Mathematics for Economists: Lecture 4

Juuso Välimäki

Aalto University School of Business

Spring 2022

Content of Lecture 4

In Lecture 3, Linear approximations of non-linear functions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

- This Lecture:
 - 1. Linear approximation of vector valued functions
 - 2. Implicit function theorem
 - 3. Comparative statics of economic models

Linear approximation of vector-valued functions

- What is a vector valued function?
 - A function whose values take the form of a column vector
 - Each component in the vector is a (possibly) multivariate function

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, ..., x_n) \\ f_2(x_1, ..., x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(x_1, ..., x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

What is an economic example: the vector of demands

$$\boldsymbol{x}(p_1,...,p_n,l) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(p_1,...,p_n,l) \\ x_2(p_1,...,p_n,l) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(p_1,...,p_n,l) \end{pmatrix}$$

- The domain of this function is $\{(p_1, ..., p_n, I) | p_i > 0 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and } I > 0\}$.
- ► The values of this function are in $\{(x_1, ..., x_n) | x_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i\}$.

Linear approximation of vector-valued functions

How do we find a linear approximation?

Vector of linear approximations to component functions

$$D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_1(x_1,...,x_n) \\ D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_2(x_1,...,x_n) \\ \vdots \\ D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_n(x_1,...,x_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

Linear approximation of vector-valued functions

Writing in full:



• We get the linear approximation at \hat{x} by evaluating the derivative matrix at \hat{x} :

$$D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \\ D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \\ \vdots \\ D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶

Linear approximation of vector-valued functions: numerical example

Consider the following vector-valued function:

$$f(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x,y,z) \\ f_2(x,y,z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x+y^2+\frac{1}{z} \\ -x+\sqrt{y}+2z \end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute the derivative at (x = 1, y = 1, z = 1), compute first the matrix of partial derivatives:

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,y,z} f(x,y,z) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & +2y & -rac{1}{z^2} \ -1 & rac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} & 2 \end{array}
ight).$$

Evaluating at (1, 1, 1) gives

$$D_{x,y,z}f(1,1,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Chain rule for multivariate functions:

▶ Recall the chain rul: If y = f(x) and z = g(y), then for h(x) = g(f(x)):

h'(x) = g'(f(x))f'(x).

- Consider now a similar situation where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ given by h(x) = g(f(x)). Let y = f(x).
- By the linear approximation at \hat{x} to f, we get:

$$f(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + \Delta \boldsymbol{x}) \approx f(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) + D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\Delta \boldsymbol{x}.$$

Similarly

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) + D_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\Delta \boldsymbol{x}) \approx \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})) + D_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}))D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\Delta \boldsymbol{x}.$$

Hence we have:

$$D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{h}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = D_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}))D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\Delta\boldsymbol{x}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Comparative statics: motivating examples



Figure: Exogenous variable shifting demand and supply

Comparative statics: motivating examples

In Principles 1, we argued that at optimal consumption,

$$MRS_{x_1,x_2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = rac{p_1}{p_2}$$

where p_i is the price of good *i*.

We have also the budget constraint:

$$p_1x_1+p_2x_2=w,$$

where w is the total budget.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p_2 \frac{\partial u(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} - p_1 \frac{\partial u(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} &=& 0, \\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - w &=& 0. \end{array}$$

Again for many *u*, no explicit solution is possible.

Still, how do the optimal consumptions change when some of the p₁, p₂, w change?

Linear implicit function theorem

- Because of linearity, this is not really needed since the system can be solved explicitly
- Consider the system of equations:

$$a_{11}y_1 + \dots + a_{1n}y_n + b_{11}x_1 + \dots + b_{1m}x_m = 0,$$

:

$$a_{n1}y_1 + \ldots + a_{nn}y_n + b_{n1}x_1 + \ldots + b_{nm}x_m = 0.$$

In matrix form:

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y};\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0},$$

where **A** is an $n \times n$ matrix and **B** is an $n \times m$ matrix, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_m)$.

