaOH} Cell - 0 - CH_2 CH_2 0H$ 

### **Cellulose solvents**

- Overview
- Direct cellulose solvents
- Alkaline aqueous solutions with&without derivatization
- Assessment of solution state

3

Direct Cellulose Solvents

# NMMO Monohydrate

# Ionic Liquids

### NMMO and NMMO hydrates

Parameter	NMMO	NMMO*H <sub>2</sub> 0	NMMO*2.5 H <sub>2</sub> O
Formula	$C_5H_{11}NO_2$	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>13</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	$C_{10}H_{32}N_2O_9$
M (g/mol)	117,1	135,2	324,4
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1,25	1,28	1,33
Mp (°C)	184	77	39
Crystal form	Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub> /m	Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub> /c	Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub> /c

T. Rosenau et al.: Progr. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26 (9), 1763-1837.

### Phase diagram NMMO and water



O. Biganska, P. Navard. Polymer 44(2003) 1035-1039

## Chemistry of NMMO



Highly polar N-O group

- High hydrophilicity, hygroscopicity
- Strong oxidant: primary alkyl, benzyl to the corresponding aldehydes
- N-O bond able to form one or two H-bonds with water or cellulose
- NMMO dissolves cellulose up to a content of 17% water (w/w), corresponding to a 1.2 hydrate.
- The lower limit of water content is 4% as the dissolution temperature comes close to the decomposition point of NMMO

T. Rosenau et al.: Progr. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26 (9), 1763-1837.

### LYOCELL • NMMO Chemistry



T. Rosenau et al.: Progr. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26 (9), 1763-1837. Rosenau et al.: Cellulose. 2002. 9. 283

⊢

4

### Degradation pathways of NMMO



H. Firgo, M. Eibl, W. Kalt, G. Meister, Lenz, Ber. 74 (1994) 81-89

### Homolytic reactions

- Activation by protonation or complexation with metal ions
- Cleavage via primary Aminyl radical



- Reactions to NMM, M and HCHO
- Transition metal ions, Cu, Fe ..., catalyse the decomposition of NMMO
- Aminyl radical can oxidize cellulose to 2-keto structures
- Presence of O<sub>2</sub> leads to peroxyl radicals which might affect carbohydrates

### Heterolytic reactions

- Activation by protonation or O-alkylation
- Heterolytic deoxygenation of NMMO to NMM: reducing end groups are oxidized to carboxylic acids.
- Polonovski type of reactions: intramolecular redox processes cause degradation of NMMO to M and HCHO.
- N-(methylene)morpholinium ions (Mannich intermediates) decompose NMMO into M and HCHO in a heterolytic, autocatalytic process.
  - Autocatalytic decomposition is highly exothermic, quickly becomes explosion-like -> thermal runaway reactions

### Heterolytic cleavage of N-O



T. Rosenau et al.: Progr. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26 (9), 1763-1837.

### Thermal decomposition products

### Gas phase O H H CO<sub>2</sub>

### Viscous, brown "organic phase"



+ highly condensed products

Rosenau, T. et al. Progress in Polymer Science, 2001, 26(9), 1763-1837.

#### Liquid "aqueous" phase



#### Solid residue:

Carbon, Highly condensed (aromatic) structures Direct Cellulose Solvents

# NMMO Monohydrate

# Ionic Liquids



Salt melts (> 100°C)

lonic Liquids (< 100°C)

Room temperature ionic liquids (RTIL)



### First generation IL: Imidazolium-based halides

#### Ionic liquids tested



200 100°C, 3% cellulose 150 150 50 0 Pulp ABIM-CI AAIM-CI BMIM-CI AMIM-CI

Partial DP stabilization by exchange of anion (Cl<sup>-</sup> by DMP<sup>-</sup>) or trapping the released acid by addition of methyl imidazole or partially by addition of GPE



Lenz. Ber. (2005), 84, 71-85. Lenz. Ber. (2006), 86, 154-161

#### Spinning:

Pulp: *E. urograndis* PHK; 11 wt% cellulose in dope, **GPE+NaOH** as stabilizer; dissolution at 100°C, 30 mbar in vertical kneader; dryjet wet monofilament spinning at 100°C

Solvent	Titre	Ten-cond	Elong- cond	Ten- wet	Elong wet
	dtex	cN/tex	%	cN/tex	%
[BMIM]CI	2.1	45.0	7.5	32.8	8.1
[AMIM]CI	2.2	41.6	12.2	33.4	17.6
NMMO Tencel™	1.3	37.0	15.0	31.0	17.0

#### **Conclusions: No alternative to NMMO**

PROS:

- Non-volatile solvent
- No exothermic events CONS:
- Nearly water-free
- Highly corrosive
- Severe cellulose degradation
- Potentially toxic



Good solvents, spinning after dope stabilization possible

#### [AAIM]CI [ABIM]CI

Can dissolve cellulose, but problems in spinning

Chlorides can be replaced by dimethylphosphates (DMP). Dissolution successfully tested, no spinning experiments.

### Screening of Halide-free Ionic liquids

#### Excellent Cellulose Solvent [Emim][OAc], [Bmim][OAc] Good Cellulose Solvent







1-allyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium dimethyl phosphate

1-butyl-3-methyl-







imidazolium ethyl methyl phosphate

1-allyl-3-methyl-imidazolium dimethyl phosphate

1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium dimethylphosphate

#### **Poor Cellulose Solvent**



 $N^{+}$   $H_{3}CO$   $N^{-}$  N-methoxy-N-methylmorpholinium



1-allyl-3-butylimidazolium dimethylphosphate



1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methylimidazolium dimethyl phosphate

**No Cellulose Solvent** 

1-allyl-3-ethyl imidazolium acetate



1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3methylimidazolium acetate

ACS Symposium Series, 2010. 1033(Cellulose Solvents): p. 229-259.

### Screening of ionic liquids with asymmetric phosphate-derived anions

Aim: Stable ILs, with reduced viscosity and melting point:

Proposal: Reduced symmetry of the ions leads to lower melting points and viscosities of the IL Dimethyl phosphorothioate as asymmetric anion



Acceptable cellulose solubility & stability

R1: Pr, Bn R2: Me

Certain solubility but severe cellulose degradation

R1: All, Bu, Bn, (HOEt)

#### No cellulose dissolution

R1: All R2: Bu

R2: Me

Acceptable cellulose solubility & stability



#### **Result:**

Objective only partially achieved; no clear trend showing a reduced viscosity related to the asymmetry of the anions; partly strong cellulose degradation.

### Overview on Imidazolium-based Ionic liquids tested



## [Emim][OAc] shows excellent cellulose solubility, low viscosity and good thermal properties

Lenz. Ber (2005), 84:71-85

Lenz. Ber (2006), 86:154-161

Chemistry Letters (2012), 41(9), 945-946. Green Chem (2011), 13:2507

Polymers 2019, 11, 845

### Chemical reactivity of imidazolium-based ILs



- Formation of carboxylic acids, HCOOH, as a result of pulp degradation
- Accumulation of inorganic salts from the pulp in the IL
- Limited thermal stability (~ 0.01%/h at 100 110°C)

### Aqueous Onium Electrolytes

### Phase-separable ILs

### OH-



BioResources (2017), 12, 4515 Green Chem (2015), 17, 4432





Cellulose (**2017**), 24, 49-59 ACS Sustainable Chem Eng (**2018**), 6, 2898-2904



[P<sub>8881</sub>][OAc] + DMSO RSC Adv., (2017), 7, 17451

60:40 w/w mixture of IL and DMSO dissolved up to 8% cellulose:

60 wt% of the IL recovered via phase separation with a further of 37 wt% after EtOH washing.

### Amino Acid Ionic Liquid



### **Dissolution of cellulose (MCC)**

at 100°C, 10 min:

[N <sub>221ME</sub> ][Ala], KT-β = 1.041	12 wt%
[N <sub>221ME</sub> ][Lys],	11 wt%
[N <sub>221ME</sub> ][OAc],	7 wt%

*N*,*N*,-diethyl-*N*-(2-methoxyethyl)-*N*-methylammonium alanine

[N<sub>221ME</sub>][Ala]: DMSO = 1:1 (w/w), χ<sub>IL</sub> = 0.25 dissolves 22 wt% cellulose at RT

Amino group essential to realize high cellulose dissolution-> amino group may interact with certain parts of cellulose.

Chem. Lett. 2012, 41, 987-989; ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 388-391

### PROTIC IONIC LIQUIDS (PILS)

Superbase-based ionic liquids, new generation IL: joint findings of HU and AALTO

 $[DBUH]^{+}[CO_{2}Et]^{-} \hookrightarrow DBU_{(l)} + HCO_{2}Et_{(l)}$  $\approx DBU_{(g)} + HCO_{2}Et_{(g)}$ 





Stoichiometric reactions between Brönsted acids and bases. Stable salts require a complete transfer of the proton from the acid to the base is key! Aqueous  $pK_a$  values of precursor acids and bases are regarded as predictive:  $\Delta pK_a = pK_{a,base} - pK_{a,acid} > 8 - 10$ 



Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 6301-6305 Ananda S. Amarasekara. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, 116, 6133–6183 Org.Process.Res.Dev. **2019**, 23, 1860-1871 Croatica Chemica Acta **2014**, 87 (4), 385-395 Parviainen, A. et al. ChemSusChem **2013**, 6, 2161-2169

### Acid Superbase Conjugates



1,5-diazabicyclo[4.3.0] non-5-ene (DBN) SOLVENT-1

7-methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo [4.4.0] dec-5-ene (mTBD) SOLVENT-2

1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0] undec-7-ene (**DBU**) SOLVENT-3

N,N,N,N,N,N,-hexamethylphosphorimide triamide (HMPI)

N,N,N,N,-tetramethyl guanidinium (TMG)

1,2-dimethyl-1,4,5,6tetrahydropyrimidine (**DMP**)



Croat. Chem. Acta 2014, 87, 385-395

Parviainen, A. et al. ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 2161-2169

### Degree of Proton Transfer in BAILs



 $\begin{array}{cccc} Mim &+ & AcOH &\rightleftharpoons [MimH][OAc] \\ x_{Mim} & & x_{AcOH} & & 0 \\ x_{Mim} - x_{[MimH]} & & x_{AcOH} - x_{[MimH]} & & x_{[MimH]} \end{array}$ 

Chemical shift in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR:  $\delta = \delta_{AcOH} \cdot (x_{AcOH} - x_{[MimH]}) + \delta_{[MimH]} \cdot x_{[MimH]}$ 

$$K_{eq} = \frac{x_{[MimH]}^2}{\left(x_{Mim} - x_{[MimH]}\right)\left(x_{AcOH} - x_{[MimH]}\right)}$$

Proton transfer, 
$$\% = \frac{\sqrt{K_{eq}}}{\sqrt{K_{eq}} + 1}$$

$$Ionicity = \frac{\sqrt{165}}{\sqrt{165} + 1} = 93\%$$

J. Phys. Chem. B 2018, 122, 309-315

### **Green solvents**



#### Ionic Liquids, liquid at <100°C



1,5-diaza-bicyclo[4.3.0]non-5enium acetate



7-methyl-1,5,7triazabicyclo[4.4.0] dec-5-enium acetate





1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-enium acetate



Parviainen, A. et al. ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 2161-2169 Haslinger, S.; Schlapp-Hackl, I. (2020), unpublished

