

---

# Regulating executive compensation in the financial service industry

Elin Komsu,  
Julia Hakuli,  
Jędrzej Bugowski,  
Ronja Mäki-Leppilampi

# Purpose of the presentation

---

*The aim of our report is to identify problems regarding executive compensation in the financial sector, present their root causes and describe applicable post-crisis regulations. We look at the effects, both intended and unintended, of implemented regulation, such as the UK Remuneration Code and EU bonus cap and reflect on their beneficiality in practice through financial theory and academic research.*

- Elin Komsu, Julia Hakuli, Jędrzej Bugowski and Ronja Mäki-Leppilampi

# Global Financial Crisis of 2007-2012

## Role of Financial Sector

*Connecting households that are willing to invest their surplus money and those that seek additional funding* - Adrian & Song Shin, 2010

## Global Financial Crisis of 2007-2012

- Market panic of 2007 over health of financial sector in the US and European Union, involvement in Mortgage Base Products
- Housing bubble burst; bankruptcy, bailout or nationalization of financial institutions: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Lehman Brothers
- Extensive financial support utilizing taxpayer's money

## Too Big To Fail Doctrine

- First view: size, internal complexity and economies of scale securing the stability of the entity
- Second view: collapse cannot be allowed, the void left would be catastrophic

## Executive Compensation as a cause of the Crisis

- Causes of the crisis: increased borrowing, erroneous regulations and extensive risk-taking by bank managers
- Role of remuneration related to short-term performance with bonus cash-in before the realization of long-term effects



Resources: Helleiner E. (2011), ; Bebchuk, L. A. and Spamann, H. (2010).

# Introduction to executive compensation schemes

---

## Fixed pay

- The amount doesn't change despite of individual's or corporate's performance

## Variable pay

- Often **performance-based** compensation
- Short-term vs long-term incentives
  - Long-term: generally, over 1-year time horizon
- Can be for example in the form of stock options or annual cash bonuses

## Examples of compensation schemes from our case companies:

**Deutsche Bank:** CEO's target amount of base salary in 2021 was 3.6 million euros

- Variable pay's target was 5.4 million euros of which over half is based on long-term performance

**Handelsbanken:** CEO's fixed pay's portion of total pay was 100 % in 2021

- Total pay amount in 2021: 18.4 million SEK

# The role of executive compensation

---

- Mechanism internal to the firm that govern and control the actions of managers
- Alleviate the agency problem with the objective to reduce vertical governance problems
- Address the two-dimensional moral hazard problem

## Incentivizing optimal effort

- Agency problem, the principal unable to monitor actions taken by the agents
- Shirking and extraction of private benefits
- Harming the value maximization from a shareholder perspective

## Incentivizing optimal level of risk

- Underinvestment & excessive risk-taking at the expense of shareholders
- Overinvestment (benefit managers whose rewards are linked to equity and shareholders)
  - Increase in cost of debt

# Regulation as corporate governance institution

---

## The aim of regulation is to:

- Govern and control the executive compensation
- Affect to company's internal remuneration policies
- Have remuneration policies where possible losses to society are taken into account

## And to prevent:

- Remuneration which encourages enormous risk-taking
- Excessive compensation
- New customs which would result in new crises (Johnston, 2014)

*“Suboptimal regulation, if too loose, may result in excessive risk-taking and overly strict regulation can lead to risk avoidance (“credit freeze”).”  
(Hilscher et al., 2021)*

# Few key points from the regulations

---

## EU'S REGULATIONS

### Bonus cap

- Restricts the variable pay amount
- One-to-one level or one-to-two level
  - 1-1: fixed pay is 1 million EUR and variable pay is 1 million EUR
  - 1-2: fixed pay is 1 million EUR and variable pay is 2 million EUR

### Clawback arrangements

- 100 % of variable pay
- Means that if there would be e.g., restatements of financial statements, the bank has right to 'ask back' the bonus received due to the 'faulty' financials

## UK'S REGULATIONS

(the UK Remuneration Code)

### Changing the time horizon of compensation

- Minimum of 50 % of the bonus compensation is deferred at least for three years before payment

# The perceived value of regulation

## Objectives of regulating compensation

- Alignment of risk and remuneration
  - Decrease short-termism
    - Curb socially excessive risk-taking
    - Minimize perceived 'excesses' in the level of:
      - Overall compensation and
      - Individual components, such as bonuses

