Lecture: PHYS-E0525

**Microscopy of Nanomaterials** 

## Focused ion beam (FIB) microscopy and applications





Lide Yao lide.yao@aalto.fi



# Learning goals

### □ What is FIB?

Ion source, ion-solid interaction, basic working principal.....

### □ Why use FIB?

Basic functions with a FIB Imaging, milling, deposition and implantation

### □ For what by a FIB?

### Main applications by a FIB

Patterning/etching, cross-sectional SEM, circuit modification, SEM/TEM sample preparation, EBSD, and 3D slicing/EDX mapping



# From electron beam to ion beam





#### Focused ion beam (FIB)

- Using highly focused ion beams such as Ga<sup>+</sup> beam to scan and cut a solid material inside a vacuum chamber.
- Imaging and micro/nano fabricating technique.

### Nanoscience to nanotechnology



Aalto University School of Science

## **Development of FIB microscope**

 1975: The first gas field ionization sources (GFIS)-FIB systems based on field emission technology were developed [1,2].

Gas ion sources: He, Ne, Ar, N,.....

Helium ion microscope (HIM) on the market since 2007 Plasma (Xe, Ar, O, N) FIB

- 1978: The first FIB based on a liquid metal ion source (LMIS) was built by Seliger et al. [3]
   Metal ion sources: Ga, Alloy
- □ 1988: First dual beam FIB/SEM appears [4]
- In the early 1990s: Dual beam commercial systems were available on the market.



Orloff, J. et.al, J. Vac. Sci. Tech. 12 (6), 1209, (1975).
 Levi-Setti, R. Scanning Electron Microscopy: 125 (1974).
 Seliger, R. et.al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 34, 310 (1979)
 Sudraud P, et.al, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B6, 234 (1988)



Aalto University School of Science

## **SEM vs FIB**



JSM 7500F SEM

#### **Dual beam system**



JIB 4700F FIB-SEM

@ OtaNano-Nanomicrosopcy Center (NMC), Aalto University

Main difference: additional ion beam column

Aalto University School of Science

## Dual-beam columns





# Ion beam column



**57** Aalto University School of Science

# Gas field ion source (GFIS)



Ionization potential and polarizability of common gases in GFIS vacuum

| Gas              | Ionization potential<br>(eV) | Polarizability $(10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3)$ |
|------------------|------------------------------|--|
| He               | 24.6                         | 0.20                                     |
| Ne               | 21.6                         | 0.29                                     |
| Ar               | 15.8                         | 1.63                                     |
| H <sub>2</sub>   | 15.6                         | 0.80                                     |
| N <sub>2</sub>   | 14.5                         | 1.74                                     |
| СО               | 14.0                         | 1.97                                     |
| O <sub>2</sub>   | 13.6                         | 1.57                                     |
| H <sub>2</sub> O | 12.6                         | 1.43                                     |

For He ion GFIS:

- ✓ Spot size: 0.35 nm for He
- ✓ Brightness: 5.0E9 A/cm<sup>2</sup>·sr

#### **PHYS-E0525 - Microscopy of Nanomaterials**

http://www.orsayphysics.com/what-is-fib

# Liquid metal ion system(LMIS)



- By applying an electric potential (such as 30kV) between the needle and a downstream metallic extractor.
  - A structure known as Taylor cone is formed at the tip of needle.
  - Once exceeding a threshold voltage, ion and droplets are extracted from the cone (E> 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> V/cm)
- The extracted ions pass through the hold of extractor.

With Ga ion LMIS (JIB 4700 @Otanano-NMC):

- Spot size: 3-5 nm (imaging resolution: 5 nm)
- ❑ Brightness: ~3.0×10<sup>6</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup>·sr
- Probe current 1pA-90nA

Other alloy ion sources?

