Mathematics for Economists

Instructor: Juuso Valimaki

Teacher Assistant: Amin Mohazab

amin.mohazabrahimzadeh@aalto.fi

Solutions to the problem set 3:

Question 1:

a)

i)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M_1 = \det(1) = 1$$

$$M_2 = \det(A) = 5 - 16 = -11$$

For a matrix to be positive definite we should have $M_i > 0$ for all i and to be negative definite $M_i(-1)^i > 0$ So A is indefinite.

ii)

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M_1 = \det(1) = 1$$
$$M_2 = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$$
$$M_3 = \det(B) = 1. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) - 1. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 3. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= -17 + 11 - 21 = -27$$

So B is indefinite

iii)

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 3 & 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M_1 = \det(1) = 1$$
$$M_2 = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 1$$
$$M_3 = \det(B) = 1. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}\right) - 2. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 3. \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= -4 + 6 - 3 = -1$$

So C is indefinite.

b)

According to the definition, matrix A is positive semi-definite if for any x, $x^t A x \ge 0$.

Now assuming that $A = X^T X$ where $X_{k \times n}$ and z is any arbitrary vector with length n, we have:

$$z^{T}(X^{T}X)z = (Xz)^{T}(Xz) = ||Xz||_{2}^{2} \ge 0$$

Note that Xz is a vector of $k \times 1$.

so $X^T X$ is a positive semi-definite matrix .

If we add a constraint "X has rank k" we can prove that $X^T X$ is POSITIVE definite, because then we know there is no non-zero z for which Xz = 0.

c)

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + 2bxy + 4y^2 - 3x + 2y + 7$$

to find the critical point of the function f, we should set the gradient equal to zero, so:

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 2x + 2by = 3$$
$$\frac{df}{dy} = 2bx + 8y = -2$$

Multiplying the first equation by b and subtracting the second one from it, we have:

$$y = \frac{3b+2}{2(b^2-4)}$$

Using the same procedure for x

$$x = \frac{b+6}{4-b^2}$$

so $b \neq 2, -2$.

We then form the Hessian matrix of the coefficients:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2b \\ 2b & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the critical point is a global minimum, the Hessian matrix should be positive definite, so

$$\det(H) = 16 - 4b^2 > 0 \rightarrow -2 < b < 2$$

d)

$$f = x \cdot Ax + bx + c$$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$, $b = [d, e]$

Forming the partial derivatives, we have:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2ax + 2by + d = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2bx + 2cy + e = 0$$

Now what happens if $a = b = c \neq 0$ but $d \neq e$. The coefficients are the same but the constants are different. Obviously, it is not possible to solve the system of equations and it has no critical points.

Question 2:

a)

$$f(x,y) = -1 + 3e^{2x}y^2 - 6x - 6y$$
$$\frac{df}{dx} = 6e^{2x}y^2 - 6$$
$$\frac{df}{dy} = 6e^{2x}y - 6$$
$$Hf = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^2f}{dxdx} & \frac{d^2f}{dxdy} \\ \frac{d^2f}{dydx} & \frac{d^2f}{dydy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12e^{2x}y^2 & 12e^{2x}y \\ 12e^{2x}y & 6e^{2x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 12 \\ 12 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\det(Hf) = -72$$

At point (0,1) partial derivatives are zero and the determinant of the hessian matrix is negative, so we have 2 eigen values with different signs and it is a **saddle point**.

b)

$$f(x, y, z) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}z - \frac{2}{3}x - y - \frac{1}{3}z$$
$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{1}{3}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}z - \frac{2}{3}$$
$$\frac{df}{dy} = \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}z - 1$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{3}$$

At point (1,1,2) we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ but $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{2}{3} \neq 0$, so it is not a critical point. Though it might be useful to form the Hessian matrix and see its properties:

$$Hf = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y z} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{9}x^{-\frac{5}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}z & \frac{1}{6}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}z & \frac{1}{3}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \frac{1}{6}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}z & -\frac{1}{4}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{3}{2}}z & \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \frac{1}{3}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}z & \frac{1}{4}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{3}{2}}z & \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \frac{1}{3}x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Hf = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{4}{9} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

SO

$$M_{1} = -\frac{4}{9}$$

$$M_{2} = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}-\frac{4}{9} & \frac{1}{3}\\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$M_{3} = -\frac{1}{2}\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}-\frac{4}{9} & \frac{1}{3}\\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{5}{18}$$

so the matrix Hf is indefinite.