## *Shareholder vs. Stakeholder viewpoint*

## Potential costs of regulating compensation

- **Constrained ability** to achieve the optimal level or structure of pay to **retain and attract talent**
  - Adverse selection problem
- Complexity and uncertainty of **compensation contracts**
- Restrained ability to align the interests of management and shareholders through compensation
  - Role of compensation: to incentivize effort
    - **Reduced executive incentive to create shareholder value**
- **Reduced competitiveness** of the sector relative to providers not subject to restrictions

*Realized benefits – costs = value of regulation*



# Research provides differing results of regulation beneficiality in practice

## Research on regulation

- Johnston (2014): **the cap is a vital addition** to the regulatory scheme
- Thanassoulis (2012): **optimal financial regulation would involve some intervention**
  - In particular weak caps on the proportion of the balance sheet used for bonus payments
    - **Stringent bonus caps** are value destroying and default risk enhancing
- Murphy (2013): **bonus caps** increase risk-taking incentives and **result in a loss of value** in the EU banking sector

*If corporate governance is chosen optimally, constraints imposed by regulation can move companies out of equilibrium (Demsetz & Lehn, 1985)*

## Shareholder viewpoint – the capital market reaction (Kleymenova & Tuna, 2016)

- **Positive market reaction**
  - Regulation is perceived as **beneficial regulation for shareholders**
    - E.g. The UK Remuneration Code
- **Negative market reaction**
  - **Shareholders expect a loss of company value** due to imposed restrictions
    - E.g. The EU bonus cap
  - Expectation that in practice imposed restrictions:
    - Increase fixed costs
    - Gives executives incentive to take 'bad risks' and avoid 'good risks'
    - Ultimately do not decrease 'excess' pay
    - Lead to loss of talent

# What has changed in practice ?

## Desired effects (achieved objectives)

- The UK Remuneration Code
  - Firms defer more bonuses
  - A decrease in measures of firm risk
  - Total compensation higher pre-2010
  - *Main objectives achieved*

## Unintended effects (endogenous costs)

- UK and EU vs. US
  - Increase in unforced CEO turnover likelihood
- Overall
  - CEO compensation contracts more complex
  - Increase in overall informational requirement

## Controversial effects

- The EU bonus cap
  - Reduction of variable / fixed pay ratio
    - Significant increase in fixed remuneration
      - *Objective achieved*
  - No effect on financial stability and cost flexibility
    - Fixed costs only accounted for minimal share of funds and overall administrative costs
  - Change in the structure of fixed remuneration
    - Significant share of fixed remuneration paid in instruments other than cash
      - Possibly a way to circumvent the ratio requirement
      - Instruments that in the end relate to performance
- *Practices not sufficiently harmonized due to differences in national implementation*
  - *Full effects yet to be determined?*

# Summary

---

*The aim of our report is to identify problems regarding executive compensation in the financial sector*

*Problem: short-termism leading to socially excessive risk-taking*

*Presents their root causes and*

*Root causes of problem: unfavorable compensation schemes*

*Short-term oriented, performance driven pay schemes, possibly leading to excessive levels of pay*

*Applicable post-crisis regulations*

*Bonus caps, clawbacks, and bonus deferral*

*Effects of regulations*

*Intended and unintended, of implemented regulation, such as the UK Remuneration Code and EU bonus cap and reflect on their beneficiality in practice through financial theory and academic research*

