

Assignment 1 – Model answers

Problem 1

To run the attribution model, you need to first install and load the “ChannelAttribution” package and then run the “heuristics_models” function on the data. This will provide you with the output containing the number of conversions and total conversion value per channel.

You can also obtain a more reader-friendly presentation of the results by printing the number of conversions and conversion values separately. In the output, columns 2, 4, and 6 contain the numbers of conversions, while 3, 5 and 7 contain the monetary value of the conversions. We can save the number conversions and conversion values per channel into separate objects

```
model_conversions <- model[,c(1,2,4,6)]
model_conversions
```

	channel_name	first_touch_conversions	last_touch_conversions	linear_touch_conversions
1	Affiliate	308	339	321.15278
2	Display	96	0	68.19959
3	Newsletter	37	125	65.74713
4	Price_comparison	89	98	91.81667
5	SEO	211	260	236.76634
6	Other	30	26	28.58333
7	SEA	928	851	886.23416
8	Retargeting	9	9	9.50000

```
model_conversionvalue <- model[,c(1,3,5,7)]
model_conversionvalue
```

	channel_name	first_touch_value	last_touch_value	linear_touch_value
1	Affiliate	21694.670	23807.9650	22597.830
2	Display	6747.347	0.0000	4781.804
3	Newsletter	2629.857	8842.2408	4672.154
4	Price_comparison	6179.352	6689.8022	6323.110
5	SEO	14377.487	17973.5081	16287.696
6	Other	2101.650	1886.3698	2025.755
7	SEA	65948.307	60459.3069	62943.294
8	Retargeting	630.990	650.4683	678.018

In light of these results, the following interpretations can be made about different channels

Channel	Role in the path to purchase
Affiliate	Similar revenue attribution across models. Therefore, affiliate is ‘touched’ across the entire journey, and thus seems to be important in creating awareness, increasing consideration, as well as in motivating ultimate purchase decisions.
Display	Display seems to be mainly feeding the ‘top of the funnel’, since there is no revenue attributed to the channel on a last-touch basis.
Retargeting	Unlike (traditional) display, retargeting seems to work as a means to ‘convert’ prospective customers into paying customers. This is understandable since the ads are shown to people that have already indicated an interest in the agency’s offering by visiting their website. However, the number of conversions is quite low.
Newsletter	Newsletter seems to be a strong driver of final purchase decisions, since the revenue attributed is higher on a last-touch basis than first-touch basis.

SEA	SEA brings in most of the revenue, according to all of the attribution models.
SEO	Compared with SEA, SEO is stronger in terms of bringing in revenues on a last-touch basis. These results might suggest that SEA is helping bring new customers to the funnel while SEO is important in keeping customers in the funnel. This might occur, for example, because the travel agency is using general keywords or competitor keywords to bid for advertising.
Price_comparison	Similarly to affiliate marketing, price comparison sites are 'touched' across the entire journey, and thus seems to be important in creating awareness, increasing consideration, as well as in motivating ultimate purchase decisions.
Other	It is hard to say anything about 'other' based on the data since several channels are included here.

Problem 2

The number of clicks for all channels in the data can be obtained by the following code:

```
sum(session_data$channel=="Affiliate")
sum(session_data$channel=="SEA")
sum(session_data$channel=="Newsletter")
sum(session_data$channel=="Price_comparison")
sum(session_data$channel=="SEO")
sum(session_data$channel=="Other")
sum(session_data$channel=="SEA")
sum(session_data$channel=="Retargeting")
```

For example, there are 57007 SEA clicks in the data. These can be assigned to variables in the customary style, e.g.,

```
click_Affiliate <- sum(session_data$channel=="Affiliate")
```

This is not a very efficient process. Moreover, if new channels are added to the data, the script needs to be edited accordingly. A better way is to use a 'for loop'. (You can learn more about loops via <https://www.r-bloggers.com/how-to-write-the-first-for-loop-in-r/> or by taking the *Intermediate R* course on DataCamp: <https://www.datacamp.com/courses/intermediate-r.>)

We first obtain the channel names, and store them into a vector:

```
channel_names <- model$channel_name
channel_names
```

```
[1] Affiliate      Display      Newsletter   Price_comparison
[5] SEO            Other        SEA          Retargeting
8 Levels: Affiliate Display Newsletter Other ... SEO
```

Next we create a new vector where we will store the number of clicks. We initialize the vector as a set of zeros using the `rep()` function. The function `length()` below serves to make the vector as long as there are different channels. We add this vector to the data frame `model` created earlier (where we stored the attribution modeling results):

```
model$clicks <- rep(0,length(channel_names))
```

The for-loop is as follows:

```
for (i in 1:length(channel_names))
{
  model$clicks[i] <- sum(session_data$channel==channel_names[i])
}
```

The '(i in 1:length(channel_names))' tells R that within the loop we will first set the variable i at 1 and then increase it by one until we reach length(channel_names), which in this case is 8. Using the length() function instead of the number 8 makes the script more flexible with respect to changes in the underlying data.

