TEM tomography and single particle reconstruction

Jani Seitsonen, Nanomicroscopy Center, Aalto University 7.3.2023

Motivation

TEM-image represents a projection image of the specimen.

->Features at different depths in the structure are all superimposed.

->Analysis of 2D projections can lead to misinterpretations.



Fig. 5.1. A single projection image is plainly insufficient to infer the structure of an object. Drawing by John O'Brien; © 1991 The New Yorker Magazine.

The New Yorker

Solution: combine projections taken at different angles

Tomography as solution

Principle of electron tomography:

DATA COLLECTION

RECONSTRUCTION



projections

reconstruction

Process in a nutshell



Types of samples suitable for ET

- Can be used on most types of samples
- Best samples for tomo are self supporting, beam insensitive, inherently high contrast particles
- Worst ones are beam sensitive, low contrast, (thick) planar samples



Perceived sample thickness and tilt angle

- The distance the electron beam must travel through the sample increases as the sample is tilted
 - At 60° tilt the distance is doubled
 - At 70° tilt the distance is nearly tripled
- The effect of perceived thickness can be somewhat compensated for by adjusting imaging conditions as a function of tilt angle
- Thin sample is preferable (<200nm)
- Applies to large samples (sections, cryo)



Mechanical stability

- TEM stages are not perfect and holder tilt causes small shifts in sample XYplane -> tracking is required
- Tracking in low dose data collection is typically less reliable due to separation of tracking and recording areas
- Accuracy of tracking can limit data collection at high magnifications



Unaligned stack





Aligned stack (coarse only)





Stack alignment



Original tilt series

Aligned tilt series

Tracking with fiducial markers

- Fiducial markers are typically gold particles of controlled size
- Size of fiducials should be selected with the intended magnification in mind
- Fiducials are added during sample preparation to aid image alignment later on
- For samples prepared on "solid" support, fiducials can be added prior to sample application



From stack to volume



Missing wedge/cone

- Due to lir collected
- With a sinwedge
- More info around ty









າ not be

orm a

he sample

le	Double
6	93%
6	84%
10	67%

Effect of missing wedge

Original

 $\phi = -90^{\circ}to90^{\circ}$





 $\phi = -60^{\circ} to 60^{\circ}$



 $\phi = -45^{\circ}to45^{\circ}$

 $\phi = -30^{\circ} to 30^{\circ}$



Sampling & resolution



Missing wedge and other artifacts (1)



Missing wedge and other artifacts (2)



Missing wedge and other artifacts (3)



8

Missing wedge and other artifacts (4)



Backprojection

<- plain filtered ->

Side views

<u>SIRT</u>

<- plain

filtered ->





Minor improvement (patch tracking -> backprojection)





CTF correction

- The height variation leads to differences in defocus → opposite edges can have a difference of a few micrometers in defocus
- Typical method for correction is to truncate at the first node



Why use vitrified samples?

- Vitrification encloses the sample in a layer of vitrified water
- This allows preservation of native structure
- Water is suspended in a metastable state → careful handling required







structural collapse



Sample preparation for cryo-ET forceps B Small volume of sample EM grid D Edge-on view of an unsupported part of the water layer liquid ethane (-160°C) Sample is imaged in native state

image

Various states of water

- Plastic beads (~100 nm) in three forms of solid water:
- (a) hexagonal ice
- (b) cubic ice
- (c) vitreous ice

Characteristic diffraction patterns



Example of cryoET







Subtomogram averaging

- "Raw" data are small volumes extracted from tomograms
- Idea is similar to single particle, but the "raw" data is already in 3D → additional degrees of freedom
- Requires significant computational resources
- Target molecule must be (relatively) easily recognizable in the tomogram



Subtomogram extraction

- At least three options for selecting subtomograms:
 - Manual (tedious)
 - o Geometric
 - Template matching
- Can reach high resolution → CTF correction becomes important









Summary

- For pleomorphic/unique structures tomography is one of the few 3D imaging options
- Identical subvolumes can be cut from the tomogram and averaged similarly to single particle reconstructions
- Tomogram (full frame) resolutions around 15Å (highest 12.3Å) according to databases
- ET Subtomogram averages around 3Å (highest 2.3Å) (DBs)



Starting Reference

Iteration 1

Iteration 7

Iteration 12

There's more

- Tomography can be combined with other imaging techniques (STEM, EDX, EELS...)
- Atomic level tomography is possible with beam insensitive samples and special sample holders





Single particle: Motivation & method

TEM-image still represents a projection image of the specimen in 2D (features superimposed -> misinterpretations)

-> 3D allows full analysis of structures in high detail (isotropic resolution)

-> Single image resolution of soft materials is often dose limited



Fig. 5.1. A single projection image is plainly insufficient to infer the structure of an object. Drawing by John O'Brien; © 1991 The New Yorker Magazine.