Question 3:

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{(x-1)^2 + 1} = \frac{x}{x^2 - 2x + 2}$$
$$f'(x) = \frac{x^2 - 2x + 2 - x(2x-2)}{(x^2 - 2x + 2)^2} = \frac{-x^2 + 2}{(x^2 - 2x + 2)^2}$$
$$f'(x) = 0 \to x = \sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}$$

To derive the second order taylor approximation, we also need $f^{\prime\prime}$, so

$$f''(x) = \frac{(-2x)(x^2 - 2x + 2)^2 - (-x^2 + 2)(2(2x - 2)(x^2 - 2x + 2))}{(x^2 - 2x + 2)^4}$$

The second term in the numerator is equal to zero at $x = \sqrt{2}$, $-\sqrt{2}$, so

$$f''\left(\sqrt{2}\right) = \frac{-2\sqrt{2}}{(4-2\sqrt{2})^2} < 0 \rightarrow local \ maxima$$

and

$$f''\left(-\sqrt{2}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{(4+2\sqrt{2})^2} > 0 \rightarrow local\ minima$$

Taylor approximation around $x = \sqrt{2}$

$$f(\sqrt{2}+h) = f(\sqrt{2}) + f'(\sqrt{2})h + \frac{1}{2}f''(\sqrt{2})h^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\sqrt{2}-1)^2 + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(4-2\sqrt{2})^2}h^2$$

Taylor approximation around $x = -\sqrt{2}$

$$f(-\sqrt{2}+h) = f(-\sqrt{2}) + f'(-\sqrt{2})h + \frac{1}{2}f''(-\sqrt{2})h^2 = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{(-\sqrt{2}-1)^2+1} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(4+2\sqrt{2})^2}h^2$$

Question 4:

a)

R is the overall return

$$R = \sum x_i R_i = x_A R_A + x_B R_B$$
$$E(R) = E(x_A R_A + x_B R_B) = x_A E(R_A) + x_B E(R_B)$$
$$\mu = x_A \mu_A + x_B \mu_B$$

 σ is the overall variance

$$\sigma = E[(R - \mu)^{2}] =$$

$$E[(x_{A}R_{A} + x_{B}R_{B} - x_{A}\mu_{A} - x_{B}\mu_{B})^{2}] =$$

$$E\left[\left(x_{A}(R_{A} - \mu_{A}) + x_{B}(R_{A} - \mu_{A})\right)^{2}\right] =$$

$$x_{A}^{2}E[(R_{A} - \mu_{A})^{2}] + x_{B}^{2}E[(R_{B} - \mu_{B})^{2}] + 2x_{A}x_{B}E[(R_{A} - \mu_{A})(R_{B} - \mu_{B})]$$

$$\sigma = x_{A}^{2}\sigma_{A} + x_{B}^{2}\sigma_{B} + 2x_{A}x_{B}\sigma_{AB}$$

b)

Our objective function is:

$$\min_{x_A, x_B} x_A^2 \sigma_A + x_B^2 \sigma_B + 2x_A x_B \sigma_{AB}$$
$$st. x_A + x_B = x, \sigma_{AB} = 0$$

so equivalently

$$\min_{x_A, x_B} x_A^2 \sigma_A + x_B^2 \sigma_B$$
$$st. x_A + x_B = x$$

According to the condition

$$x_B = x - x_A$$
$$\min_{x_A} x_A^2 \sigma_A + (x - x_A)^2 \sigma_B$$

Using the first order condition:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_A} = 2x_A\sigma_A - 2(x - x_A)\sigma_B = 0$$
$$x_A = \frac{\sigma_B x}{\sigma_A + \sigma_B} \text{ and } x_B = \frac{\sigma_A x}{\sigma_A + \sigma_B}$$

c)

The utility of the investor is $u = \gamma \mu - \sigma$, so he tries to solve the following optimization function:

$$\max_{x_A, x_B} \gamma(x_A \mu_A + x_B \mu_B) - x_A^2 \sigma_A - x_B^2 \sigma_B - 2x_A x_B \sigma_{AB}$$

We first form the first order condition by taking partial derivatives with respect to the portfolio variables:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_A} = \gamma \mu_A - 2x_A \sigma_A - 2x_B \sigma_{AB} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_B} = \gamma \mu_B - 2x_B \sigma_B - 2x_A \sigma_{AB} = 0$$

so the system of equations is

$$\gamma \mu_A = 2x_A \sigma_A + 2x_B \sigma_{AB}$$

$$\gamma \mu_B = 2x_B \sigma_B + 2x_A \sigma_{AB}$$

Using the second equation, we can write x_B as a function of x_A :

$$x_B = \frac{\gamma \mu_B - 2x_A \sigma_{AB}}{2\sigma_B}$$

We then put it inside the first equation:

$$\gamma \mu_A = 2x_A \sigma_A + 2\sigma_{AB} \left(\frac{\gamma \mu_B - 2x_A \sigma_{AB}}{2\sigma_B}\right)$$
$$2x_A (\sigma_A \sigma_B - \sigma_{AB}^2) = \gamma (\mu_A \sigma_B - \mu_B \sigma_{AB})$$
$$x_A = \frac{\gamma (\mu_A \sigma_B - \mu_B \sigma_{AB})}{2(\sigma_A \sigma_B - \sigma_{AB}^2)}$$

and similarly we have:

$$x_B = \frac{\gamma(\mu_B \sigma_A - \mu_A \sigma_{AB})}{2(\sigma_A \sigma_B - \sigma_{AB}^2)}$$

