11

WEEK 3 – CLASS EXERCISES

EXERCISE 1: Suppose that at the point (1, 2) the directional derivative of the function $z = f(x, y)$ in the direction $3\vec{i} + 4\vec{j}$ is equal to 2 and the directional derivative of $z = f(x, y)$ in the direction $5\vec{i} + 12\vec{j}$ is equal to 1.

(a) Determine the gradient of f at the point $(1, 2)$.

The directional derivative of function f at some point (x_0, y_0) in the direction of a vector \vec{v} is defined as:

$$
\partial_{\vec{v}} f = \langle \nabla f, \vec{v} \rangle = v_1 f_x(x_0, y_0) + v_2 f_y(x_0, y_0)
$$

Therefore, we can write the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{cases}\n3f_x(1,2) + 4f_y(1,2) = 2 & (\alpha) \\
5f_x(1,2) + 12f_y(1,2) = 1 & (\beta)\n\end{cases}
$$

Now, by $(\beta) - 3(\alpha)$:

 $5f_x(1,2) + 12f_y(1,2) - 9f_x(1,2) - 12f_y(1,2) = 1 - 6 \implies -4f_x(1,2) = -5 \implies f_x(1,2) = \frac{5}{4}$ 4

and by $5(\alpha) - 3(\beta)$:

$$
15f_x(1,2) + 20f_y(1,2) - 15f_x(1,2) - 36f_y(1,2) = 10 - 3 \implies -16f_y(1,2) = 7 \implies f_y(1,2) = -\frac{7}{16}
$$

Therefore, the gradient of the function f at the point $(1, 2)$ will be:

$$
\nabla f(1,2)=\left(\frac{5}{4},-\frac{7}{16}\right)
$$

Another way of interpreting this exercise would be to consider the normalised vectors. In this case, we would have the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{cases} 3/\frac{1}{5} f_x(1,2) + \frac{4}{5} f_y(1,2) = 2 \\ 5/\frac{1}{13} f_x(1,2) + \frac{12}{13} f_y(1,2) = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 3f_x(1,2) + 4f_y(1,2) = 10 \\ 5f_x(1,2) + \frac{12f_y(1,2)}{12} = 13 \\ \end{cases} (a)
$$

Now, by $(\beta) - 3(\alpha)$:

$$
5f_x(1,2) + 12f_y(1,2) - 9f_x(1,2) - 12f_y(1,2) = 13 - 30 \implies -4f_x(1,2) = -17 \implies f_x(1,2) = \frac{17}{4}
$$

and by $5(\alpha) - 3(\beta)$:

$$
15f_x(1,2) + 20f_y(1,2) - 15f_x(1,2) - 36f_y(1,2) = 50 - 39 \implies -16f_y(1,2) = 11 \implies f_y(1,2) = -\frac{11}{16}
$$

Therefore, the gradient of the function f at the point $(1, 2)$ will be:

$$
\nabla f(1,2)=\left(\frac{17}{4},-\frac{11}{16}\right)
$$

Note that both interpretations of the exercise are totally valid!

(b) What is the maximum rate of change of the function f at the point (1, 2)? And in what direction does this maximum occur?

Note that as in the previous exercise, we can have the two different interpretations. We will now solve it considering the non-normalised vectors, but the other approach is as valid!

The maximum rate of change of the function f at the point $(1, 2)$ corresponds to the greatest directional derivative at such point. The directional derivative of a function in the direction of some vector \vec{v} can be calculated as:

$$
\partial_{\vec{v}}f = \langle \nabla f, \vec{v} \rangle = ||\nabla f|| ||\vec{v}|| \cos \alpha
$$

Since \vec{v} is a unit vector, that is $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$, and the maximum value for cos α is 1, the maximum rate of change will correspond to the norm of the gradient:

$$
\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{7}{16}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{5^2 \times 16 + 7^2}{256}} = \sqrt{\frac{449}{256}} = \frac{\sqrt{449}}{16}
$$

Since the greatest directional derivative is:

$$
\partial_{\vec{v}}f=\nabla f\cdot\vec{v}=\|\nabla f\|
$$

we can easily determine the direction \vec{v} as:

$$
v = \frac{\nabla f(1,2)}{\|\nabla f(1,2)\|} = \frac{16}{\sqrt{449}} \left(\frac{5}{4}, -\frac{7}{16}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{449}} (20, -7)
$$

EXERCISE 2: Consider the function $f(x, y)$ defined by:

$$
f(x,y)=\sin(xe^y)-x+3
$$

 (a) Compute all the first and second order partial derivatives.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) = \cos(xe^{y}) * e^{y} - 1
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) = \cos(xe^{y}) * xe^{y}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} f(x, y) = -\sin(xe^{y}) * e^{2y}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} f(x, y) = -\sin(xe^{y}) * x^{2}e^{2y} + \cos(xe^{y}) * xe^{y}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} f(x, y) = -\sin(xe^y) * xe^{2y} + \cos(xe^y) * e^y
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(x, y) = -\sin(xe^y) * xe^{2y} + \cos(xe^y) * e^y
$$

As the function is twice differentiable and its derivatives are continuous, its second order mixed partial derivatives are equal.