# References

---

- Adrian T., Song Skin H. (2010), The Changing Nature of Intermediation and the Financial Crisis of 2007-2009. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports*, no. 439 [https://books.google.fi/books?hl=pl&lr=&id=vebtmoQcEakC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=financial+crisis+&ots=wCav95hrnC&sig=\\_DBsN6bzXZMIUafptTgH4uY7jmc&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=financial%20crisis&f=false](https://books.google.fi/books?hl=pl&lr=&id=vebtmoQcEakC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=financial+crisis+&ots=wCav95hrnC&sig=_DBsN6bzXZMIUafptTgH4uY7jmc&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=financial%20crisis&f=false)
- Australia and New Zealand Banking Group. (2022), ANZ 2022 Annual Report. <https://www.anz.com/content/dam/anzcom/shareholder/2022-anz-annual-report.pdf> (Access November 27, 2022)
- Bebchuk, L. A. and Spamann, H. (2010). *REGULATING BANKERS' PAY*. Harvard Law School Discussion Paper No. 641. <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1410072>
- Citygroup Inc. (2022). 2022 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. <https://www.citygroup.com/citi/investor/quarterly/2022/ar22p.pdf?ieNocache=623>. (Access November 27, 2022)
- Core, J. E. and Guay, W. R. (25.1.2010). Is there a case for regulating executive pay in the financial services industry? <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1544104>
- Deutsche Bank. Compensation Report 2021. [https://investor-relations.db.com/files/documents/reports/Corporate\\_Governance\\_Report\\_2021.pdf?language\\_id=1](https://investor-relations.db.com/files/documents/reports/Corporate_Governance_Report_2021.pdf?language_id=1) (Access October 27, 2022)
- Directive 2013/36/EU. <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2013/36/2022-01-01>
- Handelsbanken. (2022), Remuneration to executive officers. <https://www.handelsbanken.com/en/about-the-group/corporate-governance/remuneration-to-executive-officers>. (Access November 27, 2022)
- Helleiner E. (2011), Understanding the 2007-2008 Global Financial Crisis: Lessons for Scholars of International Political Economy. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2011. 14, 67-87 <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev-polisci-050409-112539>
- Hilscher, J., Landskroner, Y. & Raviv, A. (2021). Optimal regulation, executive compensation and risk taking by financial institutions. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 71, 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102104>
- Johnston, A. (2014). Preventing the Next Financial Crisis? Regulating Bankers' Pay in Europe. *Journal of Law and Society*, 41(1), 6-27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6478.2014.00654.x>
- Kleymenova, A. and Tuna, I, Regulation of Compensation and Systemic Risk: Evidence from the UK (2016). *Chicago Booth Research Paper* No. 16-07. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2755621>
- Le Monde. (2022), London removes the cap on bankers' bonuses. [https://www.lemonde.fr/en/economy/article/2022/09/24/london-removes-the-cap-on-bankers-bonuses\\_5998072\\_19.html](https://www.lemonde.fr/en/economy/article/2022/09/24/london-removes-the-cap-on-bankers-bonuses_5998072_19.html) (Access November 27, 2022)
- Murphy, K. (2013). Regulating Banking Bonuses in the European Union: a Case Study in Unintended Consequences. *European Financial Management : the Journal of the European Financial Management Association*, 19(4), 631-657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2013.12024.x>
- Moosa I. (2010), The myth of too big to fail. *Journal of Banking Regulation* (2010) 11, 319-333. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1057/jbr.2010.15.pdf>
- Murphy, K. & Jensen, M. (2018). The Politics of Pay: The Unintended Consequences of Regulating Executive Compensation. *Center for Law and Social Science*. No. 18-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3153147>
- Pablo de Andrés, Rodrigo Reig & Eleuterio Vallelado (2019) European banks' executive remuneration under the new European Union regulation, *Journal of Economic Policy Reform*, 22:3, 208-225, DOI: 10.1080/17487870.2018.1424630
- Rehnert, G. (1985). The Executive Compensation Contract: Creating Incentives to Reduce Agency Costs. *Stanford Law Review*. Vol. 37, No.4. pp. 1147-1180. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1228590>
- Reuters. Uk regulators to consult public on scrapping banker bonus cap. <https://www.reuters.com/world/uk/uk-regulators-consult-public-scrapping-banker-bonus-cap-2022-09-26/> (Access November 27, 2022)
- Reserve Bank of Australia. The Global Financial Crisis. (n.d.), <https://www.rba.gov.au/education/resources/explainers/the-global-financial-crisis.html> (Access November 26, 2022)
- Sepe, S. (2011). Making Sense of Executive Compensation. *36 Delaware Journal of Corporate Law* 189. Arizona Legal Studies Discussion Paper No. 10-42. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1721131>
- Thanassoulis, J. (2012). The Case for Intervening in Bankers' Pay. *The Journal of Finance*, 67(3), 849-895. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23261328>
- The European Banking Authority. (2016), EBA reports on high earners and the effects of the bonus cap. <https://www.eba.europa.eu/eba-reports-on-high-earners-and-the-effects-of-the-bonus-cap> (Access November 27, 2022)
- The European Banking Authority. (2022), The EBA observes a reduction of high earners in 2022 and a slight decrease of bonus levels in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. <https://www.eba.europa.eu/eba-observes-reduction-high-earners-2020-and-slight-decrease-bonus-levels-context-covid-19-pandemic> (Access November 27, 2022)