We can also check for the Hessian matrix of the equation:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -2\sigma_A & -2\sigma_{AB} \\ -2\sigma_{AB} & -2\sigma_B \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$M_1 = -2\sigma_A < 0$$
$$M_2 = \sigma_A \sigma_B - \sigma_{AB}^2$$

If $M_2 > 0$, the matrix H is negative definite and the critical point is a global maximum.

Question 5:

a)

composite function v(x, y) = f(u(x, y))

$$MRS_{u(x,y)} = \frac{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}}$$

a,b)

$$MRS_{v(x,y)} = \frac{\frac{\partial v(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial v(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}} = \frac{\frac{\partial f(u(x_0, y_0))}{\partial u}}{\frac{\partial f(u(x_0, y_0))}{\partial u}} \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}} = \frac{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}} = MRS_{u(x,y)}$$

c)

Definition of the homogenous function:

A real valued function $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is homogenous of degree k if for all t > 0:

$$f(tx_1, tx_2, ..., tx_n) = t^k f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

so considering u as a homogenous function of degree k, we have:

$$MRS_{u(tx,ty)} = \frac{\frac{\partial u(tx_0, ty_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial u(tx_0, ty_0)}{\partial y}} = \frac{\frac{t^k \partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{t^k \partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}} = \frac{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial u(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}} = MRS_{u(x,y)}$$

Question 6:

a)

We assume that prices are given from the outside of the firm, so p, w and r are exogenous variables. On the other hand, it is possible for us to calculate the exact amount of the capital and labour to increase out profit so l and k are endogenous variables.

our endogenous variables are k and l, so:

$$\frac{\partial f(k,l)}{\partial k} - \frac{r}{p} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial f(k,l)}{\partial l} - \frac{w}{p} = 0$$

c)

We rewrite the problem as follows:

$$f_1(k,l;p,r,w) = g(k,l) - \frac{r}{p}$$
$$f_2(k,l;p,r,w) = h(k,l) - \frac{w}{p}$$

where $g(k, l) = \frac{\partial f(k,l)}{\partial k}$ and $h(k, l) = \frac{\partial f(k,l)}{\partial l}$. We should assume that the system of the equations are satisfied at $(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, \bar{p}, \bar{r}, \bar{w})$, so in the next step we make the matrices of partial derivative (we assume y as the endogenous and x as the exogenous variables):

$$D_{y}f\left(\bar{k},\bar{l};\bar{p},\bar{r},\bar{w}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial l} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \\ \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$D_{x}f\left(\bar{k},\bar{l};\bar{p},\bar{r},\bar{w}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial w} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{p^{2}} & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ \frac{w}{p^{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

And

Now assuming the fact that functions f and g are continuously differentiable at
$$(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, \bar{p}, \bar{r}, \bar{w})$$
, the necessary condition is:

$$\det \left(D_{\nu} f\left(\bar{k}, \bar{l}; \bar{p}, \bar{r}, \bar{w} \right) \right) \neq 0$$

so:

$$\frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} \cdot \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \neq \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k}$$

d)

Using implicit function theorem we have:

$$D_{y}f(\hat{y},\hat{x})dy + D_{x}f(\hat{y},\hat{x})dx = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \\ \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dk \\ dl \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{p^2} & -\frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ \frac{w}{p^2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{p} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dp \\ dr \\ dw \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Using Cramer's rule:

$$dk = \frac{det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} & \frac{\partial g(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial l} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial h(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix}}{\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial g(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial l} \\ \frac{\partial h(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix}}$$

We assume that the denominator of the above formula is always positive. so

$$\frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} \cdot \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} > \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k}$$

why? It simply means that the cross effects of k and I are not too strong over each other. In other words, adding Labor does not change the marginal product of k (MP_k) too much and vice versa.

considering the previous assumption, we easily conclude that the sign of $\frac{dk}{dr}$ is the same as the sign of

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l}$$

We can compute $\frac{dl}{dr}$ by doing the same:

$$dl = \frac{det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(k,l)}{\partial k} & \frac{1}{\rho} \\ \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & 0 \end{bmatrix}}{dt} dr$$
$$\frac{det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial g(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \\ \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial l} \end{bmatrix}}{dt}$$

And the sign of $\frac{dl}{dr}$ is the same as the sign of

$$-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial h(\bar{k},\bar{l})}{\partial k}$$