Schlapp-Hackl, I. et al. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. (2022), 61, 259-268

### Hydrolytic instability of Superbases in the Presence of Water

### Mechanism of hydrolysis of superbases



reaction rate constant  $(k_1 = \Sigma(k_1 + k'_1); k_2 = \Sigma(k_2 + k'_2),$ 

initial concentration of B in mol/L

[B]t, [C]t, [D]t concentration of B, C, D in (mol\*s)/L

Model 2: Consecutive reaction with a reversible hydrolysis reaction:

$$BA + W \stackrel{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons} C + W \stackrel{k_3}{\to} D + W$$
$$k_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}_{t} = \frac{[mTBD]_{0}}{\gamma_{2} - \gamma_{1}} [(k_{2} + k_{3} - \gamma_{1})e^{-\gamma_{1}t} - (k_{2} + k_{3} - \gamma_{2})e^{-\gamma_{2}t}] \\ \begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix}_{t} = \frac{k_{1}[B]_{0}}{\gamma_{2} - \gamma_{1}} [e^{-\gamma_{1}t} - e^{-\gamma_{2}t}] \\ \begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}_{0} \left[ 1 + \frac{k_{1}k_{3}}{\gamma_{1}(\gamma_{1} - \gamma_{2})}e^{-\gamma_{1}t} + \frac{k_{1}k_{3}}{\gamma_{2}(\gamma_{2} - \gamma_{1})}e^{-\gamma_{2}t} \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

With

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = k_1 k_3; \quad \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$$
$$\gamma_2 = 0.5 \cdot \left( k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \pm \sqrt{k_1^2 + 2k_1(k_2 - k_3) + (k_2 + k_3)^2} \right)$$

t

[B]<sub>0</sub>

k

time in h.

 $k_{3} = \Sigma(k_{3} + k'_{3}))$ 

Schlapp-Hackl, I. et al. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. (2022), 61, 259-268

### Hydrolytic instabilities of superbases



- Bicyclic guanidine-based IL, [mTBDH][OAc], exhibits a central CN3 core.
- Delocalized e<sup>-</sup> overlap with the empty π-orbital of the centered sp<sup>2</sup>-carbon.
- With HOAc, protonation occurs forming a rigid N-H bond.
- Stabilization ensured by generation of intermolecular H bond.
- In the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, ring opening to cyclic urea occurs
- Stability towards hydrolysis: mTBD > DBU >> DBN

### A:B stoichiometry

### Effect of water content

### Superstoichiometric amounts of HOAc stabilize mTBD towards hydrolysis



Stability of mTBD as a function of water content expressed by the equilibrium



Max. hydrolysis tendency in 10-20 wt% water occurs at **high combined water and mTBD activity**. Available amount of [OH<sup>-</sup>] increases up to a water content of 20 wt% before it decreases to almost = at  $H_2O$  content > 70 wt%

### **Cellulose solvents**

- Overview
- Direct cellulose solvents
- Alkaline aqueous solutions with&without derivatization
- Assessment of solution state

3

Aqueous solutions

# without derivatization

# with derivatization

# Wet Spinning-1

Take-up	p godet PROCESS	Dissolution	Regeneration	Reference
Stra Stra Cut Aft	retch bath retch unit (trio) itter ter-treatment	Urea/thiourea, NaOH, (LiOH), ZnO,	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> / NH <sub>4</sub> Cl Phytic acid	Journal of Polymer Science: Part B: Polymer Physics, Vol 40, 1521- 1529 (2002); Fibers and Polymers (200), 10, 34-39 ACS Sustainable Chem.Eng.(2018), 6, 5314-5321
Spin bath	TreeToText	ile NaOH, ZnO	a) $H_2SO_4$ , $Na_2SO_4$ , ZnSO <sub>4</sub> b) $Na_2CO_3$ , NaOH	WO 2020 251463 EP 3231899A1 WO 2020 171767 WO 2020 231315
Cellulose solution Regeneration	Biocelsol (NeoCel)	Enzyme, NaOH, ZnO, Additives, Enzymes	a) $H_2SO_4$ , $Na_2SO_4$ , ZnSO <sub>4</sub> b) $Na_2CO_3$ , CaO (causticizing)	Cellulose (2020) 27:8681– 8693; Chemical Fibers International 2020, 70, 128- 130

**•••**O

**`O**'

0-

## Cellulose dissolution in NaOH<sub>ag</sub>

### Phase diagram of ternary system cellulose / NaOH / water





- Na<sup>+</sup> have polar interactions only with O2 and O3
- No intersheet H-bonds as large Na<sup>+</sup> & H<sub>2</sub>O molecules separate the chains

- A. Sarko et al., In ACS Series 340, edited by R.H. Atalla, p169pp
- B. Zugenmaier, P. Progress in Polymer Science (2001), 26(9), 1341-1417

Sobue, H.; Kiessig, H.; Hess,K. Z. Phys. Chem. 1939, 43, 309

## Steps of Cellulose Dissolution (1)

- 1. Cellulose is amphiphilic: dissolution requires both the elimination of H-bonding and the elimination of hydrophobic interactions.
- 2. Cellulose dissolution governed by the free energy of mixing.
- 3. NaOH forms hydrates with H<sub>2</sub>O capable of breaking inter-, intramolecular H-bonds.
- 4. NaOH shows a narrow concentration range where it acts as a solvent due to the concentration-dependent size of the NaOH<sub>x</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O hydrates.