R then runs the code within the {curved brackets} 8 times (or, more generally, length(channel_names) times), and each time increases the variable i by one. In the first iteration, the number of affiliate clicks is calculated since

```
channel_names[1]
```

```
[1] Affiliate
8 Levels: Affiliate Display Newsletter Other ... SEO
```

In the last iteration of the loop, the number of retargeting clicks is counted. After running the loop, the number of channels is obtained as follows:

```
model
```

```
  channel_name first_touch_conversions first_touch_value last_touch_conversions
1    Affiliate                308          21694.670             339
2     Display                 96           6747.347              0
3  Newsletter                 37           2629.857             125
4 Price_comparison             89           6179.352              98
5         SEO                211          14377.487             260
6         Other                 30           2101.650              26
7         SEA                 928          65948.307             851
8  Retargeting                 9            630.990              9
 last_touch_value linear_touch_conversions linear_touch_value clicks
1    23807.9650          321.15278          22597.830    6130
2      0.0000           68.19959           4781.804  135432
3    8842.2408           65.74713           4672.154   7234
4    6689.8022           91.81667           6323.110   9262
5   17973.5081          236.76634          16287.696  16942
6    1886.3698           28.58333           2025.755   6323
7   60459.3069          886.23416          62943.294  57007
8     650.4683            9.50000            678.018    961
```

We can omit the the columns that are not relevant in this problem as follows:

```
model[,c("channel_name", "clicks")]
```

```
  channel_name clicks
1    Affiliate    6130
2     Display 135432
3  Newsletter   7234
4 Price_comparison 9262
5         SEO   16942
6         Other  6323
7         SEA  57007
8  Retargeting   961
```

Problem 3

Total click-based cost can be calculated as follows:

```
cost_per_click_total <- cost_data$cost_per_click*model$clicks
```

In Problem 4, affiliate marketing cost is based on commission rather than clicks. Therefore, we create a new vector where we replace the first item with a new value:

```
cost_Problem_4 <- cost_per_click_total  
cost_Problem_4[1] <- model$last_touch_value[1]*cost_data$comission_fee[1]
```

These cost data should be compared to revenue attributions. We take a subset of columns from the model dataframe as follows (see Problem 1):

```
model_conversionvalue <- model[,c(1,3,5,7)]
```

Subsequently, we can calculate the ROI for different channels as follows:

```
first_touch_ROI <-(model_conversionvalue$first_touch_value-  
cost_Problem_4)/cost_Problem_4  
last_touch_ROI <-(model_conversionvalue$last_touch_value-  
cost_Problem_4)/cost_Problem_4  
linear_touch_ROI <-(model_conversionvalue$linear_touch_value-  
cost_Problem_4)/cost_Problem_4  
ROI_results <-  
data.frame(channel=model_conversionvalue$channel_name,first_touch_ROI,last_  
touch_ROI,linear_touch_ROI)  
ROI_results
```

	channel	first_touch_ROI	last_touch_ROI	linear_touch_ROI
1	Affiliate	0.8224716	1.000000	0.8983420
2	Display	-0.8576545	-1.000000	-0.8991206
3	Newsletter	NA	NA	NA
4	Other	NA	NA	NA
5	Price_comparison	NA	NA	NA
6	Retargeting	0.8759922	0.9339032	1.0158110
7	SEA	-0.2287695	-0.2929604	-0.2639115
8	SEO	NA	NA	NA

Affiliate marketing and retargeting, on the one hand, have positive ROI. On the other hand, regardless of the attribution model used, display advertising and SEA are ROI-negative, display ads more so than SEA. Considering SEA, one explanation is that the current keywords and targeting options are a little bit off, bringing people to the funnel that are unlikely to buy, bringing down the ROI as well. Alternatively, the website may be poorly designed, leading to low conversion rates.

Problem 4

Above, we have already calculated the click-based cost for Affiliate, which was stored in `cost_per_click_total`. We can use this vector and recycle the code above to re-calculate the ROI for affiliate:

```
cost_Problem_5 <- cost_per_click_total  
first_touch_ROI <-(model_conversionvalue$first_touch_value-cost_Problem_5)/  
cost_Problem_5
```

```

last_touch_ROI <- (model_conversionvalue$last_touch_value - cost_Problem_5) /
cost_Problem_5
linear_touch_ROI <-
(model_conversionvalue$linear_touch_value - cost_Problem_5) / cost_Problem_5
ROI_results_Problem_5 <-
data.frame(channel = model_conversionvalue$channel_name, first_touch_ROI, last_
touch_ROI, linear_touch_ROI)

```

We can get the relevant results by simply running 'ROI_results' and 'ROI_results_Problem_5' and comparing the relevant rows. For cleaner results, we can bind the relevant rows and print the results as follows:

```

ROI_comparison <- rbind(ROI_results[1,], ROI_results_Problem_5[1,])
rownames(ROI_comparison) <- c("Commission", "Pay-per-click")
ROI_comparison

```

	channel	first_touch_ROI	last_touch_ROI	linear_touch_ROI
Commission	Affiliate	0.8224716	1.0000000	0.8983420
Pay-per-click	Affiliate	0.7695489	0.9419221	0.8432162

The current commission-based approach produces slightly better ROIs regardless of the attribution model used. Therefore, the firm should not adopt the pay-per-click model.