Solution: combine projections taken at different angles

Solution2: Image identical objects in random orientations and combine individual projections computationally

Goals for successful SPR

- Eliminate background AND alter your sample as little as possible during preparation
- Record as much detail as possible during imaging (minimize damage to fine structure)
- Obtain 3D model and enhance fine structure via averaging

MOST IMPORTANT STEP?

Garbage in ----> garbage out

"Tim Baker"

Requirements on the object of interest



- Size (depending on detector and material) min. 100-200kDA
- Structure between molecules must be **IDENTICAL**

A random sample of complexes may have: *differences in mobile subunits *minorities *cofactors *damaged complexes <-- 2D average of 22 complexes



Imaging of vitrified specimens



Beam damage/low dose





Tungsten filament (80kV)







3D Image reconstruction

Method choice depending on the sample:

- Single particle analysis
 - identical unordered copies of the object (mass > 200 kDa (or: around 100kDa, DDs), e.g. ribosomes, viruses)
 - Symmetry can be exploited, helical can be a special case
- 2-D crystals
 - identical copies of the object (e.g. a membrane protein) forming an ordered 2D-crystal
- Tomography
 - one unique object (e.g. mitochondrion, cell section)

Single particle approach

- Requires tons of images of individual, but mutually identical objects, in different (random) orientations
- Works with symmetric and nonsymmetric objects
- Most critical is the determination of orientation of objects in respect to each other
- Images at different defoci are used for CTF compensation
- Routinely reaches sub-nanometer resolutions (record is 1.15Å)



Single particle analysis

- Images are projections of different (identical) particles in random orientations
- Five parameters must be defined before the 3D reconstruction can be calculated from the 2D projections:
 - Centre of the particle (2 coordinates: x, y)
 - Orientation of the particle (3 eulerian angles $\theta,\,\phi,\,\omega)$

Single particle analysis

- A large number of images (typically 1 000-10 000) is needed to increase the signal (S) and decrease noise (N)
- Images in the same orientation can be averaged







proving the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the common structural features by a factor of $n^{1/4}$.

Icosahedral Virus 3D Reconstruction Scheme



People who don't know which end is up

Slide courtesy of Tim Baker, UCSD, and Larson, The Far Side

How do we determine the (θ , ϕ , ω , x, y) parameters? Two methods:

1. *Ab initio* (*e.g.* Common lines)

New or unknown structure

2. Model-based (template) matching

General features of structure are known or a crude model can be generated (...or, sometimes, even a lousy model will work)

Slide courtesy of Tim Baker, UCSD



Projection Theorem



The two-dimensional Fourier transform of the projection of a threedimensional density is a central section of threedimensional Fourier transform of the density perpendicular to the direction of projection.

The model based method



The classification method





E Oriented class averages



F Three-dimensional structure



3D classification





CTF correction



Compensation: 1) phase flips 2) decay at high res 3) zeros

Single particle analysis

- 2D Fourier transform (FT) is calculated for every projection
- These correspond to central sections in 3D FT of the object
- Reconstruction can be done by "filling" the 3D Fourier space with these sections



Direct electron detectors



Beyond sensitivity



K2 Summit[™] Counting



building.

2017 NOBEL PRIZE IN CHEMISTRY

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2017 was awarded to Jacques Dubochet, Joachim Frank, and Richard Henderson for the development of cryo-electron microscopy for determining biomolecule structures.



ELECTRON SOURCE ELECTRON BEAM SAMPLE MAGNETIC LENS 2D IMAGE



Henderson pioneered the use of electron microscopy (EM) to visualise proteins. Using it, he produced the first atomic resolution image of a protein, bacteriorhodopsin, in 1990.



Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) is a technique that makes it possible to produce 3D images of biomolecules at atomic resolution. It can be used to capture images of biomolecules which could not be visualised with previously existing techniques.

> Biological samples dry out and are damaged when in vacuum during EM. **Dubochet** solved this by rapidly freezing samples in water at -173°C to form an icy glass instead of crystals.



WHY DOES THIS RESEARCH MATTER?

Cryo-EM allows scientists to reveal how proteins move and interact with other molecules, freezing and observing them mid-process. It could improve our understanding of drug targets and biological processes.

Nobel Prize in Chemistry Press release: https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/2017/press.html



© Compound Interest/Andy Brunning - compoundchem.com Shared under a CC Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence







Summary

- SPR can produce atomic resolution (isotropic) models of macromolecules with relatively easy sample preparation
- Strict requirement for identical particles → however, 2D- & 3D-classification can work with mixed populations (very laborious)
- New detectors (and computational methods) have changed the process significantly