## Steps of Cellulose Dissolution (2)

- 5. Hydroxyl groups (C2, C3) are deprotonated→dissociated counterions strongly contribute to the translational entropy of mixing.
- 6. Dissolution governed by Molar Mass: the higher, the weaker the entropic driving force.
- 7. Polymer dissolution often controlled by kinetics rather than by thermodynamics.
- Dissolution ability of NaOH/water can be improved by addition of ZnO, urea & thiourea: ZnO-> Zn(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>: forms H-bonds with cellulose
# Cause of low temperature demand (3)

- 9. Breaking of H-bonds in crystalline regions is endothermic.
- 10. All other interactions between cellulose OH groups and the solvent system are exothermic→Overall exothermic.
- 11. High temperature induces conformational changes of cellulose, making cellulose less polar
- 12. Therefore, attractive interactions with polar solvent are reduced at higher temperatures

B. Medronho, B. Lindman / Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 222 (2015) 502–508

# Solubility of Cellulose in NaOH<sub>aq</sub>

 $\oplus$  $\oplus$ Solvated Hydrated Hydrated Separated hydrated dipole ions ions dipole 10-15 Å 5-8 Å 15-20 Å 8-10 Å C<sub>NaOH</sub> I 9% (7-10) 20% **Mercerization** dissolution (Cell-Na crystal)

6% NaOH at -15°C followed by adding a 14 wt% NaOH solution  $\rightarrow$  Change in C<sub>NaOH</sub> leads to cellulose dissolution (**turn point is at 9%**): NaOH hydrates penetrate into cellulose and detach individual chains into solution.

Low T favors strong network of NaOH hydrates, while, upon heating cellulose chains crosslink and form gels.



Ying Wang, PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2008

### Ionization & Mechanism of Cellulose dissolution



2 dissociation steps at pH 12 (hemiacetal OH) and pH 13.5 (OH on C2 of NREG), the latter more relevant for cellulose dissolution.

J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 5044-5048



According to MD simulation, aggregation is suppressed upon charging cellulose in solution  $\rightarrow$  Crucial for solubilization

Translational entropy very much higher for ionic polymers with dissociating counterions than for non-ionic polymers

## Structure of cellulose-NaOH solutions



Roy, C.; et al. Biomacromolecules 2001, 2, 687-693

Number of H<sub>2</sub>O bound to NaOH,  $X = (N_{H_2O}/N_{NaOH}) = F_{bound} \frac{(100 - C_{NaOH})}{18} \frac{40}{C_{NaOH}}$   $C_{NaOH} = 5\% \rightarrow X = 0.45 \cdot \frac{95}{18} \cdot \frac{40}{5} = 19$  $C_{NaOH} = 20\% \rightarrow X = 1 \cdot \frac{80}{18} \cdot \frac{40}{20} = 9$ 

NaOH hydrates are composed of a core bound with 9 H<sub>2</sub>O/NaOH

Fraction of bound water:  $F_{bound} = (1 - F_{free})$  $F_{free,5\% NaOH} = \frac{200 J/g}{365 J/g} = 0.55; F_{bound} = 0.45$ 

 $F_{free}$  is the same in NaOH+H<sub>2</sub>O and Cellulose+NaOH+H<sub>2</sub>O

# Effect of DP on cellulose dissolution

### Dissolution procedure:

Cellulose/NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>O suspension at RT Suspension cooled to -20°C until frozen. Thawing to RT, adding H<sub>2</sub>O until clear: **Solution: 2% Cellulose in 5% NaOH** 

#### Effect of DP on solubility clearly visible



### Summary

- MCC completely soluble, independent of pre-treatments
- · Mercerization does not improve solubility
- Amorphization improves solubility
- Delignification improves accessibility and thus solubility



# Limit of cellulose dissolution

#### Dissolution procedure:

NaOH dissolved in water in ~ 12%, cooled to -6°C; Avicel PH-101 (DP = 170) mixed with water at +5°C, 2h, separately. Cold NaOH/water added to swollen MCC. Preparation of **0.5-7.6 % MCC** and **7.6-8.0 % NaOH** at -6°C, stirred for 2 h, stored at +5°C.



- 1. Cellulose/NaOH/Water phase diagram: detailed DSC experiments on MCC/NaOH/water
- DSC: Eutectic mixture, NaOHx5 H<sub>2</sub>O & 4 H<sub>2</sub>O, melts at ~ -34°C
- 3. When  $\Delta H_{eut}/\Delta H_{eut,0} \rightarrow 0$  all NaOH molecules are linked with cellulose
- 4. Eutectic peak disappears at m<sub>cellulose</sub>/m<sub>NaOH</sub> = 1, which translates to 4 moles NaOH per mol AGU (6% NaOH\*162g/mol/6% cellulose\*40 g/mol)
- NaOH as cellulose solvent in the range of 6-8%: Thus, 6-8 wt% of cellulose is the maximum that can be dissolved in NaOH/water

Egal, M.; Budtova, T.; Navard, P. Biomacromolecules (2007), 8, 2282-2287

## Gelation of cellulose-NaOH<sub>aq</sub> solutions

#### Dissolution procedure:

Avicel PH-101 mixed with 6% NaOH at -6°C, stirred; then an amount of 15% NaOH added to reach a total **NaOH concentration of 9%** at a **5 % cellulose** concentration; final mixture stirred at -6°C



- 1. Independence of  $E_A$  (~ 20 kJ/mol) of a 9% NaOH solution on [Cellulose]  $\rightarrow$  Cell/NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures are no real solutions
- At T>20°C, [η] decreases→ compaction of hydrophobic interaction
- Gelation not reversible→local chain segregation

# H-bonding vs hydrophobic interactions



(A) H-bonded molecular sheet(B) Van der Waals-associated sheet

Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 23704—23718 Carbohydr. Res., 2009, 344(9), 1085-1094 Warwicker, J.O.; Wright, A.C. J. Appl. Polym. Sci., (1967), 11, 659-671 Cellulose chains stack via hydrophobic interactions and form sheet-like structures

#### Any solvent needs to break both the

- intermolecular H-bonds in one plane
- the hydrophobic interaction forces between the C-H direction in the perpendicular direction

# **Dissolution kinetics**

#### $\Delta H_{Dissolution} = \Delta H_{fusion} + \Delta H_{transition} + \Delta H_{interaction} + \Delta H_{mixing}$

- $\Delta H_{fusion}$  disintegration of crystalline domains (endothermic)
- $\Delta H_{transition}$  transition of amorphous regions from glas to a elastic state (exothermic)
- $\Delta H_{interaction}$  solvation of macromolecules (exothermic)
- $\Delta H_{mixing}$  mixing of solvated molecules with solvent to give an infinitely diluted solution (exothermic)

#### Eyring-Polany equation (1935): activated-complex theory

$$k = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\frac{\Delta G^{\#}}{RT}}$$

$$k = \left(\frac{k_B T}{h}\right) Exp\left(\frac{\Delta S^{\#}}{R}\right) Exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\#}}{RT}\right)$$

$$ln\frac{k}{T} = \frac{-\Delta H^{\#}}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{T} + ln\frac{k_B}{h} + \frac{\Delta S^{\#}}{R}$$
Slope:  $-\Delta H^{\#}/R$ 
Intercept:  $\ln\left(\frac{k_B}{h}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^{\#}}{R}$ 

- *k* reaction rate constant
- T absolute temperature
- $\Delta H^{\#}$  enthalpy of activation
- $\Delta S^{\#}$  entropy of activation
- $k_B$  Boltzmann constant (1.38065\*10<sup>-23</sup> J/K
- *h* Planck's constant (6.62607\*10<sup>-34</sup> J/Hz)
- **R** gas constant

Ying Wang, PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2008

# **Dissolution kinetics**

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

2.5% Cotton linter, DP<sub>v</sub> 800 (SCAN 565 mL/g), in
6% NaOH in
6% NaOH / 4% urea
No stirring!

Change in cellulose crystallinity of undissolved cellulose is an indicator the extent of dissolution

- 15° (*II*)

$$I_{cw} = \frac{m_t}{m_0} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{I_{min}}{I_{max}}\right)$$
$$I_{min} 2\theta \sim 17 - 18^{\circ} (I), 14$$

$$I_{max} 2\theta \sim 20 - 22^{\circ} (I (200), II (020))$$

$$-\frac{dI_{cw}}{dt} = k_{obs} \cdot I_{cw}$$
$$ln\frac{k_{obs}}{T} = \frac{-\Delta H^{\#}}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{T} + ln\frac{k_B}{h} + \frac{\Delta S^{\#}}{R}$$

Ying Wang, PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2008



## Role of urea

# Role of $Zn(OH)_4^{2-}$



7 wt% NaOH / 12 wt% Urea, precooled to -12°C. 4-5 wt% Cellulose with  $M_{\eta} \le 120$  kDa can be fully dissolved within 2-5 min.



Urea hydrates cannot associate directly with cellulose, but can self-assemble at the surface of NaOH H-bonded Cellulose $\rightarrow$  worm-like inclusion complex

**Solid-state NMR:** In the presence of urea, C4 peak resonates in amorphous zone

**Rheology:** presence of urea delays gelation of cellulose,  $T_g$  shifted to higher temperature



The addition of 0.5% ZnO improved cellulose solubility strongly, while higher ZnO dosage impairs cellulose dissolution

Cellulose solubility dependent on molar mass.

Increased cellulose solubility as a result of stronger interaction between  $Zn(OH)_4^{2-}$  and cellulose; it breaks intermolecular H-bonds of cellulose and acts as a spacer between cellulose sheets

Yang, Q.; Zhang, L.; et al. Carbohydrate Polymers 83 (2011) 1185-1191

Luis Alves, et al. Björn Lindman. Gels 2018, 4, 87

# Urea vs thiourea



Jiang Z.; Zhang, L. et al. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, (2017), 121, 1793-1801

#### Cotton linters pulp: $[\eta]_{cuen} \sim 250 \text{ mL/g}$

#### **Dissolution of 4 wt% cotton linters**

- -10°C: 7.0 wt% NaOH / 12 wt% urea
- ii. 5°C: 9.5 wt% NaOH / 4.5 wt% thiourea
- iii. + 8°C: 9.3 wt% NaOH / 7.4 wt% thiourea

#### Thiourea vs Urea:

- Rheology: COP (G'=G") shifted from 41°C to 45°C
- Thiourea higher acidity, generates stronger interactions with anions  $\rightarrow$  stronger dissolving capacity
- Strength of H-bond in thiourea....OH- stronger than in urea....OH-→ reduces the probability of self association of cellulose chains:
- NaOH(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>7</sub>.thiourea cluster is the most stable cluster and forms a cage

Aqueous solutions

# without derivatization

# with derivatization

# Wet Spinning-2



### VISCOSE Process Schemes



# VISCOSE

- Steeping
- Alkalicellulose
- Ageing



Sixta, H (2000), Lenz Ber Sawada, D.; Toivari T. (2019) unpublished

#### **Alkalization - Steeping**

Pulp slurry in aqueous 18 wt% NaOH in L:S ratio of 18:1. Release of 130 kJ/kg cell heat: wetting, swelling, lattice transformation,etc.

