Mathematics for Economists ECON-C1000 Spring 2022 Juuso Välimäki juuso.valimaki@aalto.fi

## Problem Set 1 : Due April 28, 2022

- 1. Solve the following linear systems by Gaussian Elimination and by Cramer's Rule.
  - (a)

$$3x_1 - x_3 = 0$$
$$-x_1 + 2x_3 = 0$$
$$-x_1 + 4x_2 = 2$$

(b)

$$x_1 - x_3 - x_4 = 0$$
  
-x\_1 + x\_2 + 2x\_3 = 0  
-x\_1 + 4x\_2 = 2  
$$x_2 + x_3 - x_4 = 1$$

- 2. Prove or disprove the following claims:
  - (a) For an arbitrary matrix A, the matrix  $B = A^{\top}A$  (where  $A^{\top}$  is the transpose of A) is symmetric, i.e. that for all elements of B,  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
  - (b) If the  $n \times n$  matrix A does not have full rank and B is another  $n \times n$  matrix, then the  $n \times n$  matrix C = AB does not have full rank.
  - (c) If x solves Ax = b, then  $x^{\top}$  solves  $x^{\top}A = b^{\top}$ .
- 3. Show that the following system has a unique solution when  $\beta \neq \alpha$  and that the solution is positive when  $\beta > \alpha > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & \beta & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 4. A stochastic matrix is a square matrix whose the elements in each column sum up to 1. In other words, if A is a stochastic  $n \times n$  -matrix, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} = 1$  for all j.
  - (a) Show that the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 \end{array}\right)$$

has full rank, but the matrix

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & -0.5 & -0.4 \\ -0.4 & 0.9 & -0.5 \\ -0.3 & -0.4 & 0.9 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, does not have full rank.

- (b) Find a vector  $\boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$  such that  $(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{x} = 0$ .
- (c) Show that if A is any stochastic matrix, then (I A) and (A I) do not have full rank.
- 5. Consider a class of 30 students. A sociologist wants to understand the social hierarchy in the class and asks each student to endorse at least one other student in the class. Based on the responses she designs a popularity ranking for the students. We want to see how this can be done using the tools of linear models.
  - (a) Form a matrix of endorsements as follows: Identify each row and each column in a 30 × 30 -matrix *A* with a student. The students endorsed by student *j* form the *j*<sup>th</sup> column of the matrix as follows. If *j* endorses *n<sub>j</sub>* other students, set *a<sub>ij</sub>* = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n<sub>j</sub></sub> if *j* endorsed *i* and 0 otherwise (no self-endorsements). What can you say about ∑<sup>30</sup><sub>i=1</sub> *a<sub>ij</sub>*?
  - (b) What is the interpretation of  $y_i := \sum_{j=1}^{30} a_{ij}$ ? Is  $y_i$  a good measure for the popularity of the students?
  - (c) Maybe endorsements from popular students are more important for the ranking. To capture this idea, consider a ranking vector  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, ..., x_30)$  for the students. Require that the ranking of each student *i* is the weighted sum endorsements  $a_{ij}$  wighted by the popularity ranking of the endorsing student *j*. Write the linear model capturing this idea as:

$$Ax = x$$
.

Show that (A - I) does not have full rank. This means that there is a non-zero solution to the linear system.

(d) You can take it on faith (or find a proof using either Brouwer's fixed-point theorem or Farkas' lemma) that a strictly positive solution exists. Normalize the solution x so that  $\sum_{i=1} 30x_i = 1$ . Show by an example that there can be many such non-zero solutions if the students can be divided into cliques that do not endorse students in other cliques.