Au-Si, Au-Ge and Au-Si-Ge

## Why Ga ion in LMIS?

**Low melting** point (29.8°C)

Heavy enough for milling the heavier elements

Low volatility at the melting point ( a long source life of about 400 mA-hours/mg)

Low vapor pressure

 -allowing Ga to be used in its pure form instead of
 in the form of an alloy source.



# Electrostatic lenses in FIB column



Table 1.1 Quantitative comparison of FIB ions and SEM electrons

| Particle                  | FIB   | SEM   | Ratio   |
|---------------------------|---|---|---------|
| Type<br>Elementery charge | Ga <sup>+</sup> ion   | Electron  |         |
| Particle size             | +1 0.2 nm   | -1 0.00001 nm   | 20 000  |
| Mass<br>Velocity at 30 kV | $1.2 \times 10^{-25} \text{ kg}$<br>2.8 × 10 <sup>5</sup> m/s | $9.1 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$<br>1.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> m/s | 130 000 |
| Velocity at 2 kV          | $7.3 \times 10^4 \mathrm{m/s}$                                | $2.6 \times 10^7 \text{ m/s}$                                 | 0.0028  |
| Velocity at 1 kV          | $5.2 \times 10^4  \mathrm{m/s}$                               | $1.8 \times 10^7 \mathrm{m/s}$                                | 0.0028  |

### Why electrostatic lens?

- ✓ The ion (positively charged) is much larger and more massive than the electron. m<sub>l</sub> ~10<sup>5</sup> m<sub>e</sub>
- ✓ lons travel more slowly
- ✓ Larger fields to focus and control ions than electrons:

Lorentz force:

$$\vec{F} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v}x\vec{B}$$

Electrostatic lens

✓ If magnetic lens, Lorenz force is weaker, so a few km coils will be needed.

### Aberrations in ion and electron optics depend on the same factors.



Aalto University School of Science

# Ion-solid interactions in FIB-SEM



#### **Electron-solid interaction**

- ✓ Secondary electrons (SE),
- ✓ Backscattered electrons (BSE)
- ✓ Cathodolumenecence
- ✓ Auger electrons
- ✓ Characteristic x-ray
- Interaction volume: μm

Bernd Schmidt, Klaus Wetzig. Ion Beams in Materials Processing and Analysis. Springer, 2013.

#### Ion-solid interaction

#### **Nuclear processes**

- ✓ Sputtered particles (ions or neutrals)
- Backscattered ions

#### **Electronic processes:**

- ✓ Ion induced secondary electron (iSE)
- ✓ X-rays or Auger electrons (low yield)
- Interaction volume: tens of nm



# **Collision cascade (Ga ions)**



Collision cascade model

Aalto University School of Science TRIM or SRIM (transport, or stopping range ions in matter)—Monte Carlo simulation



- ✓ Projected range  $R_p$  :10-100 nm
- ✓ Lateral range  $R_l$ : 5-50 nm

# **Basic functions in a FIB**





# ISE imaging in a FIB-SEM



SE and iSE images from a FIB-cut brass

C.A. Volkert et al., MRS bulletin 32, 389, 2007

Mainly detecting iSEs for imaging in a FIB

A few 1-10 iSEs (10eV) / Ga ion (5-30kV)

#### Contrast mechanism of iSE imaging

- ✓ Ion "channels" parallel to crystal planes, fewer electrons are emitted.
- ✓ Heavier samples typically result in more ISEs (and SEs).
- ✓ Surface topography can lead to increases in the number of ISEs (and SEs).
- ✓ Offering complementary information about a sample surface.

#### Drawbacks by iSE imaging

Surface damage and ion implantation

- Channeling effect in iSE imaging is obvious!
- ✤ Imaging resolution: ~5 nm





### FIB-SEM(Dual-FIB)

### Ion Milling ⇒ Observation by SEM image

To optimize the following parameters for efficient milling:

(1) Ion beam parameters (ion energy, probe current, and beam diameter),

(2) Processing parameters (dwell time, beam overlap, ion dose, scanning mode)

(3) Target materials (mass, density, and crystallographic orientation)





### **FIB milling** Energy dependence of sputtering yield



Energy dependence of sputtering yield of Au and Si target substrates by three types of ions at normal incidence.