 $Cell - OH + NaOH \rightarrow Cell - O^-Na^+ + H_2O$ 

#### Alkali Cellulose

Alkali-		molar
Cellulose	wt%	ratio
Cellulose	34	1
NaOH	16	2
Water	50	13





#### <sup>23</sup>Na-MAS T1 relaxation shows two Na<sup>+</sup> components

P. Kosma, W. Binder (2002), unpublished Fink, H-P. et al. Polymer (1986), 27(6), 944-948

#### **DP-adjustment by Ageing**



Entwistle, D. et al. Textile Research Journal, 19, 527-546 (1949); Sixta, H. et al. (2006) unpublished

## Chemistry of ageing

Combined heterolytic and homolytic degradation



Entwistle, D. et al. Textile Research Journal, 19, 527-546 (1949)

# Ageing - Effect on REGs



### **Preparation of Viscose**



#### Xanthogenation reaction VISCOSE gt 0-Hu 1. alkalization tg Omme H шÒ O 18 wt% NaOH Xanthogenation Ò⁻Na⁺ 2. xanthation (CS<sub>2</sub>) 0 Ò—н.....0-3. dissolution in NaOH tg Viscose ln cellulose-l cellulose xanthate Xanthate dissolved in 5 – 8 wt% NaOH **Xanthation** Gas phase reaction starts rapidly 008 mbar at the surface of the AC crumbs and slowly diffuses into the 600 discharge pressure, forming lumps. 400 CV DS target is 0.5-0.7 ( $\gamma = 50-70$ ) 8.9% cellulose 200 5.3% NaOH 26-36% CS<sub>2</sub> on Cell High strength fibers require 2.0% S < 32°in vacuum 0 xanthation to higher $\gamma$ values! 01:00 00:30 00:45 00:00 00:15 CMD time, h:m 6.0% cellulose 6.0% NaOH Sixta H. unpublished results 1.6% S Prepared viscose is homogenized, dissolved in 5 - 8 % NaOH at ~2°C, filtered and dearated.

### Side reactions during viscose preparation

#### Side product formation:

 $\begin{aligned} Cell &- 0 - (C = S) - SNa \xrightarrow{H_2O} Cell - 0 - (C = S) - SH + NaOH \\ Cell &- 0 - (C = S) - SH \rightarrow Cell - 0 - H + CS_2 \\ \textbf{2CS}_2 &+ \textbf{6NaOH} \rightarrow Na_2CS_3 + Na_2CO_3 + Na_2S + 3H_2O \\ Na_2CS_3 + 3 NaOH \rightarrow Na_2CO_3 + 3NaHS \\ CS_2 &+ 2 NaHS \rightarrow Na_2CS_3 + H_2S \\ H_2S + 2 NaOH \rightarrow Na_2S + 2H_2O \end{aligned}$ 

Xanthogenate decomposition and side reactions increase the electrolyte content during ripening; this affects the structural properties of the viscose. Elastic properties of the viscose increase Trithiocarbonate provides the orange color of the viscose



## Effect of CS<sub>2</sub> charge on Viscose Quality



Only  $CS_2$ -charge modified. Alkali ratio constant (0.6)

High-purity pulps allow a lower  $CS_2$  charge

# Viscose Composition & Quality

Sixta, H. (2006) unpublished

#### Modal Viscose (CMD)

Parameter	Unit	$CMD_{fresh}$ stdev		$\mathbf{CMD}_{\mathrm{spin}}$	stdev
Cellulose	%	5.75	0.19	6.08	0.05
Alkali	%	6.08	0.06	6.10	0.04
Sulfur	%	1.72	0.07	1.85	0.06
$ \boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{0} _{20^\circ \mathbb{C}}$	Pa.s			14.7	
$tan\delta_{1rad/s}$				8.5	
$\gamma$ -value	%	66.5	1.8	62	1
Ball fall	S	133		121	
Filterability	PVC	305		440	
Particles	ppm	39.2		5	
NaOH	%	4.65	0.08	4.65	0.04
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	%	0.25	0.04	0.31	0.03
Na <sub>2</sub> S	%	0.16	0.02	0.26	0.01
Na <sub>2</sub> CS <sub>3</sub>	%	0.32	0.05	0.43	0.03

#### **Regular Viscose (CV)**

Parameter		Unit	CV	stdev
Cellulose	spin	%	8.77	0.15
Alkali	spin	%	5.26	0.12
Sulfur	spin	%	2.41	0.04
$ \boldsymbol{\eta}^*_{0} _{20^\circ \mathrm{C}}$	spin	Pa.s	8.6	1.4
$tan \delta_{1  rad/s}$	spin		16.3	5.2
$\gamma$ -value	fresh	%	50	1
Ball fall	spin	S	69	6
Filterability	spin	PVC	156	22
Particles	spin	ppm	12	1
NaOH	fresh	%	2.89	0.03
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	fresh	%	0.56	0.02
Na <sub>2</sub> S	fresh	%	0.45	0.09
Na <sub>2</sub> CS <sub>3</sub>	fresh	%	0.68	0.03

### Rheology: frequency sweep



### Relaxation time spectrum



# Ripening

After first filtration ripening starts; viscose is stored in ripening containers for hours at lower temperature.