<□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@

Linear implicit function theorem

• Assume that the system is solved at (\hat{y}, \hat{x}) :

$$f\left(\widehat{m{y}};\widehat{m{x}}
ight)=0 ext{ or } m{A}\widehat{m{y}}+m{B}\widehat{m{x}}=0,$$

and consider the effect of a small change $(d\mathbf{y}; d\mathbf{x}) = (dy_1, ..., dy_n; dx_1, ..., dx_m)$ on the value of f:

$$f(\widehat{y} + dy, \widehat{x} + dx) - f(\widehat{y}, \widehat{x}) = Ady + Bdx$$
$$= D_y f(\widehat{y}; \widehat{x}) dy + D_x f(\widehat{y}; \widehat{x}) dx,$$

where $D_y f(\hat{y}, \hat{x})$ consists of the partial derivatives of f w.r.t. the endogenous variables y and $D_x f(\hat{y}, \hat{x})$ w.r.t. the exogenous variables x.

Linear implicit function theorem

For

 $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y};\boldsymbol{x})=0.$

to hold at $(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) = (\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}} + d\boldsymbol{y}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} + d\boldsymbol{x})$, the change must be zero:

$$D_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{f}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})d\boldsymbol{y}+D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})d\boldsymbol{x}=0.$$

In other words,

$$d\mathbf{y} = -D_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}};\widehat{\mathbf{x}})^{-1}D_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}};\widehat{\mathbf{x}})d\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}d\mathbf{x}.$$

This equation has a solution for all $d\mathbf{x}$ if and only if \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists, i.e. if $\mathbf{A} = D_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}; \hat{\mathbf{x}})$ has full rank.

The generalization of this result for the non-linear case in a neighborhood of $(\hat{y}; \hat{x})$ is called the *implicit function theorem*.

Linear implicit function theorem: Example

Consider the linear system around $(\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \hat{x}) = (2, 5, -3)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2y_1 + y_2 + 3x &=& 0,\\ y_1 - y_2 - x &=& 0. \end{array}$$

Compute the value of the function at $(\hat{y}_1 + dy_1, \hat{y}_2 + dy, \hat{x} + dx)$:

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \ 1 & -1 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{cc} 2+dy_1 \ 5+dy_2 \end{array}
ight) + \left(egin{array}{cc} 3 \ -1 \end{array}
ight) (3+dx) = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight),$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dy_1 \\ dy_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} dx.$$

By Cramer's rule:

$$dy_{1} = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} dx}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{-2}{3} dx, \quad dy_{2} = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} dx}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{-5}{3} dx.$$

Implicit function for y: $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 = 1$



Figure: What's the slope of the tangent at (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) ?

Implicit function theorem for n = m = 1

We start this section with an example of a univariate function.

$$f(y, x) = xy + \ln(xy + x) = 0.$$
 (1)

- Note that $(\hat{y}, \hat{x}) = (0, 1)$ satisfies equation 2.
- What is the impact of a small change dx in \hat{x} on the value of y satisfying the equation.
- We are interested in all points (y, x) near (0, 1) satisfying equation 2.
- Let's assume that such a y(x) exists for all x near \hat{x} .
- Assume also that y(x) has a derivative at \hat{x} . We can then write:

$$g(x) = f(y(x), x) = xy(x) + \ln(xy(x) + x) = 0$$

for all x near $\hat{x} = 1$.

- We see that the original equation has been reduced to an equation in a single variable x.
- Since the composite function is constant in x (=0), the composite function g must have a zero derivative in x near $\hat{x} = 1$.
- ► By the chain rule:

$$g'(x) = \frac{\partial f(y;x)}{\partial y} y'(x) + \frac{\partial f(y;x)}{\partial x}$$
$$= \left(x + \frac{x}{xy + x}\right) y'(x) + y + \frac{y + 1}{xy + x}.$$

• By requiring g'(1) = 0, we get:

$$y'(1) = -\frac{\frac{\partial f(0,1)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial f(0,1)}{\partial y}} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

▶ Notice that this is a valid computation only if $\frac{\partial f(0,1)}{\partial y} \neq 0$.

One-dimensional implicit function theorem

Theorem

Let f(y, x) be a continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of (\hat{y}, \hat{x}) and $f(\hat{y}, \hat{x}) = 0$. If $\frac{\partial f(\hat{y}, \hat{x})}{\partial y} \neq 0$, then there exists a continuously differentiable function y(x) in a neighborhood $B_{\hat{x}}$ of \hat{x} such that:

1.
$$f(y(x), x) = 0$$
 for all $x \in B_{\hat{x}}$,

$$2. y(\hat{x}) = \hat{y},$$

3. The derivative of y at \hat{x} satisfies:

$$\mathbf{y}'\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}
ight) = -rac{rac{\partial f(\hat{\mathbf{y}},\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}}{rac{\partial f(\hat{\mathbf{y}},\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}}$$

The textbook has a proof of this theorem.

