

Robust optimization

Joonas Riekkinen

Simple optimization problem

An original, novel problem: Jimmy needs help fulfilling several nutritional goals while minimizing the total costs.

$$P: \min. c^T x$$

s. t.

$$Ax \geq b$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \forall i$$

$X^* =$

sweet potatoes	apples	soybeans	carrots	almonds
2000 g	0 g	200 g	0 g	0 g

Simple optimization problem

After some googling, Jimmy finds out that the calcium, and vitamin A value of sweet potatoes can vary by as much as 50% depending on the type of potato and other factors.

Out of a sample of 10 sweet potatoes, 8 would cause Jimmy to have a severe deficiency of either of the nutrients.

A new formulation is needed.

Simple optimization problem

New formulation:

$$P : \min. c^T x$$

s. t.

$$(A_0 + d_{potato} A_{potato}) x \geq b \quad \forall d_{potato} \in D$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$X^* =$

sweet potatoes	apples	soybeans	carrots	Almonds
1800 g	0 g	180 g	250 g	50 g

Cost: 4.55€/day \Rightarrow 5.08€/day

Content

1. Motivation
2. Definition
3. Approaches
4. Conclusion

But wait!

This seems familiar...

Presentations 1-10: Optimization under uncertainty

This is just stochastic optimization!

NO

Robust optimization vs. stochastic optimization

Probability distribution often unknown

Stochastic optimization problems can be NP-hard for seemingly simple problems

Scenario sets can be difficult to construct

Assumptions

1. Decisions are here-and-now

Johnny can only afford one nutritional expert consultation

2. Constraints can't be violated

3. Uncertainty set contains all possible realizations

Set of possible nutrient values for potatoes



Traditional robust optimization

$$\begin{aligned} P: \min. & c^T x \\ \text{s. t.} & \\ & Ax \leq b \quad \forall A \in U \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \min. & c^T x \\ \text{s. t.} & \\ & \bar{A}x \leq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{A}_{ij} = \sup_{A \in U} (A_{ij})$ (Soyster 1973)

Note: Only the case in non-adjustable cases!

Traditional robust optimization

Benefits

- **Guarantees feasibility for all realizations**
- **Minimizes cost in worst-case scenario**
- **Simple to interpret**

Weaknesses

- **Gives overly conservative solutions**
- **Tractability depends on uncertainty set U**

Robust counterpart

$$LO_U: \{ \min_x \{ c^T x + d : Ax \leq b \} \} \forall (c, d, A, b) \in U$$

A solution is robust feasible if it satisfies all possible constraints $Ax \leq b \forall (A, b) \in U$

For a solution x , the robust value is the largest value in the uncertainty set: $\hat{c}(x) = \sup_{(c,d,A,b) \in U} c^T x + d$

$$RC: \min_x \{ \sup_{c,d,A,b \in U} [c^T x + d] : Ax \leq b \forall (c, d, A, b) \in U \}$$

Robust counterpart

$$LO_U: \{ \min_x \{ c^T x + d : Ax \leq b \} \} \forall (c, d, A, b) \in U$$

$$RC: \min_x \{ \sup_{c,d,A,b \in U} [c^T x + d] : Ax \leq b \forall (c, d, A, b) \in U \}$$

Note: uncertainty in objective function can always be represented as uncertainty in constraints!

$$\min_{x,t} \left\{ t : \begin{array}{l} c^T x - t \leq -d \\ Ax \leq b \end{array} \forall (c, d, A, b) \in U \right\}$$

Uncertainty sets

Robust counterpart of a problem is always tractable, when the uncertainty set is tractable

If x is a robust feasible solution to a constraint $(C_i): a_i^T x \leq b_i$ with uncertainty set $(a_i, b_i) \in U_i$, it's also robust feasible for the closed convex hull of the uncertainty set (Ben-Tal et al. 2009)

Perturbation set

For linear problems, the uncertainty can be described as a perturbation set Z and basic shifts $A_i, i \in [1, I], b_j, j \in [1, J]$, and the problems as

$$P: \min c^T x + d$$

s. t.

$$\left(A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i A_i \right) x \leq b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J \zeta_j b_j$$

$$\zeta_i \in Z_i, \zeta_j \in Z_j$$

Simple optimization problem

$A_1 =$

	sweet potatoes	apples	soybeans	carrots	Almonds
Protein	0	0	0	0	0
Fiber	0	0	0	0	0
Calcium	10 mg	0	0	0	0
Vitamin A	0	0	0	0	0