Purpose: Improvement of coagulability and achievement of colloidal chemical maturity

With increasing ripening time, the readiness for coagulation improves  $\rightarrow$  increase in adhesion points, size of dispersed particles due to association and aggregation

Ability to coagulate the viscose determined by the amount of electrolyte solution  $(NH_4CI)$  necessary to coagulate viscose

**Colloid chemical ripening is clearly controlled by the course of chemical ripening,** i.e., **the degree of xanthogenate group cleavage.** 

## Ripening



Target  $\gamma$ -value for regular viscose = 50-70 to achieve the colloid chemical ripeness (°H = 11-15)

Schweighofer, A. et al. Anal Bioanal Chem (2011) 400: 2449-2456

### **Ripening: colloidchemical changes**



## **Deaeration**, Filtration



**Viscose flash deaerator** to remove air removal: boiling under vacuum.  $v_{dearation} \propto g \cdot \rho \cdot d^2/12\eta$  (Pakschwer and & Kamyshan). d... air bubble diameter

**Viscose filtration**: particle removal by means of depth filtration with reject removal in three sequential stages



## Depth filtration: KKF Filters







Lenzing Technik, Filtration and Separation Technology

### DP profile of cellulose



Significant DP degradation (wanted) during aging and xanthate dissolution in case of Modal (unwanted)

# Wet Spinning-2



# Cellulose Carbamate



- 1. Environmentally friendly alternative to Viscose technology (end of 1970s)
- Pioneers: Hill, J.W. and A. Jacobson, DuPont (1937)<sup>1</sup>: Cellulose dissolved with a N-content of 1-3.5%
- **3. Segal, L; Eggerton, F.V**<sup>2</sup>: first describes the reaction product with urea cellulose carbamate
- 4. Neste Oy (Kemira Sateri Oy): Successful laboratory trials 1982<sup>3</sup>
- 5. Challenge: Impregnation, activation NH<sub>3, liquid</sub> (Cellca); super-criticalCO<sub>2</sub>, alkali-cellulose; mechanical activation (ball mill, hammer mill); enzymatic activation; reactions in organic media, e.g., xylene at 80°C-140°C (CarbaCell); microwave heating, Conventional heating ~170°C, 2h.

<sup>1</sup>US2,134,825; US2,134,825 <sup>2</sup>Segal, L.; Eggerton, F.V. Text. Res. J. (1961), 31, 460 <sup>3</sup>Finnish Patent 61,033 (1982), Lenz. Ber. (1984), 57, 38-40; Lenz. Ber. (1985), 59, 111-117

#### Chemistry of urea and carbamate



# Cellca Process from Neste Oy



#### DP adjustment bei EBeam



• DP adjustment is important because the DP strongly influence the rheology.

• E-beam treatment is simple and cost-effective and is suitable for recycling white cotton waste (hospital linen).

### Impregnation of DP adj. pulp:

Liquid ammonia at -35°C, immersion with a 10% (w/w) urea solution  $\rightarrow$  drained  $\rightarrow$  dried first RT, then at 100°C

#### **Reaction rate**

155°C, 1 to 3 hours, with varying molar ratios of **urea: cellulose: 0.5-1.0**.

Reactions are first order & endothermic: **80 kJ** per substituted carbamate group Biuret formation with

increasing T  $DS = \frac{162 \cdot N\%}{(14 - 43 \cdot N\%)}$ 



DS of 0.15 to 0.25 is sufficient to obtain a good spinning solution

Ekman, K.; et al, Modification and Hydrolysis, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; pp 131\_148.

# Cellca Process from Neste Oy



### Effect of ZnO

Addition of zincate (ZnO) enhances dissolution and filterability of the CC solution and retards gelation

### Spinning dope

Typical composition: 5-7 wt% CC; 7-9 wt% NaOH, ZnO 1-1.6 wt%, DP = 400 - 600, DS 0.15-0.25

### Spinning

Diameter of spinneret orifices 50-80  $\mu$ m; spin bath: H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> / Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> preferred; DS of the fibres halved due to hydrolysis in the dope.

#### Carbamate analysis

**IR:**  $\lambda$  at 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup> $\rightarrow \nu_{stretch}C = 0$ ;  $\frac{\nu_{1715}}{\nu_{1315}} \propto with N\%$ <sup>13</sup>**C-NMR:** 168.5 ppm, C6 is shifted downfield

FIBER	Stretch			
Property		50%	75%	100%
Titer	dtex	1.8	1.8	1.9
Tenacity-cond	cN/tex	20	25	30
Tenacity-wet	cN/tex	11	15	17
Wet modulus	cN/tex	60	80	120
Elongation-cond	%	20	17	15
Elongation-wet	%	19	16	12

#### Carbamate stability

Quite stable under acidic conditions Alkaline hydrolysis efficient:

Cell−O−C−NH<sub>2</sub> + OH−→ Cell−OH + NCO<sup>-</sup>+ H<sub>2</sub>O NCO<sup>-</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>O + OH−→ NH<sub>3</sub>+CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>

FIBER		3min NaOH treatment				
Property		NO	0.75%	3%	4%	
Tenacity cond	cN/tex	24.0	24.0	23.0	22.0	
Tenacity-wet	cN/tex	9.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	
Elong-cond	%	10	11	15	15	
Elong-wet	%	15	15	14	14	
Nitrogen	%	1.2	0.4	0.18	0.14	
DS	%	0.14	0.05	0.02	0.02	
DP Fiber		310		305		

Ekman, K.; et al, Modification and Hydrolysis, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; pp 131\_148.

### Crystalline structure of Cellulose



CC showed unchanged Cellulose Iβ crystalline form.