- ✓ Sputtering yield "saturates" at ~100keV.
- ✓ Higher energy leads to significant implantation

### Probe current, beam diameter and milling time



 At higher probe current (i.e. larger spot size), higher sputtering yield but lower resolution, vice versa.



#### Focus and astigmatism

Much necessary with good focus and low astigmatism before milling!



Shape of the beam spot with and without distortion

 With astigmatism and out-of-focus ion beam, each spot may become elliptical and elongated. Thus, the distorted beam finally causes the unwanted milling.



### **Incident angle**



#### Effect of incidence angle:

- ✓ Maximum sputtering yields (Sputtered atoms per incoming ion)at angles in the range of 75° to 80°.
- ✓ FIB milling is usually done at normal incidence for vertical trench profile.
- ✓ No longer 'normal' once the milling starts-inclined incidence on tampered sidewall.



### **Channeling effect**





#### Ion channeling effects:

- ✓ Reducing sputtering yield,
- ✓ Low processing efficiency,
- ✓ groove-like morphology of the surface
- ✓ High surface roughness,

#### **Relevant factors:**

- ✓ Angle of incidence of the ion beam
- $\checkmark$  characteristics of the ion
- $\checkmark$  Orientation of the target.

Effects of channeling on the FIB milling of a Cu 10° /100 twist bicrystal at (a) 0° tilt and (b) 10° tilt.



C. A. Volkert et al., MRS bulletin 32, 389, 2007

#### Pixel size vs. beam size





=3.0 (top), 1.5 (bottom), d<sub>f</sub>  $=2.35\sigma$ .

should be less than 0.638

"Recent developments in micromilling using focused ion beam technology", Tseng, 2004

#### **Scan orientation**

Merits with spiral scan:

- ✓ The unwanted beam exposure or etching are much smaller in the case of the spiral scan.
- ✓ Shape produced by the spiral scan is much more symmetric.
- Redeposited material can be better removed from the sidewalls as the beam progresses from the center of a hole outward,



Serpentine





Spiral scan -- for milling holes or complexly patterning!

Serpentine scan-- for milling a feature with sharp angles (like square pattern).



#### Sputtering yield of different materials

| Materia | al       | Sputterrate |
|---------|----------|-------------|
|         |          | [µm³/nC]    |
|         |          |             |
| Si      |          | 0.27        |
| Therma  | al Oxide | 0.24        |
| TEOS    |          | 0.24        |
| AI      |          | 0.3         |
| AI2O3   |          | 0.08        |
| GaAs    |          | 0.61        |
| InP     |          | 1.2         |
| Au      |          | 1.5         |
| TiN     |          | 0.15        |
| Si3N4   |          | 0.2         |
| С       |          | 0.18        |
| Ti      |          | 0.37        |
| Cr      |          | 0.1         |
| Fe      |          | 0.29        |
| Ni      |          | 0.14        |
| Cu      |          | 0.25        |
| Мо      |          | 0.12        |
| Та      |          | 0.32        |
| W       |          | 0.12        |
| MgO     |          | 0.15        |
| TiO     |          | 0.15        |
| Fe2O3   |          | 0.25        |
| Pt      |          | 0.23        |
| PMMA    |          | 0.4         |

Sputtering yield varies with material, orders of magnitude difference across periodic table.
 Actual rate much lower due to re-deposition of sputtered material.

✓ Actual rate much lower due to re-deposition of sputtered material.

### Ion beam artifacts (Re-deposition)

#### **Re-deposition:**

During ion milling, a portion of the ejected atoms bump back into the already sputtered surface and redeposit onto it.