$\zeta_1 \in [-1, 1]$

$A_2 =$

	sweet potatoes	apples	soybeans	carrots	Almonds
Protein	0	0	0	0	0
Fiber	0	0	0	0	0
Calcium	0	0	0	0	0
Vitamin A	150 μ g	0	0	0	0

$\zeta_2 \in [-1, 1]$

Perturbation set

Often the perturbations are given constraint-wise

$$\left(a_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i a_i^T \right) x \leq b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J \zeta_j b_j$$

Uncertainty sets

The benefits of robust optimization are largely determined by the quality of the uncertainty sets.

Table 1 Tractable reformulations for the uncertain constraint $[(\mathbf{a}^0 + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\zeta})^\top \mathbf{x} \leq \beta \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Z}]$,
and h_k^* is the convex conjugate of h_k

Uncertainty	\mathcal{Z}	Robust Counterpart	Tractability
Box	$\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}\ _\infty \leq \rho$	$(\mathbf{a}^0)^\top \mathbf{x} + \rho \ \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x}\ _1 \leq \beta$	LP
Ellipsoidal	$\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}\ _2 \leq \rho$	$(\mathbf{a}^0)^\top \mathbf{x} + \rho \ \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x}\ _2 \leq \beta$	CQP
Polyhedral	$\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{d} \geq \mathbf{0}$	$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{a}^0)^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \beta \\ \mathbf{D}^\top \mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$	LP
Convex cons.	$h_k(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \leq 0 \quad \forall k$	$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{a}^0)^\top \mathbf{x} + \sum_k u_k h_k^* \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}^k}{u_k} \right) \leq \beta \\ \sum_k \mathbf{w}^k = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$	Convex Opt.

(Gorissen et al. 2015)

Introducing probability

The previous formulations lead to very conservative formulations

Can we use knowledge of a probability distribution to get better results?

Can we be certain that the uncertainty sets contain all possible values?

S7: Chance constraints

Chance constraints

$$P \left\{ \left(a_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i a_i^T \right) x \leq b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i b_i \right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

$\zeta_i \in Z \sim P$

For independent $P = U(-1, 1)$ the above problem is NP-hard
(Khachiyan 1989)

Feasible sets are often non-convex

Exact form of P often not known \Rightarrow *distributionally robust models*

Safe tractable approximations

S, a system of convex constraints on x and additional variables v is a safe tractable approximation of a chance constraint, if the x -component of every feasible solution (x,v) satisfies the chance constraint.

(Ben-Tal et al. 2009)

Chance constraints

$$P \left\{ \left(a_0^T + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i a_i^T \right) x \leq b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i b_i \right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$
$$\zeta_i \in \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U}(-1, 1)$$

Safe tractable approximation:

$$P \left\{ \Omega \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^I (a_i^T x - b_i)^2} \leq b_0 - a_0^T x \right\} \geq \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega^2}{2}\right)$$

(Ben-Tal et al. 2009)

Globalized robust counterpart

$$P: \min c^T x + d$$

s. t.

$$\left(A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^I \zeta_i A_i \right) x - b \leq \alpha \cdot \text{dist}(\zeta, Z) \forall \zeta \in Z^+$$

Z : "Normal uncertainty set"

Z⁺ : Possible uncertainty set

Conclusions

- **Uncertainty is prevalent in optimization problems, but often no probability distribution can be assigned**
- **Worst-case scenario optimization is often sensible**
- **Chance-constrained stochastic models can be difficult to solve, robust counterpart formulations can give good, tractable approximations**

Sources

Soyster, A.L., 1973. Convex programming with set-inclusive constraints and applications to inexact linear programming. *Operations research*, 21(5), pp.1154-1157.

Khachiyan, L.G., 1989. The problem of calculating the volume of a polyhedron is enumerably hard. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 44(3), p.199.

Gorissen, B.L., Yanıkoğlu, İ. and den Hertog, D., 2015. A practical guide to robust optimization. *Omega*, 53, pp.124-137.

Ben-Tal, A., El Ghaoui, L. and Nemirovski, A., 2009. Robust optimization (Vol. 28). Princeton university press.