- Chemical derivatization did not change the lattice of cellulose Iβ
- A slight decrease in crystallinity,  $\chi_c$ , from 72% (CL) to 65% in CC was observed  $\rightarrow$  partial decrystallization
- During subsequent dope preparation and cellulose regeneration into fibers, the crystal structure changes to cellulose II

ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2014, 2, 2363–2370
#### Fiber Post-Treatment

#### Spinning in salt solutions

About half of the original carbamate groups are retained in the fibers after treatment in sulfuric acid spinbath



**Carbamate groups hydrolyse more easily in alkaline media,** e.g., **NaOH**<sub>aq</sub> **in a subsequent step:** 

- Wet tenacity and conditioned elongation increase
- Wet elongation decreases
- With the removal of the carbamate groups to a N content of 0.2%

Additives to the spin bath seem to affect the fiber properties significantly:

Substrate	Titer	σ	3	Wet modulus
In spinbath	dtex	cN/tex	%	cN/tex
NaHSO <sub>3</sub>	2.8	21	4	140
$Al_2(SO_4)_2$	2.7	20	14	40
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	2.7	21	7	80
70 MeOH 30 Water	2.6	23	4	170

Lenz. Ber. (1985), 59, 111-118

## Influence on Cellca Fiber Properties



**Composition of spin bath** similar as for viscose spinning:  $H_2SO_4$  /  $Na_2SO_4$ 

High  $H_2SO_4$ : stable spinning, but stiff fibers

#### Addition of $Al_2(SO_4)_2$

Both stress & strain increase as the AI salt conc is raised.

The cross-section changes from circular to starshaped.

#### Stretchability

Stretch of CC solution in hot water, 85 - 95°C, to > 110%; tenacity increased up to 30-32 cN/tex.

Use of modifiers as used in viscose spinning had **no effect** on fiber properties



Ekman, K.; et al, Modification and Hydrolysis, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; pp 131\_148.

Lenz. Ber. (1985), 59, 111-118

#### **Cellulose Carbamate Solubility**

#### **Effect of Temperature**



The addition of ZnO to the aqueous NaOH solution decisively increases the solubility of CC



**Effect of [NaOH]** 

With lower DP of CC, e.g., 400, the solubility increases up to 90% even without ZnO

# Use of Never-dried pulp (Stora)



### **Infinited CC Fiber Process**



## **Cellulose solvents**

- Overview
- Direct cellulose solvents
- Alkaline aqueous solutions with&without derivatization
- Assessment of solution state

3

#### Assessment of dissolution by Image analysis



Example cellulose/IL solution



$$dissolution(\%) = 100 \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} M}{255 N}\right)$$

*M: matrix of pixels* N: number of pixels



Microscope set to cross-polarized light mode ; glass plates heated to 80°C with 20°C/min; images analyses (5/sample) by **ImageJ software** of by detecting the ratio of the bright (undissolved) to the black (dissolved) areas.

Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2020, 59, 20211-20220

#### Static light scattering (SLS) of viscose

Viscose solution, diluted 1:9 with water subjected to SLS: Mw =  $4.3x10^6$  g/mol, R<sub>G</sub>=227 nm

At an average DP of 470 and a DS of 1, it can be estimated that 35 cellulose molecules form one aggregate



Suggested structure for viscose: Loose network with gel particles

Lenz Ber, 82 (2003), 118-127

## Solution structure in technical viscose Dynamic light scattering



0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0  $10^{0}$   $10^{1}$   $10^{2}$   $10^{3}$   $10^{4}$   $R_{H(app)}$ in nm

Intensity autocorrelation functions of a Modal viscose diluted in water 1:9, DLS at different angles

Intensity distributions of the hydrodynamic radii for a Modal viscose

Correlation times between  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-4}$  s can be assigned to single molecules, those from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-1}$  s to aggregates with particle sizes up to 80 µm.

Lenz Ber, 82 (2003), 118-127

#### Particle size in viscose solution

Standard viscose : water = 1:9, 90°



unfiltered and filtered through 0.2 µm diameter: Aggregates not completely separated by filtration.



With increasing lifetime, the apparent hydrodynamic radius of the aggregates increases; formation of (visible) gels.

#### Pulp Reactivity Determination Practical Approach

- 1. Dissolution behavior
- 2. Fibril aggregate dimensions
- 3. Viscose Filterability
- 4. Cellulose triacetate solution quality
- 5. Acetylation kinetics.

## Viscose filterability

#### Viscose Filterability determined by "deep filtration" where **Standard filtration** law can be applied:



Hermans, P., H.L. Bredee. J. Soc. Chem. Ind., London, 15, T1 (193) Treiber, E. Monatshefte f. Chemie, 93, 455 (1962).

### Benchmark of viscose filterability





Viscose Preparation and characterization according to a modified method of Treiber [Treiber E., 1962; Hüpfl, J.; Zauner, J., 1966]

#### Particle size distribution in viscose



The particle content in technical viscose solutions (Pamas device - light blockade principle), particles are calculated as spheres

Lenz Ber, 82 (2003), 118-127

# Pulp dissolution behavior



Complete dissolution by left-handed untwisting of cellulose fibrils



Increased swelling, no dissolution Ballooning, formation of collars



- [0.5 M] (instead of 1.0 M), cuen dissolves only highly accessible & reactive cellulose.
- Dissolution kinetics as a measure for pulp reactivity

Gehmayr, V., Potthast, A., H. Sixta, Cellulose (2012)

### Dissolution-based torque reactivity (DTR)



Ceccherini Sara, Maloney Thad. Cellulose (2019) 26 (18), 9877-9888

#### Effect of enzyme treatment



Sara Ceccherini et al., Biomacromolecules 2021, 22, 4805-4813