#### Re-deposition depends on:

- Kinetic energy of atoms leaving surface
- Sticking coefficient of target
- Sputtering yield of target
- Geometry of feature being milled

Factors that increase sputtering rate tend to increase re-deposition:

i) FIB milling is performed in a confined trench.

ii) FIB milling is performed in a highaspect-ratio trench.

iii) Higher ion beam currents are used.

Re-deposition can be greatly reduced by broadening trench width, decreasing probe current and multiple passes scanning!



Aalto University School of Science





### Ion Beam artifacts-curtaining effect

#### Curtaining effect (by non-planar milling of the surface)

Due to competition between smoothing by surface diffusion or viscous flow and roughening because of surface curvature-dependent sputter yields.

i) Rough surface

ii) A surface with uneven chemical composition.

iii) Composites of hard and soft materials.

iv) Height steps (e.g. patterned structures in semiconductors)

v) A porous structure.

vi) Curtaining effect increases with lower acceleration voltages which is used for high quality samples.





### Ion Beam artifacts-curtaining effect

- To eliminate the curtaining artifacts:
- 1) Ion milling direction coming from a homogeneous material.
- 2) Use thick, uniform and dense protection cap.
- 3) Rocking the sample during FIB milling process.
- 4) Infiltrate the samples, which have porous structures, with low viscosity resin.





by Oxford Instruments NanoAnalysis

## **FIB-Deposition**



- ✓ Stream of a gaseous organometallic platinum or polymeric carbon compound
- ✓ Ga<sup>+</sup> beam (mild current avoiding a high rate of sputtering) causes the cleavage of the platinum or carbon from the volatile components of the precursor compounds.



## **FIB-Deposition**

FIB deposition compared to CVD and PVD

- ✓ Locally
- ✓ Site specially
- ✓ No purity (organic residues)
- ✓ Just a few precursor gases are available for the deposition of Pt, W, SiO2, and C.



Circuit modification





Welding for lift-out process



## **FIB/SEM–Deposition**











## **FIB-implantation**

#### **Gallium implantation**

Aalto University School of Science

- Alternation of the specimen's local composition within the interaction volume.
- Leading to structural changes, as well as alteration in, e.g., thermal, electrical, optical, and mechanical properties.





#### Annealing effect of Ga-implanted ZnO<sub>2</sub> nanorods

L. Yao et al., J. App. Phys, 103521 (2009),

Nanotechnology, 22(39) 395601 (2011).

### Characterization of polycrystalline micrsoctructure



Any other way to determine crystallographic information of microstructure such as crystal orientation, grain boundaries, local crystal perfection.....? **Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) pattern analysis** 



### Formation of EBSD pattern



- Elastically or inelastically scattered electrons over a large angular range by sample
- The electrons satisfying the Bragg condition  $(2d \cdot sin\theta = n \cdot \lambda)$  are diffracted and produce diffraction spots on the screen.
- The electrons travelling in different azimuthal direction produce successive diffracted spots to finally form a diffraction line.
- Many diffraction lines generated from the lattice planes in different orientations are superposed and thus form an EBSD pattern (well known as Kikuchi pattern).
- For acquiring high intensity of EBSD, specimen tilt angle is about 70°

#### https://www.jeol.co.jp





- If electron probe scans an arbitrary area of the specimen, the crystal orientations of each point of the area are recognized by comparing measured and calculated EBSD patterns.
  - Crystal orientation map can be obtained automatically and rapidly.
  - 10-20 nm resultion in Schottkyemission electron gun SEM Depth: 30-50nm

### If EBSD+FIB

- ✓ Microstructure orientation analysis
- ✓ No necessary with mechanical polishing
- ✓ To reveal grain orientation inside bulk by 3D EBSD

#### https://www.jeol.co.jp



### FIB patterning vs EBL patterning



- ✓ FIB Milling allows for creating cross sections or developing structures with desired geometries to control not only the lateral position but also local depth.
- $\checkmark\,$  It does not require the use of masks.