The Implicit function theorem

Consider now a continuously differentiable non-linear function

 $\boldsymbol{f}:\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\to\mathbb{R}^n$

in a neighborhood of the point $(\hat{y}, \hat{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, where

$$f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)=0.$$

• Use the derivative of $Df(\hat{x}, \hat{x})$ to approximate f at $(\hat{y} + dy, \hat{x} + dx)$:

 $f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}+d\boldsymbol{y},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}+d\boldsymbol{x}\right)-f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)=Df\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right))d\boldsymbol{x},d\boldsymbol{y})=D_{\boldsymbol{y}}f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)d\boldsymbol{y}+D_{\boldsymbol{x}}f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)d\boldsymbol{x},$

Suppose we have a solution to the system at (\hat{y}, \hat{x}) :

$$D_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)d\boldsymbol{y}+D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)d\boldsymbol{x}=0.$$

- Since $D_y f(\hat{y}; \hat{x})$ ja $D_x f(\hat{y}; \hat{x})$ are matrices, we continue here exactly as in the linear case.
- With differential calculus, we have reduced the really complicated non-linear problem to the much simpler linear case locally, i.e. in a neighborhood of the solution point (ŷ, x̂).

The Implicit function theorem: An example

Consider the following system:

$$f_1(y_1, y_2; x_1, x_2) = y_1 y_2^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2 + 1 = 0,$$

$$f_2(y_1, y_2; x_1, x_2) = y_1 + \frac{x_1}{y_2} + x_2 - 5 = 0.$$

Analyze the system of equations in a neighborhood of the point

$$\left(\widehat{y}_1, \widehat{y}_2; \widehat{x}_1, \widehat{x}_2\right) = (1, 1, 2, 2)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

The Implicit function theorem: An example

Check first that the equation is satisfied at (1, 1, 2, 2) and form the appropriate matrices of partial derivatives:

$$D_{\mathbf{y}}\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial y_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial y_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial y_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial y_{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2}^{2} & 2\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1}\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2} \\ 1 & \frac{-\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}}{\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2}^{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$D_{\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial x_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}};\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\partial x_{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2} & 1-\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1} \\ \frac{1}{\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Implicit function theorem: An example

• We see that det $(D_y f(\hat{y}; \hat{x})) \neq 0$, and therefore the matrix $D_y f(\hat{y}, \hat{x})$ has full rank and an inverse matrix $[D_y f(\hat{y}, \hat{x})]^{-1}$

Exercise: Show that

$$[D_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{f}(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}},\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})]^{-1} = \frac{-1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore:

$$d\boldsymbol{y} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

Implicit function theorem



Figure: Implicit function theorem: exogenous changes in x. Red curves after change.

Failure of implicit function theorem



Figure: $D_y f(\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2; \hat{x})$ does not have full rank. Solid curves drawn for \hat{x} .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ の��

The Implicit function theorem: Main theorem

We are now ready for the main theorem in this section.

Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuously differentiable in a neighborhood $B^{\varepsilon}(\hat{y}, \hat{x})$, of (\hat{y}, \hat{x}) and

$$f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)=0.$$

If det $(D_y f(\hat{y}; \hat{x})) \neq 0$, then there exists a continuously differentiable function y(x) defined in a neighborhood $B^{\delta}(\hat{x})$ of \hat{x} such that :

1.
$$f(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = 0$$
 for all $\mathbf{x} \in B^{\delta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})$,

 $2. \boldsymbol{y}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}},$

3. The derivative of the function **y** satisfies:

$$D\mathbf{y}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) = -(D_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}};\widehat{\mathbf{x}}))^{-1}D_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}};\widehat{\mathbf{x}})$$

The Implicit function theorem: Main theorem

- Proving this theorem is beyond the scope of this course.
- Assuming points 1. and 2. above, point 3. is an application of the chain rule in the vector-valued multivariate case.
- It is nothing more than a local version of the linear implicit function theorem.
- ▶ Parts 1. and 2. require some more sophisticated mathematics. Proving the existence of the implicit function y(x) near \hat{x} requires the use of a fixed point theorem (similar to the case of showing the existence of local solutions to differential equations).
- We will see more examples once we have more tools from optimization available.

Next lecture:

- Higher order Taylor approximations
- Quadratic forms
- Minima and maxima of non-linear functions
- Applications: Least squares estimators, Cost minimization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで