

# Math Camp - Concavity

# Concavity

We've already seen examples of functions where the max isn't unique or a local max isn't a global max.

We also have seen problems where the critical points identify things other than a max or min

- ▶ If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , if  $f''(x) \leq 0$  everywhere, then clearly everything (but uniqueness) isn't a problem.
- ▶ The same is true if  $D^2f$  is negative semidefinite everywhere
- ▶ Turns out, this describes an important class of functions.

# Convex Sets

We've been talking a lot about drawing lines, what sort of sets make this possible?

## Definition (Convex Set)

We say a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is convex if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in X$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

We can build a convex set from any set  $X$ .

- ▶ The **convex hull** of  $X$ : The smallest convex set containing  $X$ .
- ▶ Equivalently the set of all convex combinations of points in  $X$ .

# Concavity

## Definition (Concave/convex function)

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  convex and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- ▶  $f$  is **concave** if  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$  for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If the inequality is strict for all  $x \neq y$ , then the function is strictly concave.
- ▶  $f$  is **convex** if  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$  for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If the inequality is strict for all  $x \neq y$ , then the function is strictly convex.

Convex and concave functions “draw” the boundaries of convex sets.  $f$  is convex iff  $\{(x, y) : y \geq f(x)\}$  (the epigraph) is convex.

# Examples

- ▶ Linear functions are both convex and concave.
- ▶  $f(x) = x^2$  is strictly convex.
- ▶  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is strictly concave.
- ▶  $f(x) = x^3$  is neither.
- ▶  $f(x, y) = \alpha \log x + \beta \log y$  is strictly concave for any  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .
- ▶  $f(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$  is concave

## Some properties

- ▶  $f(x)$  is convex iff  $-f(x)$  is concave.
- ▶  $\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)$  is concave if  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are concave and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ .
- ▶ If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is concave and  $X$  is open, then  $f(\cdot)$  is continuous.
- ▶ A concave function is differentiable almost everywhere.
- ▶ If  $f, g$  are concave and  $f$  is non-decreasing, then so is  $f \circ g$ .
- ▶ The pointwise minimum of two concave functions is concave.
- ▶  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  concave,  $x_3 > x_2 > x_1$

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \geq \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}$$

# Who cares?

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a convex set and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be concave. Then any local maximum is a global maximum. Moreover, if  $f$  is strictly concave then it has at most one maximum.*

# Who cares?

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a convex set and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be concave. Then any local maximum is a global maximum. Moreover, if  $f$  is strictly concave then it has at most one maximum.*

Proof:

- ▶ Suppose  $x$  is a local, but not global maximizer. Then exists a  $y$  s.t.  $f(y) > f(x)$ .
- ▶ Then for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &\geq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \\ &> \min\{f(x), f(y)\} \\ &\geq f(x) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. If a strictly concave function has two global maxes,  $x, y$  then

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) > \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

which is a contradiction.



# Quasiconcavity

The key property we used was really

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) > \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$$

We say a function is strictly quasiconcave if it satisfies this property. Equivalently a function is quasiconcave iff

$$U_y = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq y\}$$

are convex for all  $y$ . These are called the upper contour sets.

- ▶ Any concave function is quasiconcave.
- ▶ Quasiconcave functions need not be concave or convex or even continuous.

# Quasiconcavity

We can prove the following

## Theorem

$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X$  convex and assume  $f(\cdot)$  attains it's maximizer.

- ▶ If  $f$  is quasiconcave then the set of maximizers is convex.
- ▶ If  $f$  is strictly quasiconcave then the maximizer is unique.

# Derivatives

Concavity is a sort of finicky global property of the a function. Fortunately, we can usually check something simpler

## Theorem

*Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then the following are equivalent*

- ▶  $f$  is concave
- ▶  $D^2f$  is negative semi-definite for all  $x$ .
- ▶  $f(y) - f(x) \leq \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x)$

Similarly, a function is quasiconcave iff  
 $f(y) \geq f(x) \Rightarrow \nabla f(x)(y - x) \geq 0$ .

# Optimization

So if we show:

- ▶ The objective function is concave
- ▶ The set we are maximizing over is convex.

Then any solution to the FOCs is a global maximum. If we can also show the objective is strictly quasiconcave, then the maximum is unique!

# Separating Hyperplane

When can we draw a line between two sets?

- ▶ Take any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The set

$$h(p, c) = \{y : p \cdot y = c\}$$

is a hyperplane. In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  this is a line, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  a plane, etc.

- ▶ Our goal is a result like the following:  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . There exists a hyperplane  $h(p, c)$  s.t. for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$

$$p \cdot a \leq c \leq p \cdot b.$$

so our line separates the two sets.

# Separating Hyperplanes

There are a number of these theorems, including very important results in functional analysis and in linear programming. Here are two

## Theorem (Separating Hyperplane theorem)

*Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a closed, convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$  is a compact, convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $E \cap D = \emptyset$  then there exists a  $p$  and an  $d$  s.t. for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $p \cdot a < d < p \cdot b$*

## Theorem (Supporting Hyperplane theorem)

*Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \text{int}(D)$ . Then exists a  $p$  and  $c$  s.t. for all  $a \in A$ ,  $p \cdot a \leq c \leq p \cdot x$ .*

A good exercise is to think about what could go wrong if you relaxed any assumption.