Aalto University

School of Science

#### Etching and patterning @OtaNano-NMC

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

Maskless lithography and nanostructuring

#### **Cross Sectional SEM sample**

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Cross Sectional SEM imaging/EDX mapping**

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

### FIB-cut cross sectional SEM imaging and EDX mapping

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Cross Sectional SEM imaging with Cryo-stage

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Cryo-SEM/FIB offers new opportunities to study soft materials, and frozen, hydrated samples from the field of life science.
- FIB-milling enables imaging additional planes by sitespecific X-sectioning perpendicular to the cryo-fracture surface, thus adding a third imaging dimension to the cryo-SEM.

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

### How to prepare a successful TEM lamella?

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Challenging work!** 

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### FIB-cut TEM lamella (in-situ lift-out technique)

#### By FIB polishing

- TEM lamella can be prepared sitespecifically with a spatial accuracy as fine as 30 nm.
- Compared to other techniques (microtomy, low-energy ion milling, dimpling, etc.), it costs a short time (a few hours).
- Applicable for broad material systems including hard, soft, life materials with cryostage.

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

## TEM specimen preparation (overview)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

Aalto University School of Science

### **FIB-cut Steps**

Prior to FIB, a ~30 nm Pt layer was pre-deposited on the top by sputtering machine

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

Pattern size: 10 µm L x 2 µm H,

Depo (Pt): Beam 10 (30pA) 1 µm thick

Grooving: Beam 4 (10 nA) Depth 6 µm

Side cutting Pre-thinning Under cutting

Beam 5 (3 nA) Depth 6 µm

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **FIB-cut Steps**

#### SEM

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

For welding: Carbon deposition, Beam 10 (30 pA), 2-3 minuntes

![](_page_44_Figure_6.jpeg)

T: 0°

T: 0°

![](_page_44_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

T: 0°

![](_page_44_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **FIB-cut Steps**

#### Fine milling

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **STEM imaging after cleaning**

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **FIB-cut TEM lamellas for various materials**

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

### 3D observation and analysis –FIB tomography

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

Two modes  $\checkmark$ 

Aalto University

**School of Science** 

i) Dynamic mode: SEM imaging in real time during milling process.

ii) Static mode: SEM imaging, EDX mapping/FIB imaging after each milling. High resolution imaging.

- Resolution: lateral ~1nm, z- resolution 10-100nm
- FIB tomography fills in the gap between TEM  $\checkmark$ tomography and X-ray tomography.

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

FIB

Serial

100 µm 1 mm

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

Materialstoday, 10, 20, 2007

### **Procedure of FIB-Tomography (video)**

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Narayan, K., Subramaniam, S. Focused ion beams in biology. *Nat Methods* **12**, 1021–1031 (2015)

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

### FIB tomography

#### Advantage:

- Compared to serial TEM or serial tomography, the main advantage is the size of the volume that can be acquired
- With a close to one thousandfold increase in favor to the FIB-SEM.

It is applicable at NMC with a Cryo-stage!

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

Automated 3DEM. Scale bars: 10µm. (From Karreman et al. Journal of Cell Science 2016)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Key points to remember with FIB

- Micro/nano manufacturing technique with unique advantages of high fabrication resolution, high flexibility, maskless processing, and rapid prototyping.
- ✓ Wide applications in various areas, such as semiconductor industry, micro-/nano-optics, surface engineering, biotechnology, and nanotechnology.

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Key references

- Focused ion beam systems-basics and applications, edited by Nan Yao, Cambridge University, New Jersey, <u>online 2010</u>.
- R.J. Young, M.V. Moore, Dual-Beam (FIB-SEM) Systems. In: Giannuzzi L.A., Stevie F.A. (eds) *Introduction to Focused Ion Beams* (2005), Springer
- Introduction to Focused Ion Beam Nanometrology, Edited by David C. Cox, Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2015. (available in Aalto library)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)