(b) A given fact is that $f(0.2, 0.1) = 3.01924$ accurate to 5 decimal places. Taking $(0, 0)$ as the reference point, use linear approximation to find an approximation of $f(0, 2, 0, 1)$.

The linear approximation of the function at the point $(0, 0)$ is given by:

$$
T_1(x, y) = f(0, 0) + \nabla f(0, 0) \cdot (x, y) = f(x, y) + x f_x(0, 0) + y f_y(0, 0)
$$

The gradient of f at the point $(0, 0)$ can be calculated by substituting in the derivatives we calculated in (a) .

$$
\nabla f(0,0) = (f_x(0,0), f_y(0,0)) = (0,0)
$$

and therefore:

$$
T_1(0.2, 0.1) = f(0, 0) + (0, 0) \cdot (0.2, 0.1) = f(0, 0) = 3
$$

(c) By referring to the idea of a tangent plane, explain why you obtained the answer you did in part (b) .

Since the gradient of the function at $(0, 0)$ is the $(0, 0)$ vector, the tangent plane will be horizontal, so the linear approximation corresponds to the value of the function at that point.

(d) Use a 2nd order Taylor polynomial to find an approximation of $f(0, 2, 0, 1)$. Is this approximation better or worse than the linear approximation?

The second order Taylor polynomial centred at (0, 0) can be calculated as:

$$
T_2(x,y) = T_1(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} [x \ y] H(0,0) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = T_1(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} [x \ y] \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx}(0,0) & f_{xy}(0,0) \\ f_{yx}(0,0) & f_{yy}(0,0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}
$$

Let's first calculate the second order partial derivatives at the point $(0, 0)$.

$$
f_{xx}(0,0) = f_{yy}(0,0) = 0
$$
 and $f_{xy}(0,0) = 1$

Therefore, the new approximation for the function at the point $(0, 0)$ gives us:

$$
T_2(x,y) = T_1(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} [x \ y] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = T_1(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} [x \ y] \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \end{bmatrix} = T_1(x,y) + \frac{2xy}{2} = T_1(x,y) + xy
$$

Substituting with the point (0.2, 0.1):

$$
T_2(0.2, 0.1) == T_1(0.2, 0.1) + 0.2 * 0.1 = 3 + 0.02 = 3.02
$$

We can clearly see by comparing both approximations that the second order Taylor polynomial gives a better approximation than the linear one.

(e) Find a critical point of $f(x, y)$ and determine if it is a local minimum, local maximum or saddle point. You do not need to find all of them.

The critical points of the function $f(x, y)$ are those where the gradient is 0. In part (c), we saw that:

$$
\nabla f(0,0)=(0,0)
$$

which means that the point $(0, 0)$ is a critical point of the function f. We can determine if $(0, 0)$ is a maximum, minimum or saddle point by calculating the determinant of the Hessian matrix $H(0, 0)$:

$$
\det(H(0,0)) = f_{xx}(0,0) f_{yy}(0,0) - f_{xy}^{2}(0,0) = 0 * 0 - 1^{2} = -1
$$

Because $det(H(0, 0)) < 0$, the point $(0, 0)$ is a saddle point.

EXERCISE 3: Let $f(x, y) = y - x^2$.

(a) Make a sketch of the level curves $f(x, y) = 1$ and $f(x, y) = 2$ on the same axes, that is, make a contour plot with these two level curves.

We can draw the contour plot with Maple:

 $with(hlots)$

contourplot($y - x^2$, contours = [1,2])

Note that the red curve corresponds to the level curve $f = 1$ and the purple one to $f = 2$.

(b) On the above plot, draw a point P and a vector \vec{u} (with initial point at P) such that the directional derivative $D_{\vec{u}}(P)$ is negative.

Since the gradient vector points to the direction of maximum increase and is orthogonal to the level curve, we can consider any vector \vec{u} pointing away from the level curve given by $f(x, y) = 2$.

(c) At the point (1, 3), find the direction in which $f(x, y)$ is increasing the fastest. Sketch the point and the vector on the above plot.

The directional derivative of a function in the direction of some vector \vec{v} can be calculated as:

$$
\partial_{\vec{v}}f = \langle \nabla f, \vec{v} \rangle = ||\nabla f|| ||\vec{v}|| \cos \alpha
$$

Since \vec{v} is a unit vector, that is $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$, and the maximum value for cos α is 1, the maximum directional derivative is given by:

$$
\partial_{\vec{v}}f = \nabla f \cdot \vec{v} = ||\nabla f||
$$

Therefore, the direction \vec{v} in which f is increasing the fastest will be:

$$
\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla f(1,3)}{\|\nabla f(1,3)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-2)^2 + 1^2}} (-2,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (-2,1) = \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)
$$